Circumspectly Crash of Autonomous Vehicles

Yair Wiseman Computer Science Department Holon Institue of Technology Holon, Israel wiseman@cs.biu.ac.il

Abstract—Sometimes an autonomous vehicle realizes that unfortunately a crash will come about; however there are several possible crashes and the autonomous vehicle can still make a decision what the least destructive option is. In this paper a technique for a real-time assessment of crash potential damages is presented with the aim of facilitating the vehicle's embedded computer to come to the best decision.

Keywords— Autonomous Vehicle; Car Accident; Embedded Real-Time System

I. INTRODUCTION

Moral dilemmas similar to the well-known "Trolley Problem"[1] can take place in circumstances where an autonomous vehicle should decide and select between several damaging actions in the course of an inescapable crash. Such morals questions like "Who should die the driver of the car or a pedestrian in the vicinity of the car?" have been debated by many philosophers, religions and law makers.

In this paper we do not intend to find the answers for these moral dilemmas. We would like to focus in the eminent subject of the passenger safety [2]; accordingly, we would strive for giving techniques for assessing the potential damages that possibly will happen in each course of action.

One of the most widespread techniques for effectively employing computational geometry functions is creating an intelligent simulation model for each geometry structure consists of simple polygons. Fig. 1b is an example for such a simulation model for a vehicle after a crash. The right picture is the original picture of the damaged vehicle. The left picture is the simulation model consists of simple polygons.

Spatial Data Structures are employed in two main approaches. The first approach is diminishing the number of intersection detections of vehicle models in a given scenario [3,4]. For n structures, there will be $O(n^2)$ possible structures that possibly will be intersected. This number is clearly too high; therefore diminishing the number of intersection checks achieved by Spatial Data Structures is imperative.

The second approach is diminishing the number of intersection checks of pair of simple polygons in a crash probe of two vehicle models. In this approach, the Spatial Data Structures are generated in a preprocessing step and remain static because the vehicles are rigid and their models do not changed. Ilan Grinberg Vice President 3DOR Simulations Or-Yehuda, Israel ilangrinberg3@gmail.com



Fig. 1a: Original image of the vehicle



Fig. 1b: Simulation Model of a geometry shape consists of basic polygons

Spatial Data Structures are often employed for Space Partitioning [5] and Bounding volumes [6]. Space Partitioning is a sub-partitioning of a space into convex regions called cells. Each cell keeps a list of objects that it contains. Using these structures, a computer can sifted out numerous pairs of objects.

Bounding volume is generated by a split of an object set into several subsets and finding for each one of the subsets tight bounding volume; so as a result when the computer checks intersections of each of the subsets, it will straightforwardly sifted out these subsets because it will only have to find out which bounding volumes are not overlapping. Hardware-software codesign [7] can perform this even better.

Some research have been conducted on approaches of representing Bounding volumes like Bounding Spheres [8], K-DOPs - Discrete orientation polytopes [9], OBB - Oriented Bounding Boxes [10], AABB - Axis Aligned Bounding Boxes [11] and Hierarchical Spherical Distance Fields [12].

We will employ the most widespread approach, the AABB approach. In this approach the bounding volume is denoted by minimum and maximum values of the vehicle model in each one of the axes. More details about these values can be found at [13]. The disadvantage of the AABB approach is that its representation is more memory consuming than the "Bounding Sphere" approach; however, nowadays memory chips are much larger and much more inexpensive and furthermore AABB has an important advantage – its objects can more tightly enclose the vehicle model than Bounding Spheres can, which will generate less intersection checks.

Another advantage of AABB is the quick construction of bounding volumes [14]. This advantage is very important in a case of an autonomous vehicle accident when the vehicle's computer does not have much time to make its decisions. The computer just needs to check each element of the basic elements that the bounding volume consists of and projecting it on each of the axes. After that, just finding the minimum value and the maximum value for each axis and the construction is done.

In view of that, we employed the AABB approach. The creation of the bounding box tree has been recursive. First, the computer computes a bounding box for the set of the remaining triangles. Then, the computer splits the set of the triangles into two sub-meshs. At last, the computer executes the recursive process on the two new split sub-meshs.

II. BOUNDING VOLUME TREE GENERATION

Our methodology to constructing bounding volume tree has been recursive. The process has been split into three major steps:

- Create a bounding volume for the set of remained triangles.
- Split the set of triangles into two submeshs.
- Execute the recursive process on the two new split submeshes.

The two new split sub-meshes of triangles represent the child nodes of the triangles' initial group node that contains the two sub-meshes. If a sub-mesh contains at least two triangles, then the process will be rerun on that sub-mesh.

The creation of the bounding volume algorithms and the triangle split algorithms have an important effect on the bounding volume tree creation algorithm and its performance. We use "Fitting points with Gaussian distribution" to create the bounding volumes as described in [15]

The motivation for the split of the triangles into two submeshes is creating bounding volumes with minimal dimensions for the sub-meshes.

