

Jointly Continuous Utility Functions defined on submetrizable k_ω -spaces.

Rita Ceppitelli
PERUGIA - Italy

IVth Workshop on Coverings, Selections,
and Games in Topology
CASERTA 25 - 30 JUNE 2012

preference relations

Definition

A preference relation \preceq on a set (of alternatives) X is a preorder, that is a reflexive and transitive binary relation.

The preference relation \preceq is complete or total if every pair of elements of X is comparable.

In Economics, *preference relations* are often described by means of *utility functions*.

utility functions

Definition

A function $u : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a utility function representing a preference relation \preceq if:

- (i) $\forall x, y \in X$ t. c. $x \preceq y \Rightarrow u(x) \leq u(y)$;
- (ii) $\forall x, y \in X$ t. c. $x \prec y \Rightarrow u(x) < u(y)$.

utility functions

X a commodity set

\preceq a customer preference relation

$x \preceq y, x, y \in X$

means that the commodity x is weakly preferred to y

to represent \preceq by a utility function $u : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ means to numerically measure the ranking of a customer preference by associating to each possible consumption bundle a real number that measures its utility: **the greater the utility, the more preferred is the bundle, and conversely.**

Continuous utility representation problem

It has been interesting to introduce some structures (topological, linear, algebraical. . . .) on (X, \preceq) and to require that the **utility function** has properties connected with the introduced structure.

We are interested in *continuous* utility functions

Definition

A preference relation on a topological space X is continuous if for every $x \in X$ the sets $(-\infty, x]$ and $[x, +\infty)$ are closed in X .

Continuous utility representation problem

It has been interesting to introduce some structures (topological, linear, algebraical. . . .) on (X, \preceq) and to require that the **utility function** has properties connected with the introduced structure.

We are interested in *continuous* utility functions

Definition

A preference relation on a topological space X is continuous if for every $x \in X$ the sets $(-\infty, x]$ and $[x, +\infty)$ are closed in X .

Another frequently taken assumption is \preceq to be closed (cf. Nachbin (1965) and Levin (1983)).

Definition

A preference relation \preceq on a topological space X is said to be closed if its graph $\{(x, y) \in X \times X : x \preceq y\}$ is a closed subset of the topological product $X \times X$.

Continuity and *closedness* properties are equivalent in the total case.

In general a closed preorder is always continuous (Nachbin (1965)).

Peleg (*) was the first who presented sufficient conditions for the existence of a continuous utility function for a **partial order** on a topological space.

Peleg solved a problem which was posed by Aumann in the context of expected utility.

Aumann observed that a rational decision-maker may express *indecisiveness* (or equivalently *incomparability*) between two alternatives, so that he is not forced to express *indifference*.

(*) B. PELEG, Utility functions for partially ordered topological spaces, *Econometrica* 38 (1970), 93–96.

Existence of jointly continuous utility functions

Let X be a topological space and Γ a set of closed preorders on X .

The *Problem of the Existence of Jointly Continuous Utility Functions* is

to find topological conditions on Γ and X in order to exist a continuous function

$$u : \Gamma \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

such that $u(\preceq, \cdot)$ is a utility function for every $\preceq \in \Gamma$.

Clearly if $\Gamma = \{\preceq\}$ we have the classic continuous representation problem of a continuous preference relation.

Existence of jointly continuous utility functions

Let X be a topological space and Γ a set of closed preorders on X .

The *Problem of the Existence of Jointly Continuous Utility Functions* is

to find topological conditions on Γ and X in order to exist a continuous function

$$u : \Gamma \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

such that $u(\preceq, \cdot)$ is a utility function for every $\preceq \in \Gamma$.

Clearly if $\Gamma = \{\preceq\}$ we have the classic continuous representation problem of a continuous preference relation.

Levin's Theorem

Theorem (Levin, 1983)

Let Γ be metrizable and let X be locally compact and second countable. Moreover, assume that the set

$$G = \{(\preceq, x, y) : x \preceq y\}$$

is closed in $\Gamma \times X \times X$.

