

On the cardinality of the θ -closed hull of setsFilippo Cammaroto^a, Andrei Catalioto^a, Bruno Antonio Pansera^a, Boaz Tsaban^{b,*}^a *Dipartimento di Matematica, Università di Messina, viale Ferdinando Stagno D'Alcontres 31, S. Agata 98166, Messina, Italy*^b *Department of Mathematics, Bar-Ilan University, Ramat Gan 52900, Israel*

ARTICLE INFO

MSC:

primary 54A25, 54D10
secondary 54A20, 54D25

Keywords:

Urysohn space
 n -Urysohn space
Finitely-Urysohn space
Urysohn number
H-closed space
H-set
 θ -Closure
 θ -Closed hull
 θ -Tightness
 θ -Bitightness
Finite θ -bitightness
 θ -Bitightness small number
 θ -Character
Character
Cardinal inequalities

ABSTRACT

The θ -closed hull of a set A in a topological space is the smallest set C containing A such that, whenever all closed neighborhoods of a point intersect C , this point is in C .

We define a new topological cardinal invariant function, the θ -bitightness small number of a space X , $bts_{\theta}(X)$, and prove that in every topological space X , the cardinality of the θ -closed hull of each set A is at most $|A|^{bts_{\theta}(X)}$. Using this result, we synthesize all earlier results on bounds on the cardinality of θ -closed hulls. We provide applications to P -spaces and to the almost-Lindelöf number.

© 2013 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

An *Urysohn* (or $T_{2\frac{1}{2}}$) *space*, is a space in which distinct points are separated by closed neighborhoods. Thus, Urysohn spaces are in between Hausdorff and regular spaces. The spaces considered here generalize Urysohn spaces.

Let X be a topological space. A point $x \in X$ is in the θ -derivative $\theta(A)$ of a set $A \subseteq X$ if each closed neighborhood of x intersects A (cf. Veličko [11]).¹ For regular spaces, $\theta(A) = \bar{A}$, but in general the operator

* Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: camfil@unime.it (F. Cammaroto), acatalioto@unime.it (A. Catalioto), bpansera@unime.it (B.A. Pansera), tsaban@math.biu.ac.il (B. Tsaban).URL: <http://www.cs.biu.ac.il/~tsaban> (B. Tsaban).¹ The use of the letter θ for these concepts was proposed by Alexandroff, in recognition of Fedorchuk's results on the involved concepts (θ is the first letter in a Greek transcription of "Fedorchuk".) For additional details on the history of and motivation for the concepts treated in this paper, see [7].

θ is not idempotent for Urysohn spaces.² The θ -closed hull \bar{A}^θ of A (cf. [3]) is the smallest set $C \subseteq X$ such that $A \subseteq C = \theta(C)$.³

As there are first countable Urysohn spaces X and sets $A \subseteq X$ such that, e.g., $|\bar{A}| = \aleph_0 < 2^{\aleph_0} = \theta(A)$ [3], a major goal concerning the mentioned concepts is that of providing upper bounds on the cardinalities of θ -closed hulls of sets, in terms of cardinal functions of the ambient space X (e.g., Bella and Cammaroto [3], Cammaroto and Kočinac [8,9], Bella [2], Alas and Kočinac [1], Bonanzinga, Cammaroto and Matveev [5], Bonanzinga and Pansera [6], and McNeill [10]). We identify several concepts and topological cardinal functions, which lead to generalizations of results from the mentioned papers.

Throughout this paper, X is a topological space and A is an arbitrary subset of X .

Recall that for $x \in X$, $\chi(X, x)$ is the minimal cardinality of a local base at x , and the *character* $\chi(X)$ of X is the maximum of \aleph_0 and $\sup_{x \in X} \chi(X, x)$. In 1988, Bella and Cammaroto proved that, for Urysohn spaces X , $|\bar{A}^\theta| \leq |A|^{\chi(X)}$ [3].