Fig. 2 depicts an example of four triangles split in two different ways. The number within each triangle represents the sub-mesh the triangle belongs to after the split. This figure demonstrates that a hierarchical intersection checking with a specific segment may create less triangle intersection checks in the left side of the figure because the bounded volume has a smaller dimension. This feature was the major motivation to use the split algorithm described in the next section.



III. TRIANGLES SPLIT ALGORITHM

Each triangular mesh with a corresponding bounding box can be split into two sub-meshes. The triangles split algorithm is described herein below:

- Let min_sum be the maximum value that a float variable can represent.
- For each of the box axes:
 - Select a positive direction for the axis.
- For each triangle
 - Find the maximal valued vertex on the projected axis.
- Sort the triangles by their maximal vertex value.
- For each triangle from the minimum to the maximum:
 - Tag the triangle as a "split triangle" (This tag indicates that the first sub-mesh will contain the triangles from the minimal to the split triangle; whereas the second sub-mesh will contain the rest of the triangles).
 - Calculate the sum of the relative segments of the two sub-meshes. (A relative segment is the length of the projection of a sub-mesh onto the

box axis divided by the original mesh projection length).

- If the relative segments sum is less than the min_sum:
 - Let min sum be the new relative segments.
 - Tag the current axis as the split axis.
 - Tag the current triangle index as the split index.
- Split the triangles according to the latest split axis and the latest split triangle index.



Fig. 3: triangles' set arranged from left to right on the projecting axis with splitting index 4



Fig. 4: triangles' set arranged from left to right on the projecting axis with splitting index 2

The incentive of the algorithm is guaranteeing that there is the smallest possible overlapping between the two sub-meshes' bounding boxes.

Fig. 3 and Fig. 4 show a paradigm of two different split indices. Fig. 3 shows a possible split at triangle index 4. Such a split will generate a larger overlapping between the two divided segments than a split in triangle index 2. Fig. 4 shows this possible split in triangle index 2 and actually this explains why the algorithm would select triangle index 2 to be the split triangle if this was the case.

IV. RESULTS

We used Intel® Pentium® Processor N3540 which is a very common quad-core processor with 2.16GZ. We aimed at gauging the efficiency of the triangle scheme we have used in this paper.

The triangles were processed by the four cores of the processor. We compared the following schemes:

- Best Match Select the core with the highest number of similar geometry parts.
- Random Match For each check, a random unclaimed core will be selected.
- Lowest Match Select the core with the lowest number of similar geometry parts.
- **Best Match-Load** The motivation of this scheme is not to load cores with many checks. Loaded cores should not be selected to make the next checks if a less loaded core is available, even if the less loaded core's geometry is less similar. The load on a core is calculated by dividing the buffered checks in the core by its buffer maximal size. We took into consideration both the load and the geometry similarity to the core's checks with the aim of obtaining the best possible performance.

There are several factors that we should take into account when distribution depth of the bounding volumes is selected. If a small depth is selected, the geometry will be split into large overlapping bounding volumes, which will cause a retrieval of many node collision pairs. On the other hand, if a large depth is selected, the main process will waste more time in analyzing the first step of the collision detection and as a result it may possibly generate a bottleneck.

We have examined the influence of several depths on the performance. We have used the image of the car in Fig. 1b and the image of the car Fig. 5b.

The results are shown in Fig. 6 and Fig. 7. It can be clearly seen that the Best Match scheme gives better performance, both in speedup and relative data transfer.

Fig. 6 and Fig. 7 show in addition that different geometry models have different optimal distribution depth, specifically, In Fig. 6 the optimal distribution depth is 12 and in Fig. 7 the optimal distribution depth is 10.



Fig. 5a: Another original image of a simulation Model



Fig. 5b: Another simulation Model of a geometry shape consists of basic polygons



Fig. 6a: Distribution depth analysis of speedup of the car in Fig 1b.



Fig. 6b: Distribution depth analysis of relative data transfer of the car in Fig 1b.

We can see in Fig. 6 and Fig. 7 trimmed lines in the low distribution depth of Lowest Match and Random Match algorithms. This missing information has been ensued as a result of a lack of memory.

At the initial stage, when the first jobs are sent, all the calculations wait for relocation to other cores in the processor. If a memory wasteful algorithm like Lowest Match or Random Match is used, the processor can quickly run out of memory and will be unable to handle the task.

The buffer size of the cores has a noticeable effect on the performance of the algorithms. The buffer gives a core the option of collecting several jobs and sending them en masse to another core. Therefore, the main process has more time for setting up tasks for other cores.



Fig. 7a: Distribution depth analysis of speedup of the car in Fig 5b.



Fig. 7b: Distribution depth analysis of relative data transfer of the car in Fig 5b.

V. CONCLUSIONS AND FUTURE WORK

The emerging concept of autonomous vehicles gave a boost to the embedded vehicular and transportation computing systems [16,17,18]. The autonomous vehicles motivated many researchers to revisit well-known subjects of computer science [19,20,21].

Primitive intersection is a well-known technique for real time computer graphics implementations like 3D game engine [22]. We suggested in this paper how to adapt this very general concept into a specific assessment tool for potential vehicle crash damage. Such a tool's aim is an automatic decision maker for autonomous vehicles that will decide in an inescapable accident scenario, which sort of accident is the least harmful crash.

