# Variations on selective separability

## Agata Caserta

#### joint work with G. Di Maio and L. D.R.Kočinac

IVth Workshop on Coverings Selections and Games in Topology

### Selective separability

・ロッ ・雪 ・ ・ 神 ・

#### Selective separability

Let A and B be sets whose elements are collections of subsets of an infinite set X.

 $S_1(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$  denotes the selection principle:

For each sequence  $(A_n : n \in \mathbb{N})$  of elements of  $\mathcal{A}$  there is a sequence  $(b_n : n \in \mathbb{N})$  such that for each  $n, b_n \in A_n$ , and  $\{b_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is an element of  $\mathcal{B}$ .

 $S_{fin}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$  denotes the selection hypothesis:

For each sequence  $(A_n : n \in \mathbb{N})$  of elements of  $\mathcal{A}$  there is a sequence  $(B_n : n \in \mathbb{N})$  of finite sets such that for each n,  $B_n \subset A_n$ , and  $\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} B_n$  is an element of  $\mathcal{B}$ .

#### Selective separability

Let A and B be sets whose elements are collections of subsets of an infinite set X.

 $S_1(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$  denotes the selection principle:

For each sequence  $(A_n : n \in \mathbb{N})$  of elements of  $\mathcal{A}$  there is a sequence  $(b_n : n \in \mathbb{N})$  such that for each  $n, b_n \in A_n$ , and  $\{b_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is an element of  $\mathcal{B}$ .

 $S_{fin}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$  denotes the selection hypothesis:

For each sequence  $(A_n : n \in \mathbb{N})$  of elements of  $\mathcal{A}$  there is a sequence  $(B_n : n \in \mathbb{N})$  of finite sets such that for each n,  $B_n \subset A_n$ , and  $\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} B_n$  is an element of  $\mathcal{B}$ .

Let  $\mathcal{D}$  denote the family of dense subspaces of a topological space X, the selection principles  $S_{fin}(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{D})$  is called selective separability = M-separability  $S_1(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{D}) = R$ -separability

## $\theta$ -density Velichko 1966

### Let $x \in X$ and $A \subset X$

- x is a θ-cluster point of A if the closure of each neighbourhood U of x meets A. Cl<sub>θ</sub>(A) is the set of all θ-cluster points of A,
- A is  $\theta$ -closed if  $Cl_{\theta}(A) = A$ ,
- A is  $\theta$ -dense in X if  $Cl_{\theta}(A) = X$ ,

## $\theta$ -density Velichko 1966

Let  $x \in X$  and  $A \subset X$ 

- x is a θ-cluster point of A if the closure of each neighbourhood U of x meets A. Cl<sub>θ</sub>(A) is the set of all θ-cluster points of A,
- A is  $\theta$ -closed if  $Cl_{\theta}(A) = A$ ,
- A is  $\theta$ -dense in X if  $Cl_{\theta}(A) = X$ ,

If X contains a countable  $\theta$ -dense subset, the X is said to be  $\theta$ -separable.  $\mathcal{D}_{\theta}$  denote the family of all  $\theta$ -dense sets in a space X. A space X is said to be:

- selectively θ-separable or M<sub>θ</sub>-separable if X satisfies S<sub>fin</sub>(D<sub>θ</sub>, D<sub>θ</sub>);
- strongly selectively θ-separable or R<sub>θ</sub>-separable if X satisfies S<sub>1</sub>(D<sub>θ</sub>, D<sub>θ</sub>);
- $\mathrm{GN}_{\theta}$ -separable if X satisfies  $\mathrm{S}_1(\mathcal{D}_{\theta}, \mathcal{D}_{\theta}^{gp})$ , where  $A \in \mathcal{D}_{\theta}$  is in  $\mathcal{D}_{\theta}^{gp}$  if it can be written as a union of finite sets  $B_n$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , such that  $\forall U$  open ,  $\overline{U} \cap B_n \neq \emptyset$  for all but finitely many n.