For $x \in X$, let $\chi_\theta(X, x)$ be the minimal cardinality of a family of *closed* neighborhoods of x such that each closed neighborhood of x contains one from this family. The θ -character $\chi_\theta(X)$ of X is the maximum of \aleph_0 and $\sup_{x \in X} \chi_\theta(X, x)$. Thus, $\chi_\theta(X) \leq \chi(X)$. In [1], Alas and Kočinac define this topological cardinal invariant, show that the inequality may be proper, and modify the Bella–Cammaroto argument to show that, for Urysohn spaces X , $|\bar{A}^\theta| \leq |A|^{\chi_\theta(X)}$.

In 1993, Cammaroto and Kočinac defined the θ -bitightness of an Urysohn space X , $\text{bt}_\theta(X)$, to be the minimal cardinal κ such that, for each non- θ -closed $A \subseteq X$, there are $x \in \theta(A) \setminus A$ and sets $A_\alpha \in [A]^{\leq \kappa}$, $\alpha < \kappa$, such that $\bigcap_{\alpha < \kappa} \theta(A_\alpha) = \{x\}$ [8]. For Urysohn spaces X , Cammaroto and Kočinac proved that $\text{bt}_\theta(X) \leq \chi(X)$. Moreover, their proof shows that $\text{bt}_\theta(X) \leq \chi_\theta(X)$. They supplied examples where the inequality is strict, and proved that $|\bar{A}^\theta| \leq |A|^{\text{bt}_\theta(X)}$, thus refining the Bella–Cammaroto Theorem.

In their recent work [5], Bonanzinga, Cammaroto and Matveev defined the *Urysohn number* $U(X)$ to be the minimal cardinal κ such that, for each set $\{x_\alpha : \alpha < \kappa\} \subseteq X$, there are closed neighborhoods U_α of x_α , $\alpha < \kappa$, such that $\bigcap_{\alpha < \kappa} U_\alpha = \emptyset$. Thus, X is Urysohn if and only if $U(X) = 2$. They note that, for Hausdorff spaces, $U(X) \leq |X|$, and prove that for each cardinal $\kappa \geq 2$, there is a Hausdorff space with $U(X) = \kappa$ [5].

Definition 1.1. X is *finitely-Urysohn* if $U(X)$ is finite.

Bonanzinga, Cammaroto and Matveev generalized the result by Bella and Cammaroto from Urysohn to finitely-Urysohn spaces [5]. Later, Bonanzinga and Pansera improved this and the result by Alas and Kočinac: For finitely-Urysohn spaces, $|\bar{A}^\theta| \leq |A|^{\chi_\theta(X)}$ [6].

A technical problem in synthesizing the Bonanzinga–Cammaroto–Matveev theorem and the Cammaroto–Kočinac theorem is that $\text{bt}_\theta(X)$ need not be defined for finitely-Urysohn spaces.

We define a new topological cardinal invariant function, the θ -bitightness *small number* of a space X , denoted $\text{bts}_\theta(X)$, and prove the following assertions:

- (1) $\text{bts}_\theta(X)$ is defined for all topological spaces X (Definition 2.7).
- (2) Whenever $\text{bt}_\theta(X)$ is defined, $\text{bts}_\theta(X) \leq \text{bt}_\theta(X)$ (Corollary 2.2 and Definition 2.7).
- (3) For all finitely-Urysohn spaces, $\text{bts}_\theta(X) \leq \chi_\theta(X)$ (Theorem 2.6 and Definition 2.7).
- (4) In every topological space X , $|\bar{A}^\theta| \leq |A|^{\text{bts}_\theta(X)}$ (Theorem 2.8).

This generalizes all of the above-mentioned results. The situation is summarized in the following diagram.

² In earlier works, the θ -derivative $\theta(A)$ is also denoted $\text{cl}_\theta(A)$ and called θ -closure. Since the operator θ is not idempotent, we decided not to use the term *closure* here.

