

## A TASTE OF SET THEORY: EXERCISE 11

BOAZ TSABAN

*Definition.* For  $f, g \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$ ,  $f \leq^* g$  means:  $f(n) \leq g(n)$  for all but finitely many  $n$  (that is, there is  $m$  such that for all  $n \geq m$ ,  $f(n) \leq g(n)$ ).  $B \subseteq \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$  is *bounded* if there exists  $g \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$  such that  $f \leq^* g$  for all  $f \in B$ .  $\mathfrak{b}$  is the minimal cardinality of an unbounded subset of  $\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$ . Let  $\mathfrak{c} = |\mathbb{R}|$ .

**1.** Prove:

$$(1) \aleph_1 \leq \mathfrak{b}.$$

*Hint.* If  $B = \{f_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ , consider  $g(n) = \max\{f_0(n), f_1(n), \dots, f_n(n)\}$ .

$$(2) \mathfrak{b} \leq \mathfrak{c}.$$

*Definition.*  $S = \{f_{\alpha} : \alpha < \mathfrak{b}\} \subseteq \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$  is a  *$\mathfrak{b}$ -scale* if it is unbounded, and  $\leq^*$ -increasing with  $\alpha$  (that is, for all  $\alpha < \beta < \mathfrak{b}$ ,  $f_{\alpha} \leq^* f_{\beta}$ ).

$S \subseteq \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$  is *strongly unbounded* if, for each  $g \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$ ,  $|\{f \in S : f \leq^* g\}| < |S|$ .

**2.** Prove:

$$(1) \text{ There is a } \mathfrak{b}\text{-scale.}$$

*Hint.* Fix unbounded  $B = \{f_{\alpha} : \alpha < \mathfrak{b}\} \subseteq \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$ . For each  $\alpha < \mathfrak{b}$  take  $g_{\alpha} \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$  witnessing that  $\{f_{\beta}, g_{\beta} : \beta < \alpha\}$  is bounded.

$$(2) \text{ Every } \mathfrak{b}\text{-scale is strongly unbounded.}$$

$$(3) \mathfrak{b} \text{ is regular.}$$

*Hint.* Fix a  $\mathfrak{b}$ -scale  $S = \{f_{\alpha} : \alpha < \mathfrak{b}\} \subseteq \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$ . For each cofinal  $g : \beta \rightarrow \mathfrak{b}$ , look at  $\{f_{g(\alpha)} : \alpha < \beta\}$ .

*Definition.*  $D \subseteq \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$  is *dominating* if for each  $g \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$  there exists  $f \in D$  such that  $g \leq^* f$ .  $\mathfrak{d}$  is the minimal cardinality of a dominating subset of  $\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$ .

It is consistent that  $\mathfrak{d}$  is singular.

*Definition.*  $S = \{f_{\alpha} : \alpha < \mathfrak{d}\} \subseteq \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$  is a  *$\mathfrak{d}$ -scale* if it is dominating, and for all  $\alpha < \beta < \mathfrak{d}$ ,  $f_{\beta} \not\leq^* f_{\alpha}$ .

**3.** Prove:

$$(1) \text{ There is a } \mathfrak{d}\text{-scale.}$$

*Hint.* Similar to Question 3, but make sure that the resulting set is dominating.

$$(2) \text{ Every } \mathfrak{d}\text{-scale is strongly unbounded.}$$

$$(3) \text{ There is a strongly unbounded } S \subseteq \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}} \text{ such that } |S| = \text{cf}(\mathfrak{d}).$$

*Hint.* Fix a  $\mathfrak{d}$ -scale  $S = \{f_{\alpha} : \alpha < \mathfrak{d}\} \subseteq \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$ . For a cofinal  $g : \text{cf}(\mathfrak{d}) \rightarrow \mathfrak{d}$ , look at  $\{f_{g(\alpha)} : \alpha < \text{cf}(\mathfrak{d})\}$ .

$$(4) \aleph_1 \leq \text{cf}(\mathfrak{b}) = \mathfrak{b} \leq \text{cf}(\mathfrak{d}) \leq \mathfrak{d} \leq \mathfrak{c}.$$

*Good luck!*