

UDK 515.122

The Lebesgue and inductive dimensions defined by means of m -coverings

Vitaly V. Fedorchuk

Moscow State University

1. Introduction

In [2] there were introduced classes of \mathcal{G} - C -spaces and m - \mathcal{G} - C -space, where \mathcal{G} is a class of simplicial complexes and $m \geq 2$ is an integer. Partial cases of these classes were considered in [3], where (m, n) - C -spaces were defined ($m \geq n \geq 1$). Let (m, n) - C be the class of all (m, n) - C -spaces. Then all classes (m, n) - C are intermediate between the class $\text{wid} = (2, 1)$ - $C = (n + 1, n)$ - C of all *weakly infinite-dimensional spaces* in the sense of Smirnov and the class C of all C -spaces in the sense of Haver [4], Addis and Gresham [1]. For example,

$$\text{wid} = (2, 1)\text{-}C \supset (3, 1)\text{-}C \supset \dots \supset (m, 1)\text{-}C \supset \dots \supset C.$$

Here we define and investigate new dimension functions: (m, n) -dim and (m, n) -Ind.

2. Definitions

All spaces are assumed to be normal T_1 . All mappings are continuous. The symbol $|A|$ stands for the cardinality of a set A . If A is a subset of a space X , then $\text{Cl}(A) = \text{Cl}_X(A)$ denotes the closure of A in X .

By a cover we mean an open cover of a space. By $\text{cov}(X)$ we denote the set of all covers of X . The set of all finite covers of X is denoted by $\text{cov}_\infty(X)$ and $\text{cov}_m(X)$ stands for the set of all covers of X consisting of $\leq m$ members.

Let u and v be families of subsets of a set X . They say that v *refines* u (v is a *refinement* of u) if each $V \in v$ is contained in some $U \in u$. A family v *combinatorially refines* u (v is a *combinatorial refinement* of u) if there exists an injection $i : v \rightarrow u$ such that $V \subset i(V)$ for each $V \in v$. If v refines u we write $u \prec v$.

For a finite simplicial complex K by $v(K)$ we denote the set of all its vertices. By $\text{Fin}S$ we denote the set of all non-empty finite subsets of S . Let u be a family of arbitrary sets and let $u_0 = \{U \in u : U \neq \emptyset\}$. The *nerve* $N(u)$ of the family u is a simplicial complex such that $v(N(u)) = \{a_U : U \in u_0\}$ and a set $\Delta \in \text{Fin}v(N(u))$ is a simplex of $N(u)$ if and only if $\bigcap\{U : a_U \in \Delta\} \neq \emptyset$.

By the *order* of a family u of sets we mean the largest n such that u contains n sets with non-empty intersection. If no such integer exist, we say that u has order ∞ . The order of u is denoted by $\text{ord}u$. Clearly,

$$\text{ord}u \leq n \iff \dim N(u) \leq n - 1;$$

$$\text{ord}u \leq 1 \iff u \text{ is a disjoint family.}$$

By \mathbb{N} we denote the set of all positive integers.

Let u be a family of subsets of a set X and let $M \subset X$. Then

$$u|M = \{U \cap M : U \in u\}.$$

2.1. Definition. Let $u = (U_1, \dots, U_m) \in \text{cov}_m(X)$ and let $\Phi = (F_1, \dots, F_m)$ be a sequence of closed subsets of X such that

$$F_j \subset U_j, \quad j = 1, \dots, m;$$

$$\text{ord}\Phi \leq 1.$$

Then (u, Φ) is said to be an *m-pair in X*. The set of all *m-pairs in X* is denoted by $m(X)$.

2.2. Definition. Let $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$, $n \leq m$, (u, Φ) be an m -pair in X and let $v = (V_1, \dots, V_m)$ be a family of open subsets of X such that

$$F_j \subset V_j \subset U_j, \quad j = 1, \dots, m :$$

$$\text{ord} v \leq n.$$

Then (u, v, Φ) is called an (m, n) -triple in X .

2.3. Definition. Let $(u, \Phi) \in m(X)$. A closed set $P \subset X$ is said to be an n -partition of (u, Φ) (notation: $P \in \text{Part}(u, \Phi, n)$) if there exists an (m, n) -triple (u, v, Φ) in X such that $P = X \setminus \bigcup v$.

2.4. Definition. Let $(u_i, \Phi_i) \in m(X)$, $i = 1, \dots, r$. The sequence $\left((u_1, \Phi_1), \dots, (u_r, \Phi_r) \right)$ is called n -inessential in X if there exist n -partitions $P_i \in \text{Part}(u_i, \Phi_i, n)$ such that $P_1 \cap \dots \cap P_r = \emptyset$.