³ In earlier works, the θ -closed hull of A is also denoted $[A]_\theta$.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \forall \text{ finitely-Urysohn } X, |\overline{A}^\theta| \leq |A|^{\chi(X)} \text{ [5]} & \longrightarrow & \forall \text{ Urysohn } X, |\overline{A}^\theta| \leq |A|^{\chi(X)} \text{ [3]} \\
 \uparrow & & \uparrow \\
 \forall \text{ finitely-Urysohn } X, |\overline{A}^\theta| \leq |A|^{\chi_\theta(X)} \text{ [6]} & \longrightarrow & \forall \text{ Urysohn } X, |\overline{A}^\theta| \leq |A|^{\chi_\theta(X)} \text{ [1]} \\
 \uparrow & & \uparrow \\
 \forall X, |\overline{A}^\theta| \leq |A|^{\text{bts}_\theta(X)} & \longrightarrow & \forall \text{ Urysohn } X, |\overline{A}^\theta| \leq |A|^{\text{bt}_\theta(X)} \text{ [8]}
 \end{array}$$

We actually establish finer theorems than the ones mentioned above, as explained in the following sections.

We also provide a partial solution to a problem of Bonanzinga, Cammaroto and Matveev [5] and Bonanzinga and Pansera [6].

2. Finite bitightness and the bitightness small number

Definition 2.1. The *finite θ -bitightness* of a space X , $\text{fbt}_\theta(X)$, is the minimal cardinal κ such that, for each non- θ -closed $A \subseteq X$, there are sets $A_\alpha \in [A]^{\leq \kappa}$, $\alpha < \kappa$, such that $\bigcap_{\alpha < \kappa} \theta(A_\alpha) \setminus A$ is finite and nonempty.

Corollary 2.2. $\text{fbt}_\theta(X)$ is defined for all finitely-Urysohn spaces. When $\text{bt}_\theta(X)$ is defined, so is $\text{fbt}_\theta(X)$, and $\text{fbt}_\theta(X) \leq \text{bt}_\theta(X)$.

The following easy fact will be used in several occasions.

Lemma 2.3. If $x \in \theta(A)$, then for each closed neighborhood V of x , $x \in \theta(A \cap V)$.

For Urysohn spaces, $\text{fbt}_\theta(X)$ is very closely related to $\text{bt}_\theta(X)$.

Proposition 2.4. Let X be an Urysohn space, and $\kappa = \text{fbt}_\theta(X)$. For each non- θ -closed $A \subseteq X$, there are $x \notin A$ and $A_\alpha \in [A]^{\leq \kappa}$, $\alpha < \kappa$, such that $\bigcap_{\alpha < \kappa} \theta(A_\alpha) \setminus A = \{x\}$.

Proof. Pick sets $A_\alpha \in [A]^{\leq \kappa}$, $\alpha < \kappa$, such that $\bigcap_{\alpha < \kappa} \theta(A_\alpha) \setminus A$ is finite, say equal to $\{x_1, \dots, x_k\}$.

Since X is Urysohn, there are closed neighborhoods V_i of x_i , $i \leq k$, such that $V_1 \cap (V_2 \cup \dots \cup V_k) = \emptyset$. Indeed, for each $i = 2, \dots, k$ pick disjoint closed neighborhoods U_i and V_i of x_1, x_i , respectively, and set $V_1 = U_2 \cap \dots \cap U_k$.

For each $\alpha < \kappa$, $x_1 \in \theta(A_\alpha \cap V_1)$. Then $A_\alpha \cap V_1 \in [A]^{\leq \kappa}$ for each α , and

$$\bigcap_{\alpha < \kappa} \theta(A_\alpha \cap V_1) \setminus A = \{x_1\}. \quad \square$$

Lemma 2.5. Let X be a finitely-Urysohn space. For all $B, D \subseteq X$ with $B \subseteq \theta(D)$ and $|B| \geq \text{U}(X)$, there are $1 \leq m \leq k < \text{U}(X)$ and $b_1, \dots, b_k \in B$ such that

$$B \cap \bigcap_{V \in \mathcal{N}_\theta(b_1) \wedge \dots \wedge \mathcal{N}_\theta(b_k)} \theta(D \cap V) = \{b_1, \dots, b_m\}. \tag{1}$$