Since  $\mathcal{D} \subset \mathcal{D}_{\theta}$ , we have

æ

《口》《聞》《臣》《臣》

Since  $\mathcal{D} \subset \mathcal{D}_{\theta}$ , we have

$$\begin{split} \mathsf{S}_{\mathit{fin}}(\mathcal{D}_{\theta},\mathcal{D}) &\Rightarrow \mathsf{S}_{\mathit{fin}}(\mathcal{D},\mathcal{D}) \\ & \Downarrow & \Downarrow \\ \mathsf{S}_{\mathit{fin}}(\mathcal{D}_{\theta},\mathcal{D}_{\theta}) &\Rightarrow \mathsf{S}_{\mathit{fin}}(\mathcal{D},\mathcal{D}_{\theta}) \end{split}$$

#### Examples

 Let ℝ endowed with the open-minus-countable topology ϑ: U ∈ ϑ if U = V \ C, where V is open in the usual topology on ℝ, and C is a countable subset of ℝ. It satisfies S<sub>fin</sub>(D<sub>θ</sub>, D<sub>θ</sub>) and does not satisfy neither S<sub>fin</sub>(D<sub>θ</sub>, D) nor S<sub>fin</sub>(D, D).
 Since  $\mathcal{D} \subset \mathcal{D}_{\theta}$ , we have

$$\begin{split} \mathsf{S}_{\mathit{fin}}(\mathcal{D}_{\theta},\mathcal{D}) &\Rightarrow \mathsf{S}_{\mathit{fin}}(\mathcal{D},\mathcal{D}) \\ & \Downarrow & \Downarrow \\ \mathsf{S}_{\mathit{fin}}(\mathcal{D}_{\theta},\mathcal{D}_{\theta}) &\Rightarrow \mathsf{S}_{\mathit{fin}}(\mathcal{D},\mathcal{D}_{\theta}) \end{split}$$

#### Examples

- Let ℝ endowed with the open-minus-countable topology ϑ: U ∈ ϑ if U = V \ C, where V is open in the usual topology on ℝ, and C is a countable subset of ℝ. It satisfies S<sub>fin</sub>(D<sub>θ</sub>, D<sub>θ</sub>) and does not satisfy neither S<sub>fin</sub>(D<sub>θ</sub>, D) nor S<sub>fin</sub>(D, D).
- Let  $X = \mathbb{R} \cup \{p\}$ , where  $p \notin \mathbb{R}$  endowed with topology  $\mathcal{T}$ : a set  $U \in \mathcal{T}$  if either  $U = V \setminus C$  with V open in the usual topology on  $\mathbb{R}$  and C countable in  $\mathbb{R}$ , or  $p \in U$  and  $X \setminus U$  is countable. It satisfies  $S_{fin}(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{D}_{\theta})$  but not neither  $S_{fin}(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{D})$  nor  $S_{fin}(\mathcal{D}_{\theta}, \mathcal{D})$ .

## A family $\mathcal{V}$ of open sets of space X

Agata Caserta Caserta 25-30 June, 2012

э

э

▲□ ▶ ▲ 三 ▶ ▲

### A family $\mathcal{V}$ of open sets of space X

- V is called a π̄-base for X if for any open set U ⊂ X there is V ∈ V such that V ⊂ U
- $\mathcal{V}$  is called a  $\pi_{\theta}$ -base for X if for any open set  $U \subset X$  there is  $V \in \mathcal{V}$  such that  $V \subset \overline{U}$

#### A family $\mathcal{V}$ of open sets of space X

- $\mathcal{V}$  is called a  $\overline{\pi}$ -base for X if for any open set  $U \subset X$  there is  $V \in \mathcal{V}$  such that  $\overline{V} \subset U$
- $\mathcal{V}$  is called a  $\pi_{\theta}$ -base for X if for any open set  $U \subset X$  there is  $V \in \mathcal{V}$  such that  $V \subset \overline{U}$

#### Proposition

- If a space X has a countable  $\pi_{\theta}$ -base, then it satisfies  $S_1(\mathcal{D}_{\theta}, \mathcal{D}_{\theta})$ .
- If a space X has a countable  $\overline{\pi}$ -base, then it satisfies  $S_1(\mathcal{D}_{\theta}, \mathcal{D})$ .
- The product X × Y of a space X satisfying S<sub>fin</sub>(D, D<sub>θ</sub>) and a space Y having a countable π<sub>θ</sub>-base satisfies also S<sub>fin</sub>(D, D<sub>θ</sub>).

X has countable  $\theta$ -fan tightness if for each  $x \in X$  and each sequence  $(A_n : n \in \omega)$  of subsets of X such that  $x \in Cl_{\theta}(A_n)$  for all  $n \in \omega$ , there are finite sets  $F_n \subset A_n$ ,  $n \in \omega$ , such that  $x \in Cl_{\theta}(\bigcup_{n \in \omega} F_n)$ . X has countable  $\theta$ -fan tightness if for each  $x \in X$  and each sequence  $(A_n : n \in \omega)$  of subsets of X such that  $x \in Cl_{\theta}(A_n)$  for all  $n \in \omega$ , there are finite sets  $F_n \subset A_n$ ,  $n \in \omega$ , such that  $x \in Cl_{\theta}(\bigcup_{n \in \omega} F_n)$ .