REFERENCES

- J. J. Thomson, "Killing, letting die, and the trolley problem", Ethical Theory - An Anthology, Second Edition, John Wiley & Sons, Inc. Publication, pp. 204-217, 1976.
- [2] Y. Wiseman and Y. Giat, "Multi-modal passenger security in Israel Multimodal Security in Passenger and Freight Transportation: Frameworks and Policy Applications, Edward Elgar Publishing Limited, Chapter 16, pp. 246-260, 2016.
- [3] Y. Wiseman and E. Fredj, "Contour Extraction of Compressed JPEG Images", Journal of Graphic Tools, Vol. 6, No. 3, pp. 37-43, 2001.
- [4] E. Fredj and Y. Wiseman, "An O(n) Algorithm for Edge Detection in Photos Compressed by JPEG Format", Proceedings of IASTED International Conference on Signal and Image Processing SIP-2001, Honolulu, Hawaii, pp. 304-308, 2001.
- [5] A. V. Husselmann, A. Hawick, "Spatial Data Structures, Sorting and GPU Parallelism for Situated-agent Simulation and Visualisation", In Proceedings of International Conference on Modelling, Simulation and Visualization Methods, pp. 14-20, Las Vegas, USA 2012.
- [6] C. Stein, M. Limper, and A. Kuijper, "Spatial data structures for accelerated 3D visibility computation to enable large model visualization on the web" In Proceedings of the 19th International ACM Conference on 3D Web Technologies, pp. 53-61, 2014.
- [7] Y. Wiseman, "A Pipeline Chip for Quasi Arithmetic Coding", IEICE Journal - Transactions Fundamentals, Tokyo, Japan, Vol. E84-A No.4, pp. 1034-1041, 2001.
- [8] S. Pabst, A. Koch and W. Straßer, "Fast and scalable cpu/gpu collision detection for rigid and deformable surfaces", In Computer Graphics Forum, vol. 29(5), pp. 1605-1612, 2010.
- [9] T. Ning, L. J., Wang, B. Li, "A Novel Method Based on K_DOPs and Hybrid Bounding Box to Optimize Collision Detection", Journal of Convergence Information Technology, Vol. 7, No. 12, pp. 389-397, 2012.
- [10] J. W. Chang, W. Wang and M. S. Kim. "Efficient collision detection using a dual OBB-sphere bounding volume hierarchy", Computer-Aided Design, Vol. 42(1), pp. 50-57, 2010.
- [11] I. Grinberg and Y. Wiseman, "Scalable Parallel Collision Detection Simulation", In Proceedings of Signal and Image Processing, Honolulu, Hawaii, pp. 380-385, 2007.
- [12] R. Weller, "New Geometric Data Structures for Collision Detection and Haptics", Springer Science & Business Media, 2013.
- [13] M. Teschner, B. Heidelberger, M. Müller, D. Pomerantes, and M. H. Gross. "Optimized Spatial Hashing for Collision Detection of Deformable Objects." In VMV, Vol. 3, pp. 47-54. 2003.
- [14] I. Grinberg and Y. Wiseman, "Scalable Parallel Simulator for Vehicular Collision Detection", International Journal of Vehicle Systems Modelling and Testing, Vol. 8, No. 2, pp. 119-144, 2013.
- [15] L. Maarten, and J. M. Phillips, "Shape fitting on point sets with probability distributions" In Algorithms-ESA 2009, pp. 313-324. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 2009.
- [16] R. Ben Yehuda and Y. Wiseman, "The Offline Scheduler for Embedded Vehicular Systems", International Journal of Vehicle Information and Communication Systems, Vol. 3, No. 1, pp. 44-57, 2013.
- [17] R. Ben Yehuda and Y. Wiseman, "The Offline Scheduler for Embedded Transportation Systems" In Proceedings of IEEE Conference on Industrial Electronics (IEEE ICIT-2011), Auburn, Alabama, pp. 449-454, 2011.
- [18] P. Weisberg and Y. Wiseman, "Efficient Memory Control for Avionics and Embedded Systems", International Journal of Embedded Systems, Vol. 5(4), pp. 225-238, 2013.

- [19] Y. Wiseman, "Take a Picture of Your Tire!", Proceedings of IEEE Conference on Vehicular Electronics and Safety (IEEE ICVES-2010) Qingdao, ShanDong, China, pp. 151-156, 2010.
- [20] Y. Wiseman, "The Effectiveness of JPEG Images Produced By a Standard Digital Camera to Detect Damaged Tyres", World Review of Intermodal Transportation Research, Vol. 4, No. 1, pp. 23-36, 2013.
- [21] Y. Wiseman, "Camera That Takes Pictures of Aircraft and Ground Vehicle Tires Can Save Lives", Journal of Electronic Imaging, Vol. 22, No. 4, 041104, 2013.
- [22] D. H. Eberly, "3D Game Engine Design: A Practical Approach to Real-Time Computer Graphics", CRC Press, 2006.