Proof. For $k = \text{U}(X)$, any intersection as in (1) is empty. For $k = 1$, any such intersection is nonempty (since, by Lemma 2.3, it contains b_1). Thus, let k be maximal such that there are $b_1, \dots, b_k \in B$ for which the intersection in (1) is nonempty. $1 \leq k < \text{U}(X)$. We claim that

$$B \cap \bigcap_{V \in \mathcal{N}_\theta(b_1) \wedge \dots \wedge \mathcal{N}_\theta(b_k)} \theta(D \cap V) \subseteq \{b_1, \dots, b_k\}.$$

Assume, towards a contradiction, that there is

$$x \in B \cap \bigcap_{V \in \mathcal{N}_\theta(b_1) \wedge \dots \wedge \mathcal{N}_\theta(b_k)} \theta(D \cap V) \setminus \{b_1, \dots, b_k\}.$$

By Lemma 2.3, for each $V \in \mathcal{N}_\theta(b_1) \wedge \dots \wedge \mathcal{N}_\theta(b_k)$ and each $W \in \mathcal{N}_\theta(x)$, $x \in \theta(D \cap V \cap W)$. Thus,

$$x \in B \cap \bigcap_{V \in \mathcal{N}_\theta(b_1) \wedge \dots \wedge \mathcal{N}_\theta(b_k) \wedge \mathcal{N}_\theta(x)} \theta(D \cap V),$$

and in particular this set is nonempty. This contradicts the maximality of k .

Thus, the intersection is nonempty, and by reordering b_1, \dots, b_k , we may assume that the intersection is $\{b_1, \dots, b_k\}$ for some m with $1 \leq m \leq k$. \square

Theorem 2.6. For each finitely-Urysohn space X , $\text{fbt}_\theta(X) \leq \chi_\theta(X)$.

Proof. For families of sets $\mathcal{F}_1, \mathcal{F}_2, \dots, \mathcal{F}_n \subseteq P(X)$, define

$$\mathcal{F}_1 \wedge \mathcal{F}_2 \wedge \dots \wedge \mathcal{F}_n := \{V_1 \cap V_2 \cap \dots \cap V_n : V_1 \in \mathcal{F}_1, \dots, V_n \in \mathcal{F}_n\}.$$

For $x \in X$, let $\mathcal{N}_\theta(x)$ be the family of closed neighborhoods of x .

Let $\kappa = \chi_\theta(X)$. Let $A \subseteq X$ be non- θ -closed. Assume that $\theta(A) \setminus A$ is finite. Fix $b \in \theta(A) \setminus A$. Fix a base $\{V_\alpha : \alpha < \kappa\}$ for $\mathcal{N}_\theta(b)$. For each $\alpha < \kappa$, let $a_\alpha \in A \cap V_\alpha$. Let $D = \{a_\alpha : \alpha < \kappa\}$, and set $A_\alpha = D$ for all $\alpha < \kappa$. Then

$$b \in \theta(D) \setminus A = \bigcap_{\alpha < \kappa} \theta(A_\alpha) \setminus A \subseteq \theta(A) \setminus A,$$

so that $\bigcap_{\alpha < \kappa} \theta(A_\alpha) \setminus A$ is finite and nonempty, and the requirement in the definition of $\text{fbt}_\theta(X) \leq \kappa$ is fulfilled.

Thus, assume that the set $B = \theta(A) \setminus A$ is infinite. Apply Lemma 2.5 to the sets B and $D = A$, to obtain $1 \leq m \leq k < \text{U}(X)$ and $b_1, \dots, b_k \in B$ such that Eq. (1) holds. For each $i \leq k$, fix a basis \mathcal{F}_i for $\mathcal{N}_\theta(b_i)$ with $|\mathcal{F}_i| \leq \kappa$. Enumerate

$$\mathcal{F}_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \mathcal{F}_k = \{V_\alpha : \alpha < \kappa\}.$$

By Eq. (1),

$$B \cap \bigcap_{\alpha < \kappa} \theta(A \cap V_\alpha) = \{b_1, \dots, b_m\}.$$