#### Proposition

If a  $\theta$ -separable space X has countable  $\theta$ -fan tightness, then X is selectively  $M_{\theta}$ -separable.

# The Alexandroff Duplicate

Let  $f : X \to Y$  is said to be  $\theta$ -continuous if for each  $x \in X$  and each open set V containing f(x) there is an open set U containing x such that  $f(\overline{U}) \subset \overline{V}$ .

- If  $f : X \to Y$  is a closed irreducible mapping and U is an open subset of X, then  $f(\overline{U}) = \overline{f^{\#}(U)}$ .
- If f : X → Y is closed irreducible, and D is θ-dense subset of Y, then f<sup>←</sup>(D) is θ-dense in X.

# The Alexandroff Duplicate

Let  $f : X \to Y$  is said to be  $\theta$ -continuous if for each  $x \in X$  and each open set V containing f(x) there is an open set U containing x such that  $f(\overline{U}) \subset \overline{V}$ .

- If  $f : X \to Y$  is a closed irreducible mapping and U is an open subset of X, then  $f(\overline{U}) = \overline{f^{\#}(U)}$ .
- If f : X → Y is closed irreducible, and D is θ-dense subset of Y, then f<sup>←</sup>(D) is θ-dense in X.

### Proposition

The  $M_{\theta}$ -separability is preserved by closed irreducible  $\theta$ -continuous mappings.

# The Alexandroff Duplicate

Let  $f : X \to Y$  is said to be  $\theta$ -continuous if for each  $x \in X$  and each open set V containing f(x) there is an open set U containing x such that  $f(\overline{U}) \subset \overline{V}$ .

- If  $f : X \to Y$  is a closed irreducible mapping and U is an open subset of X, then  $f(\overline{U}) = \overline{f^{\#}(U)}$ .
- If f : X → Y is closed irreducible, and D is θ-dense subset of Y, then f<sup>←</sup>(D) is θ-dense in X.

### Proposition

The  $M_{\theta}$ -separability is preserved by closed irreducible  $\theta$ -continuous mappings.

#### Corollary

The Alexandroff duplicate AD(X) of a space X is  $M_{\theta}$ -separable if and only if X is  $M_{\theta}$ -separable

▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶

The unexpected result that separable Fréchet-Urysohn spaces are M-separable was shown in Barman-Dow.

X is  $\theta$ -Fréchet-Urysohn if for each  $A \subset X$  and each  $x \in Cl_{\theta}(A)$ there is a sequence  $(a_n)_{n \in \omega}$  in A which  $\theta$ -converges to x, i.e. for each open set U containing x there is  $n_0 \in \omega$  such that  $a_n \in \overline{U}$  for all  $n \ge n_0$ . The unexpected result that separable Fréchet-Urysohn spaces are M-separable was shown in Barman-Dow.

X is  $\theta$ -Fréchet-Urysohn if for each  $A \subset X$  and each  $x \in \operatorname{Cl}_{\theta}(A)$ there is a sequence  $(a_n)_{n \in \omega}$  in A which  $\theta$ -converges to x, i.e. for each open set U containing x there is  $n_0 \in \omega$  such that  $a_n \in \overline{U}$  for all  $n \ge n_0$ .

#### Proposition

Let X be a Urysohn space such that for each  $A \subset X$  and each  $x \in X$ ,  $x \in \operatorname{Cl}_{\theta}(A \setminus \{x\})$ . If X is  $\theta$ -separable and  $\theta$ -Fréchet-Urysohn, then X is  $M_{\theta}$ -separable.

## Game $G_{pp}$ (resp. $G_{pp}^{gp}$ )

Two players, ONE and TWO, play a countably infinite game on a space X. In the *n*-th round ONE chooses a nonempty open set  $U_n$  and TWO responds by choosing a point  $x_n \in \overline{U_n}$ . ONE wins a play  $(U_1, x_1; U_2, x_2; \dots; U_n, x_n; \dots)$  if the set  $\{x_n : n \in \omega\}$  is  $\theta$ -dense (resp.  $\theta$ -groupable dense) in X; otherwise TWO wins.