2.5. Definiton. Let $m, n \in \mathbb{N}, n \leq m$. To every space X one assigns the *dimension* $(m, n)\text{-dim}X$, which is an integer ≥ -1 or ∞ . The dimension function $(m, n)\text{-dim}$ is defined in the following way:

(1) $(m, n)\text{-dim}X = -1$ if and only if $X = \emptyset$;

(2) $(m, n)\text{-dim}X \leq k$, where $k = 0, 1, \dots$, if every sequence $\left((u_1, \Phi_1), \dots, (u_{k+1}, \Phi_{k+1}) \right)$, $(u_i, \Phi_i) \in m(X)$, is n -inessential in X ;

(3) $(m, n)\text{-dim}X = \infty$, if $(m, n)\text{-dim}X > k$ for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

2.6. Definition. Let $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$. To every space X one assigns the *inductive dimension* $(m, n)\text{-Ind}X$, which is an integer ≥ -1 or ∞ . The dimension function $(m, n)\text{-Ind}$ is defined in the following way:

- (1) $(m, n)\text{-Ind}X = -1$ if and only if $X = \emptyset$;
- (2) $(m, n)\text{-Ind}X \leq k \geq 0$ if for every pair $(u, \Phi) \in m(X)$ there exists an n -partition $P \in \text{Part}(u, \Phi, n)$ such that $(m, n)\text{-Ind}P \leq k - 1$;
- (3) $(m, n)\text{-Ind}X = \infty$ if $(m, n)\text{-Ind}X > k$ for $k = -1, 0, 1, \dots$

3. Dimension (m, n) -dim

From theorem on partitions we get

3.1. Theorem. *For every space X we have*

$$(2, 1)\text{-dim}X = \dim X.$$

The next statement is rather clear.

3.2. Proposition. *Let M be a closed subset of X . Then*

$$(m, n)\text{-dim}M \leq (m, n)\text{-dim}X.$$

3.3. Proposition. *If a space X can be represented as the union of a discrete family X_α , $\alpha \in A$, of closed subspaces such that $(m, n)\text{-dim}X_\alpha \leq k$ for $\alpha \in A$, then $(m, n)\text{-dim}X \leq k$.*

3.4. Definition. For a subspace M of a space X , the *relative (m, n) -dimension* of M is defined by the formula

$$r\text{-}(m, n)\text{-}d_X M = \sup\{(m, n)\text{-dim}F : F \subset M \text{ and } F \text{ is closed in } X\}.$$

Proposition 3.2 implies

3.5. Proposition. *For every normal subspace M of a space X we have*

$$r\text{-}(m, n)\text{-}d_X M \leq (m, n)\text{-dim}M.$$

3.6. Proposition. *If a hereditarily normal space X can be represented as the union of two subspaces Y and Z such that*

$$(m, n)\text{-dim}Y \leq k, \quad r\text{-}(m, n)\text{-}d_X Z \leq l$$

then

$$(m, n)\text{-dim}X \leq k + l + 1.$$

Proposition 3.6 implies

3.7. The addition theorem for (m, n) -dim. *If a hereditarily normal space X is represented as the union of two subspaces X_1 and X_2 , then*

$$(m, n)\text{-dim}X \leq (m, n)\text{-dim}X_1 + (m, n)\text{-dim}X_2 + 1.$$

Theorem 3.7 yields

3.8. Corollary. *If a hereditarily normal space X can be represented as the union of $k + 1$ subspaces X_0, X_1, \dots, X_k such that $(m, n)\text{-dim}X_i \leq 0$ for $i = 0, 1, \dots, k$, then $(m, n)\text{-dim}X \leq k$.*

3.9. Theorem. *Let $S = \{X_\alpha, \pi_\beta^\alpha, A\}$ be an inverse system of compact spaces X_α with $(m, n)\text{-dim}X_\alpha \leq k$, and let $X = \lim S$. Then $(m, n)\text{-dim}X \leq k$.*

3.10. Theorem. *If $n < m$, then for every space X we have*

$$(m, n)\text{-dim}X \leq 0 \iff \dim X \leq n - 1.$$

4. Valuations for dimension (m, n) -dim X

4.1. Definition[3]. A space X is called an $(m, n) - C$ -space (notation: $X \in (m, n) - C$) if for every sequence $u_i \in \text{cov}_m(X), i \in \mathbb{N}$, there exist a sequence v_i of families of open subsets of X such that v_i refines u_i , $\text{ord}v_i \leq n$ and $\cup\{v_i : i \in \mathbb{N}\} \in \text{cov}(X)$.