In particular, for each $\alpha < \kappa$ there is $a_\alpha \in A \cap V_\alpha$. Take

$$C = \{a_\alpha : \alpha < \kappa\} \in [A]^{\leq \kappa}.$$

Fix $i \leq m$ and $\alpha < \kappa$. Let $V \in \mathcal{N}_\theta(b_i)$. Then $V_\alpha \cap V \in \mathcal{N}_\theta(b_1) \wedge \dots \wedge \mathcal{N}_\theta(b_m)$, and thus there is $\beta < \kappa$ such that $V_\beta \subseteq V_\alpha \cap V$. Then $a_\beta \in C \cap V_\alpha \cap V$, and in particular $C \cap V_\alpha \cap V$ is nonempty. This shows that $b_i \in \theta(C \cap V_\alpha)$.

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} b_1, \dots, b_m \in \bigcap_{\alpha < \kappa} \theta(C \cap V_\alpha) \setminus A &\subseteq \bigcap_{\alpha < \kappa} \theta(A \cap V_\alpha) \setminus A \\ &\subseteq (\theta(A) \setminus A) \cap \bigcap_{\alpha < \kappa} \theta(A \cap V_\alpha) = \{b_1, \dots, b_m\}, \end{aligned}$$

and therefore

$$\bigcap_{\alpha < \kappa} \theta(C \cap V_\alpha) \setminus A = \{b_1, \dots, b_m\},$$

as required in the definition of $\text{fbt}_\theta(X) \leq \kappa$. \square

Definition 2.7. The θ -bitightness small number of X , $\text{bts}_\theta(X)$, is the minimal cardinal κ such that, for each non- θ -closed $A \subseteq X$ that is not a singleton,⁴ there are $A_\alpha \in [A]^{\leq \kappa}$, $\alpha < \kappa$, such that

$$\bigcap_{\alpha < \kappa} \theta(A_\alpha) \setminus A \neq \emptyset \quad \text{and} \quad \left| \bigcap_{\alpha < \kappa} \theta(A_\alpha) \right| \leq |A|^\kappa.$$

$\text{bts}_\theta(X)$ is defined for all spaces X , and is obviously $\leq \text{fbt}_\theta(X)$ whenever the latter is defined.

Theorem 2.8. Let X be a topological space. For each $A \subseteq X$,

$$|\overline{A}^\theta| \leq |A|^{\text{bts}_\theta(X)}.$$

Proof. Let $\kappa = \text{bts}_\theta(X)$, $\lambda = |A|$. We define sets $C_\alpha \subseteq X$, with $|C_\alpha| \leq \lambda^\kappa$, $\alpha \leq \kappa^+$, by induction on α .

$$C_0 := A.$$

Given C_α ,

$$C_{\alpha+1} := \bigcup \left\{ \bigcap_{\beta < \kappa} \theta(B_\beta) : \{B_\beta : \beta < \kappa\} \subseteq [C_\alpha]^{\leq \kappa}, \left| \bigcap_{\beta < \kappa} \theta(B_\beta) \right| \leq \lambda^\kappa \right\}.$$

Then $C_\alpha \subseteq C_{\alpha+1}$. As $|C_\alpha| \leq \lambda^\kappa$, $|C_{\alpha+1}| \leq ((\lambda^\kappa)^\kappa)^\kappa \cdot (\lambda^\kappa)^\kappa = \lambda^\kappa$.

For a limit ordinal α , $C_\alpha := \bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} C_\beta$. Then $|C_\alpha| \leq |\alpha| \cdot \lambda^\kappa \leq \kappa^+ \cdot \lambda^\kappa = \lambda^\kappa$.

End of the construction.