## Game $G_{pp}$ (resp. $G_{pp}^{gp}$ )

Two players, ONE and TWO, play a countably infinite game on a space X. In the *n*-th round ONE chooses a nonempty open set  $U_n$  and TWO responds by choosing a point  $x_n \in \overline{U_n}$ . ONE wins a play  $(U_1, x_1; U_2, x_2; \dots; U_n, x_n; \dots)$  if the set  $\{x_n : n \in \omega\}$  is  $\theta$ -dense (resp.  $\theta$ -groupable dense) in X; otherwise TWO wins.

### Game $G_1(\mathcal{A}; \mathcal{B})$

Two players, ONE and TWO, play an inning per positive integer. In the *n*-th inning ONE chooses a set  $O_n$  from  $\mathcal{A}$ , and TWO responds by choosing an element  $T_n \in O_n$ . The play  $(O_1; T_1; ...; O_n; T_n; ...)$  is won by TWO if  $\{T_n : n \in \omega\}$  is a member of  $\mathcal{B}$ ; otherwise, ONE wins.

| 4 同 🕨 🖌 4 目 🖌 4 目 🖌

The following are equivalent for a space X:

(1) ONE has a winning strategy in the game  $\mathcal{G}_1(\mathcal{D}_\theta, \mathcal{D}_\theta)$  on X;

(2) TWO has a winning strategy in the game  $G_{pp}$  on X.

The following are equivalent for a space X:

(1) ONE has a winning strategy in the game  $\mathcal{G}_1(\mathcal{D}_\theta, \mathcal{D}_\theta)$  on X;

(2) TWO has a winning strategy in the game  $G_{pp}$  on X.

The following are equivalent for a space X:

- (1) ONE has a winning strategy in the game  $\mathcal{G}_1(\mathcal{D}_\theta, \mathcal{D}_\theta)$  on X;
- (2) TWO has a winning strategy in the game  $G_{pp}$  on X.

#### Proposition

If ONE has a winning strategy in the game  $G_{pp}$  on a space X, then TWO has a winning strategy in  $\mathcal{G}_1(\mathcal{D}_{\theta}, \mathcal{D}_{\theta})$ .

The following are equivalent for a space X:

(1) ONE has a winning strategy in the game  $\mathcal{G}_1(\mathcal{D}_\theta, \mathcal{D}_\theta)$  on X;

(2) TWO has a winning strategy in the game  $G_{\rho\rho}$  on X.

#### Proposition

If ONE has a winning strategy in the game  $G_{pp}$  on a space X, then TWO has a winning strategy in  $\mathcal{G}_1(\mathcal{D}_{\theta}, \mathcal{D}_{\theta})$ .

#### Theorem

For a space X the following assertions are equivalent:

- (1) ONE has a winning strategy in the game  $\mathcal{G}_1(\mathcal{D}_\theta, \mathcal{D}_\theta^{gp})$  on X;
- (2) TWO has a winning strategy in the game  $G_{\rho\rho}^{g\rho}$  on X.

- D. Barman, A. Dow, *Selective separability and SS*<sup>+</sup>, Topology Proc. 37 (2011), 181-204.
- A. Bella, M. Bonanzinga, M. Matveev, *Variations of selective separability*, Topology Appl. 156 (2009), 1241-1252.
- A. Bella, M. Bonanzinga, M. Matveev, V. Tkachuk, Selective separability: general facts and behavior in countable spaces, Topology Proc. 32 (2008), 15-30.
- A. Bella, M. Matveev, S. Spadaro, *Variations of selective separability* II: *discrete sets and the influence of convergence and maximality*, Topology and its Applications, in press.
- G. Gruenhage, M. Sakai, *Selective separability and its variations*, Topology Appl. 158 (2011), 1352-1359.
- Lj.D.R. Kočinac, S. Özçağ, Versions of separability in bitopological spaces, Topology Appl. 158 (2011), 1471–1477.

- 4 同 6 4 日 6 4 日 6

- J.R. Porter, R.G. Woods, *Extensions and Absolutes of Hausdorff Spaces*, Springer Verlag, 1988.
- D. Repovš, L. Zdomskyy, On M-separability of countable spaces and function spaces, Topology Appl. 157 (2010) 2538–2541.
- M. Scheepers, *Combinatorics of open covers* (VI): *Selectors for sequences of dense sets*, Quaest. Math. 22 (1999), 109-130.
- N. Velichko, *H-closed topological spaces*, Mat. Sb. (N.S.) 70(112) (1966), 98–112.