Then there exists a continuous function $u : \Gamma \times X \rightarrow [0, 1]$ such that, for each $\preceq \in \Gamma$, $u(\preceq, \cdot)$ is a continuous utility function.

(*) V.L. LEVIN, A continuous utility theorem for closed preorders on a σ -compact metrizable space, Soviet Math. Dokl. 28 (1983), 715–718.

A natural topology on the set Γ of preorders of X should satisfy the following condition:

$$x_n \rightarrow x, y_n \rightarrow y, \preceq_n \rightarrow \preceq, x_n \preceq_n y_n \implies x \preceq y.$$

If the spaces Γ and X are metrizable, the former condition is equivalent to require the set $G = \{(\preceq, x, y) : x \preceq y\}$ to be closed in $\Gamma \times X \times X$.

Levin's Theorem

Let \mathcal{P} be a space of closed preorders defined on closed subsets $D \subset X$ (preorders with moving domain $D(\preceq)$) and $\Phi = \{(\preceq, x) : \preceq \in \mathcal{P}, x \in D(\preceq)\}$

Theorem (Levin, 1983)

If \mathcal{P} is metrizable and X is locally compact second countable and

$$M = \{(\preceq, x, y) : \preceq \in \mathcal{P}, x, y \in D(\preceq), x \preceq y\}$$

is closed in $\mathcal{P} \times X \times X$, there exists a continuous function $u : \Phi \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $u(\preceq, \cdot)$ is a utility function for every $\preceq \in \mathcal{P}$.

Theorem (Back, 1986)

Let X be a locally compact and second countable space. There exists a continuous map $\nu : \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}_\tau$ such that $\nu(\preceq)$ is a utility function for every $\preceq \in \mathcal{P}$. Any such map ν is actually a homeomorphism of \mathcal{P}_{lns} onto $\nu(\mathcal{P}_{lns})$, where \mathcal{P}_{lns} is the family of total locally non-satied preorders.

\mathcal{P} is the space of total closed preorders defined on closed subsets of X , endowed with the Fell topology

\mathcal{U}_τ is the space of all continuous utility functions defined on closed subsets of X with the τ_c topology, a generalized compact-open topology.

K. BACK, Concepts of similarity for utility functions, Journ. of Math. Econ., 15 (1986), 129-142.

The Fell topology on $CL((X, \tau))$, has as a subbase

$$U^- = \{B \in CL((X, \tau)) : B \cap U \neq \emptyset\}, \quad U \in \tau \text{ and}$$

$$(K^c)^+ = \{B \in CL((X, \tau)) : B \cap K = \emptyset\}, \quad K \text{ compact in } (X, \tau).$$

τ_c -topology on \mathcal{U}_τ has as a subbase

$$[G] = \{(D, u) \in \mathcal{U}_\tau : D \cap G \neq \emptyset\}$$

$$[K : I] = \{(D, u) \in \mathcal{U}_\tau : u(D \cap K) \subset I\}$$

where G is an open subset of X , $K \subset X$ is compact and $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ is open (possibly empty).

Definition

A preference relation \preceq on a topological space X is said to be locally non satiated if for every $x \in X$ and for every neighbourhood U of x there is $y \in U$ such that $x \prec y$.

Theorem (CCH, 2010-11)

Let (X, τ) be a regular space submetrizable by a boundedly compact metric ρ . There exists a continuous map

$$\nu : (\mathcal{P}, \tau(\mathcal{L})) \rightarrow (\mathcal{U}_\tau, \tau_c)$$

such that $\nu(\preceq)$ is a utility function for \preceq , for every $\preceq \in \mathcal{P}$.