Let $C = C_{\kappa^+}$. Then $|C| \leq \lambda^\kappa$, $A = C_0 \subseteq C$, and C is θ -closed. Indeed, assume otherwise and let $B_\alpha \in [C]^{\leq \kappa}$, $\alpha < \kappa$, be such that $\bigcap_{\alpha < \kappa} \theta(B_\alpha) \setminus C \neq \emptyset$ and $|\bigcap_{\alpha < \kappa} \theta(B_\alpha)| \leq |C|^\kappa$. Then $|\bigcap_{\alpha < \kappa} \theta(B_\alpha)| \leq (\lambda^\kappa)^\kappa = \lambda^\kappa$. As κ^+ is regular, for each $\alpha < \kappa$ there is $\beta_\alpha < \kappa^+$ such that $B_\alpha \subseteq C_{\beta_\alpha}$. Again as κ^+ is regular, $\beta := \sup_{\alpha < \kappa} \beta_\alpha < \kappa$. Then $B_\alpha \in [C_\beta]^{\leq \kappa}$ for all $\alpha < \kappa$, and thus $\bigcap_{\alpha < \kappa} \theta(B_\alpha) \subseteq C_{\beta+1} \subseteq C$. A contradiction. \square

Remark 2.9. Immediately after Proposition 7 of [2], Bella points out that there are Hausdorff spaces X where the inequality $|\overline{A}^\theta| \leq |A|^{\chi(X)}$ fails for some of their subsets. In particular, by Theorem 2.8, $\text{bts}_\theta(X)$ may be larger than $\chi_\theta(X)$ may fail for general Hausdorff spaces X .

⁴ In the Hausdorff context, singletons are θ -closed, and thus the restriction to non-singletons may be removed.

3. The θ -closed hull in P -spaces

Bonanzinga, Cammaroto and Matveev [5] and Bonanzinga and Pansera [6] ask whether, in all Hausdorff spaces X , $|\overline{A}^\theta| \leq |A|^{\chi_\theta(X)} \cdot \mathfrak{U}(X)$. We give a partial answer.

Definition 3.1. The θ - P -point number of a space is the minimal cardinal κ such that some $x \in X$ has closed neighborhoods V_α , $\alpha < \kappa$, with $\bigcap_{\alpha < \kappa} V_\alpha$ not a neighborhood of x .

As the θ - P -point number of any space is at least \aleph_0 , the following theorem generalizes the Bonanzinga–Pansera Theorem, and thus also the earlier three theorems discussed in the introduction.

Theorem 3.2. Let X be a topological space whose Urysohn number is smaller than its θ - P -point number. For each $A \subseteq X$,

$$|\overline{A}^\theta| \leq |A|^{\chi_\theta(X)} \cdot \mathfrak{U}(X).$$

Proof. Let $\kappa = \chi_\theta(X)$. For each $x \in \theta(A)$, let $\{V_\alpha^x: \alpha < \kappa\}$ be a family of closed neighborhoods of x such that each closed neighborhood of x contains one from this family. For each $\alpha < \kappa$, fix $a_{x,\alpha} \in A \cap V_\alpha^x$. Let $A_x = \{a_{x,\alpha}: \alpha < \kappa\}$.

Define a map

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi : \theta(A) &\rightarrow [[A]^{\leq \kappa}]^{\leq \kappa}, \\ x &\mapsto \{A_x \cap V_\alpha^x : \alpha < \kappa\}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $\nu = \mathfrak{U}(X)$. Let x_α , $\alpha < \nu$, be distinct elements of $\theta(A)$ which are all mapped to the same element $\Psi(x)$. For each $\alpha < \nu$, pick $\beta_\alpha < \kappa$ such that

$$\bigcap_{\alpha < \nu} V_{\beta_\alpha}^{x_\alpha} = \emptyset.$$

Let $\alpha < \nu$. As $\Psi(x_\alpha) = \Psi(x)$, there is $\gamma_\alpha < \kappa$ such that $A_{x_\alpha} \cap V_{\beta_\alpha}^{x_\alpha} = A_x \cap V_{\gamma_\alpha}^x$. As ν is smaller than the θ - P -point number of X , $V := \bigcap_{\alpha < \nu} V_{\gamma_\alpha}^x$ is a closed neighborhood of x . Fix $\delta < \kappa$ such that $V_\delta^x \subseteq V$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} a_{x,\delta} \in A_x \cap V_\delta^x &\subseteq A_x \cap V = A_x \cap \bigcap_{\alpha < \nu} V_{\gamma_\alpha}^x = \bigcap_{\alpha < \nu} A_x \cap V_{\gamma_\alpha}^x \\ &= \bigcap_{\alpha < \nu} A_{x_\alpha} \cap V_{\beta_\alpha}^{x_\alpha} \subseteq \bigcap_{\alpha < \nu} V_{\beta_\alpha}^{x_\alpha} = \emptyset; \end{aligned}$$

a contradiction.