The following statement is an immediate consequence of definitions.

4.2. Proposition. *If (m, n) -dim $X < \infty$, then $X \in (m, n) - C$.*

Theorem 3.6 and Proposition 3.7 from [3] yield

4.3. Theorem. *Every compact space $X \in (m, n)$ - C is weakly infinite-dimensional.*

4.4. Theorem. *For every space X ,*

$$n((m, n)\text{-dim}) \leq \dim X.$$

Theorem 4.4. implies

4.5. Theorem. *For an arbitrary space X we have*

$$(m, n)\text{-dim} X \leq \dim X.$$

4.6. Theorem. *If $((m, n)\text{-dim} X + 1)n < m$, then*

$$\dim X \leq n((m, n)\text{-dim}) + n - 1.$$

Theorems 4.4 and 4.6 yield

4.7. Corollary. *If $\left((m, n)\text{-dim}X + 1\right)n < m$, then*

$$\frac{\dim X}{n} - 1 + \frac{1}{n} \leq (m, n)\text{-dim}X \leq \frac{\dim X}{n}.$$

4.8. Question. Is the assertion of Theorem 4.6 true unconditionally?

4.9. Theorem. *For every $r \geq 0$ there exists a metrizable separable space X_r such that*

$$(m, n)\text{-dim}X_r = r.$$

4.10. Question. Is it true that for every $r \geq 0$ there exists a metrizable compact space Z_r such that

$$(m, n)\text{-dim}Z_r = r?$$

5. Basic properties of dimensions

(m, n) -Ind

From definition of the large inductive dimension Ind we get

5.1. Theorem. *For every space X we have*

$$(2, 1)\text{-Ind}X = \text{Ind}X.$$

5.2. Proposition. *If Y is closed in X , then*

$$(m, n)\text{-Ind}Y \leq (m, n)\text{-Ind}X.$$

5.3. Proposition. *Let X be the discrete union of subspaces X_α , $\alpha \in A$. Then $(m, n)\text{-Ind}X \leq k$ if and only if $(m, n)\text{-Ind}X_\alpha \leq k$ for every $\alpha \in A$.*

5.4. Theorem. *For every space X we have*

$$(m, n)\text{-Ind}X = (m, n)\text{-Ind}\beta X.$$

5.5. Theorem. *Let X be a hereditarily normal space and let $X = X_1 \cup X_2$. Then*

$$(m, n)\text{-Ind}X \leq (m, n)\text{-Ind}X_1 + (m, n)\text{-Ind}X_2 + 1.$$

5.6. Corollary. *If a hereditarily normal space X can be represented as the union of $k + 1$ subspaces X_0, X_1, \dots, X_k so that $(m, n)\text{-Ind}X_i \leq 0$ for $i = 0, 1, \dots, k$, then $(m, n)\text{-Ind}X \leq k$.*

5.7. Proposition. *For every space X we have*

$$(m, n)\text{-dim}X \leq 0 \iff (m, n)\text{-Ind}X \leq 0.$$

5.8. Theorem. *For every space X we have*

$$(m, n)\text{-dim}X \leq (m, n)\text{-Ind}X.$$

5.9. Theorem. *Let $\text{Ind}X \leq r$. If Ind satisfies a finite sum theorem for dimensions $\leq r - 1$, then $(m, n)\text{-Ind}X \leq \text{Ind}X$.*

As a corollary we get

5.10. Theorem. *For an arbitrary space X with $(m, n)\text{-Ind}X \leq 1$ we have*

$$(m, n)\text{-Ind}X \leq \text{Ind}X.$$

5.11. Theorem. *If X is a perfectly normal space, then $(m, n)\text{-Ind}X \leq \text{Ind}X$.*

5.12. Theorem. *If X is a perfectly normal space, then $(m, 1)\text{-Ind}X = \text{Ind}X$.*

5.13. Question. Does the equality $(m, 1)\text{-Ind} = \text{Ind}X$ hold for an arbitrary space X ?

References

- [1] D.F.Addis and J.H.Gresham, A class of infinite-dimensional spaces. I. Dimension theory and Alexandroff's problem. *Fund. Math.*, **101:3** (1978), 195–205.

- [2] V.V.Fedorchuk, C -spaces and simplicial complexes, *Sib. Matem. J.*, **50:4** (2009), 933–941 (in Russian).

- [3] V.V.Fedorchuk, C -spaces and matrix of infinite-dimensionality, *Topol. Appl.*, **157:17** (2010), 2622-2634.

- [4] W.E.Haver, A covering property for metric spaces. *Lecture Notes in Math.*, **375** (1974), 108–113.

Thank You!