A. CATERINO, R. CEPPITELLI, L. HOLÀ, Some generalizations of Back's theorem, to appear.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 (\tilde{\mathcal{P}}, F(\rho \times \rho)) & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\nu}} & (\tilde{\mathcal{V}}, \rho_c) \\
 \uparrow \Gamma & & \downarrow L \\
 (\mathcal{P}, \tau(\mathcal{L})) & \xrightarrow{\nu = L \circ \tilde{\nu} \circ \Gamma} & (\mathcal{U}_T, \tau_c)
 \end{array}$$

The space S' of tempered distributions (Example 3.3)(*) is an example of a submetrizable k_ω -space, not submetrizable by a boundedly compact metric.

(*) C. Castaing, P. Raynaud de Fitte and A. Salvadori, Some variational convergence results with applications to evolution inclusions, Adv. Math. Econ. 8 (2006), 33–73.

David Carfi, *S-Linear Algebra in Economics and Physics*, Applied Sciences, (APPS) ISSN 1454-5101 Vol. **9** (2007)

Definition

A Hausdorff topological space is a submetrizable k_ω -space if it is the inductive limit of a nondecreasing sequences of metrizable compact subspaces.

$$X = \bigcup_n K_n$$

We will say that $(K_n)_n$ determines the topology of X .

Theorem

Every submetrizable k_ω -space X is a quotient space of a locally compact second countable space.

$$\pi : (\hat{X}, \eta) \rightarrow (X, \tau)$$

if $X = \bigcup_n K_n$

then $\hat{X} = \bigoplus_n \{n\} \times K_n$

$\pi = \bigvee_n i|_{K_n}$

We put

$$\mathcal{P} = \{ \preceq : \preceq \text{ is a preorder on } D(\preceq) \subset X \text{ and } \preceq \in CL((X, \tau) \times (X, \tau)) \}.$$

For every $\preceq \in \mathcal{P}$ let $\tilde{\preceq}$ be the preorder so defined:

- $D(\tilde{\preceq}) = \pi^{-1}(D(\preceq))$
- for every $a, b \in D(\tilde{\preceq})$, $a \tilde{\preceq} b$ if and only if $\pi(a) \preceq \pi(b)$.

$$\tilde{\mathcal{P}} = \{ \tilde{\preceq} = p^{-1}(\preceq) : \preceq \in \mathcal{P} \} \subset CL(\hat{X} \times \hat{X})$$

where

$$p = \pi \times \pi : \hat{X} \times \hat{X} \rightarrow X \times X.$$

Theorem

Let (X, τ) be a submetrizable k_ω -space. There exists a continuous map

$$\nu : (\mathcal{P}, F(\eta \times \eta)) \rightarrow (\mathcal{U}_\tau, \tau_c)$$

such that $\nu(\preceq)$ is a utility function for \preceq , for every $\preceq \in \mathcal{P}$.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 (\tilde{\mathcal{P}}, F(\eta \times \eta)) & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\nu}} & (\mathcal{V}, \eta_c) \\
 \uparrow \Gamma & & \downarrow L \\
 (\mathcal{P}, F(\eta \times \eta)) & \xrightarrow{\nu = L \circ \tilde{\nu} \circ \Gamma} & (\mathcal{U}_T, \tau_c)
 \end{array}$$

Γ is a homeomorphism

L is continuous

$L(\tilde{u}) = u : D(\preceq) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with $u(x) = \tilde{u}(\pi^{-1}(x))$

is a utility function for \preceq .

Theorem

There exists a continuous map

$$\nu_0 : (\mathcal{P}, F(\tau \times \tau)) \rightarrow (\mathcal{U}_\tau, \tau_c)$$

such that $\nu_0(\preceq)$ is a utility function for \preceq , for every $\preceq \in \mathcal{P}$.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (\mathcal{P}, F(\eta \times \eta)) & \xrightarrow{\nu} & (\mathcal{U}_\tau, \tau_C) \\ \downarrow i & \nearrow \nu_0 & \\ (\mathcal{P}, F(\tau \times \tau)) & & \end{array}$$

$\nu_0(\preceq) = \nu(\preceq)$ for every $\preceq \in \mathcal{P}$.