Thus, Ψ is $< \nu$ to 1, and therefore the cardinality of \overline{A}^θ is at most

$$|[[A]^{\leq \kappa}]^{\leq \kappa}| \cdot \nu = |A|^\kappa \cdot \nu.$$

By induction on $\alpha \leq \kappa^+$, define $A_0 := A$, $A_{\alpha+1} := \theta(A_\alpha)$, and $A_\alpha = \bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} A_\beta$ for limit ordinals α . Then, by induction, $|A_\alpha| \leq |A|^\kappa \cdot \nu$ for all α . As $\chi_\theta(X) = \kappa$, $A_{\kappa^+} = \overline{A}^\theta$ [6]. \square

Recall that X is a P -space if each countable intersection of neighborhoods is a neighborhood. Thus, the θ - P -point number of a P -space is $\geq \aleph_1$.

Corollary 3.3. Let X be a P -space with $\mathfrak{U}(X) = \aleph_0$. For each $A \subseteq X$, $|\overline{A}^\theta| \leq |A|^{\chi_\theta(X)}$.

4. The almost-Lindelöf number

Definition 4.1. ([3]) The *almost-Lindelöf number* $\text{aL}(A, X)$ of a set $A \subseteq X$ is the minimal cardinal κ such that, for each open cover \mathcal{U} of A , there is $\mathcal{V} \in [\mathcal{U}]^{\leq \kappa}$ such that $A \subseteq \bigcup_{U \in \mathcal{U}} \bar{U}$.

Theorem 4.2. Let X be a Hausdorff topological space. For each $A \subseteq X$,

$$|A| \leq 2^{\text{bts}_\theta(X) \cdot \chi_\theta(X) \cdot \text{aL}(A, X)}.$$

Proof. Let $\kappa = \text{bts}_\theta(X) \cdot \chi_\theta(X) \cdot \text{aL}(A, X)$. For each $x \in X$, let \mathcal{F}_x be a family of closed neighborhoods of x such that $|\mathcal{F}_x| \leq \kappa$, and each closed neighborhood of x contains one from \mathcal{F}_x .

Fix $a \in A$. We define, by induction on $\alpha \leq \kappa^+$, sets $A_\alpha \subseteq X$ such that $|A_\alpha| \leq 2^\kappa$.

$$A_0 := \{a\}.$$

Step $\alpha > 0$: Let $B = \bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} A_\beta$. By the induction hypothesis, $|B| \leq 2^\kappa$. Thus, $|\bigcup_{x \in B \cap A} \mathcal{F}_x| \leq 2^\kappa$ as well, and therefore $|\{\bigcup_{x \in B \cap A} \mathcal{F}_x\}^{\leq \kappa}| \leq 2^\kappa$. For each $\mathcal{V} \in \{\bigcup_{x \in B \cap A} \mathcal{F}_x\}^{\leq \kappa}$, with $A \setminus \bigcup \mathcal{V} \neq \emptyset$, pick a point from $A \setminus \bigcup \mathcal{V}$. Let C be the set of these points. Then $|B \cup C| \leq 2^\kappa$. Set $B_\alpha = \overline{B \cup C}^\theta$. As $\text{bts}_\theta(X) \leq \kappa$, we have by Theorem 2.8 that $|B| \leq (2^\kappa)^\kappa = 2^\kappa$. End of the construction.

Let $B = B_{\kappa^+}$. It remains to show that $A \subseteq B$. Assume otherwise, and fix $a_0 \in A \setminus B$. As B is θ -closed, for each $x \in A \setminus B$ we can choose $V_x \in \mathcal{F}_x$ such that $V_x \cap B = \emptyset$. For $x \in A \cap B$, choose $V_x \in \mathcal{F}_x$ such that $a_0 \notin V_x$. As $\{V_x^\circ : x \in A\}$ is an open cover of A and $\text{aL}(A, X) \leq \kappa$, there is $K \in [A]^{\leq \kappa}$ such that $A \subseteq \bigcup_{x \in K} V_x$. As $V_x \cap B = \emptyset$ for each $x \in A \setminus B$,

$$B \cap A \subseteq \bigcup_{x \in K \cap B} V_x.$$

As κ^+ is regular, there is $\alpha < \kappa^+$ such that $K \cap B \subseteq B_\alpha$. As $a_0 \in A \setminus \bigcup_{x \in K \cap B} V_x$, we have by the construction of $B_{\alpha+1}$ an element in $B_{\alpha+1} \cap A \setminus \bigcup_{x \in K \cap B} V_x$, and therefore so in $B \cap A \setminus \bigcup_{x \in K \cap B} V_x$; a contradiction. \square

The following corollary improves upon a result of Bonanzinga, Cammaroto and Matveev [5], asserting that for Hausdorff, finitely-Urysohn spaces X , $|X| \leq 2^{\chi(X) \cdot \text{aL}(X, X)}$.

Corollary 4.3. Let X be a Hausdorff, finitely-Urysohn space. For each $A \subseteq X$, $|A| \leq 2^{\chi_\theta(X) \cdot \text{aL}(A, X)}$. In particular, $|X| \leq 2^{\chi_\theta(X) \cdot \text{aL}(X, X)}$.

Proof. By Theorem 2.6, $\text{bts}_\theta(X) \leq \text{fbt}_\theta(X) \leq \chi_\theta(X)$ for finitely-Urysohn spaces. Thus, Theorem 4.2 applies. \square

4.1. Final comment

Replacing, everywhere relevant, *closed neighborhoods* by *neighborhoods*, one obtains the notions of *finitely-Hausdorff* spaces, and the corresponding results hold true. This line of investigation was initiated by Bonanzinga in [4]. The results presented here generalize some of her results.

Acknowledgements

This research was partially supported by CNR (GNSAGA) and MIUR, Italy, through “Fondi 40%”. A part of this work was carried out during a visit of the fourth named author at the University of Messina. This author thanks his hosts for their warm hospitality and stimulating atmosphere.

References

- [1] O. Alas, L. Kočinac, More cardinal inequalities on Urysohn spaces, *Mathematica Balkanica* 14 (2000) 247–252.
- [2] A. Bella, A couple of questions concerning cardinal invariants, *Questions and Answers in General Topology* 14 (1996) 139–143.
- [3] A. Bella, F. Cammaroto, On the cardinality of Urysohn spaces, *Canadian Mathematics Bulletin* 31 (1988) 153–158.
- [4] M. Bonanzinga, On the Hausdorff number of a topological space, *Houston Journal of Mathematics* 39 (2013) 1013–1030.
- [5] M. Bonanzinga, F. Cammaroto, M. Matveev, On the Urysohn number of a topological space, *Quaestiones Mathematicae* 34 (2011) 441–446.
- [6] M. Bonanzinga, B. Pansera, *Quaestiones Mathematicae* 37 (2014) 1–5.
- [7] F. Cammaroto, J. Gutierrez, G. Nardo, M. de Prada, Introduccion a los espacios H-cerrados – Principales contribuciones a las formas debiles de compacidad – Problemas abiertos, *Mathematicae Notae* 38 (1995/1996) 47–77.
- [8] F. Cammaroto, L. Kočinac, On θ -tightness, *Facta Universitatis, Series Mathematics and Informatics* 8 (1993) 77–85.
- [9] F. Cammaroto, L. Kočinac, A note on θ -tightness, *Rendiconti del Circolo Matematico di Palermo, Ser. II* 42 (1993) 129–134.
- [10] D. McNeill, Cardinality bounds of H-sets in Urysohn spaces, *Topology Proceedings* 36 (2010) 123–129.
- [11] N. Veličko, H-closed topological spaces, *Matematiceskij Sbornik* 70 (1966) 98–112; in: *American Mathematical Society Translations*, vol. 78, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1969, pp. 103–118.