

On the Kočinac α_i properties

Boaz Tsaban^{a,b,*}

^a Department of Mathematics, Bar-Ilan University, Ramat-Gan 52900, Israel

^b Department of Mathematics, Weizmann Institute of Science, Rehovot 76100, Israel

Received 23 June 2006; received in revised form 21 August 2007; accepted 31 August 2007

Abstract

The Kočinac α_i properties, $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$, are generalizations of Arhangel'skiĭ's α_i local properties. We give a complete classification of these properties when applied to the standard families of open covers of topological spaces or to the standard families of open covers of topological groups. One of the latter properties characterizes totally bounded groups. We also answer a question of Kočinac.

© 2007 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

MSC: 54D20; 54H11

Keywords: Arhangel'skiĭ α_i spaces; Kočinac α_i selection principles; Totally bounded groups

1. Introduction

We say that \mathcal{U} is a *cover* of a set X if $X \notin \mathcal{U}$ and $X = \bigcup \mathcal{U}$. For topological spaces, various special families of covers have been extensively studied in the literature, in a framework called *selection principles*, see the surveys [8,3,10].

The main types of covers are defined as follows. Let \mathcal{U} be a cover of X . \mathcal{U} is an ω -cover of X if each finite $F \subseteq X$ is contained in some $U \in \mathcal{U}$. \mathcal{U} is a γ -cover of X if \mathcal{U} is infinite, and each $x \in X$ belongs to all but finitely many $U \in \mathcal{U}$.

Let \mathcal{O} , \mathcal{O} , \mathcal{O} denote the families of all *open* covers, ω -covers, and γ -covers of X , respectively. Then $\mathcal{O} \subseteq \mathcal{O} \subseteq \mathcal{O}$.

For a space X and collections \mathcal{A} , \mathcal{B} of covers of X , the following properties were introduced by Scheepers in [7], to generalize a variety of classical properties:

$S_1(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$: For each sequence $\{\mathcal{U}_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of members of \mathcal{A} , there exist members $U_n \in \mathcal{U}_n$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, such that $\{U_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\} \in \mathcal{B}$.

$S_{\text{fin}}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$: For each sequence $\{\mathcal{U}_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of members of \mathcal{A} , there exist finite subsets $\mathcal{F}_n \subseteq \mathcal{U}_n$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, such that $\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{F}_n \in \mathcal{B}$.

* Address for correspondence: Department of Mathematics, Bar-Ilan University, Ramat-Gan 52900, Israel.

E-mail address: tsaban@math.biu.ac.il.

URL: <http://www.cs.biu.ac.il/~tsaban>.

¹ Supported by the Koshland Center for Basic Research.

The following notation will also be useful.

$(\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{B})$: Every member of \mathcal{A} has a subset which is a member of \mathcal{B} .

In 2004, Kočinac introduced the properties α_i , $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$, which are generalizations of Arhangel'skii's α_i local properties. He initiated their study in [4]. We give the Kočinac properties α_1 and α_2 , respectively, alternative names which are more self-explanatory:

$S_{\text{cof}}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$: For each sequence $\{\mathcal{U}_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of members of \mathcal{A} , there exist *cofinite* subsets $\mathcal{V}_n \subseteq \mathcal{U}_n$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, such that $\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{V}_n \in \mathcal{B}$.

$S_{\infty}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$: For each sequence $\{\mathcal{U}_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of members of \mathcal{A} , there exist *infinite* subsets $\mathcal{V}_n \subseteq \mathcal{U}_n$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, such that $\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{V}_n \in \mathcal{B}$.

In an independent work [11], we introduced the following selection principle:

$\bigcap_{\infty}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$: For each sequence $\{\mathcal{U}_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of elements of \mathcal{A} , there is for each n an infinite set $\mathcal{V}_n \subseteq \mathcal{U}_n$, such that $\{\bigcap \mathcal{V}_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\} \in \mathcal{B}$.

We classify Kočinac's new properties in the case that \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} range over $\{\mathcal{O}, \Omega, \Gamma\}$, and describe some relations between them and our selection principle. We also classify these properties in the context of topological groups.

Some of the results are stated in a way that makes them applicable to additional situations.

2. General topological spaces

When \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} range over $\{\mathcal{O}, \Omega, \Gamma\}$, Kočinac's operators S_{cof} and S_{∞} give 18 properties to begin with.

Say that a collection \mathcal{B} of families of elements of a certain type (e.g., open sets) is *upward closed* if for each $\mathcal{U} \in \mathcal{B}$ and each family \mathcal{V} of elements of the same type such that $\mathcal{U} \subseteq \mathcal{V}$, $\mathcal{V} \in \mathcal{B}$. For example, \mathcal{O}, Ω are upward closed, but Γ is not.

Lemma 1.

- (1) For each \mathcal{B} , $S_{\infty}(\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{B})$ fails.
- (2) If $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{B}$ and \mathcal{B} is upward closed, then $S_{\text{cof}}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ holds.

Proof. (1) Each nontrivial (infinite T_1) space has a finite cover.

(2) Given elements $\mathcal{U}_n \in \mathcal{A}$, take $\mathcal{V}_n = \mathcal{U}_n$ for all n . Clearly, $\bigcup_n \mathcal{V}_n \in \mathcal{B}$. \square

Thus, $S_{\infty}(\mathcal{O}, \Gamma)$, $S_{\infty}(\mathcal{O}, \Omega)$, and $S_{\infty}(\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{O})$ always fail, and $S_{\text{cof}}(\Omega, \Omega)$, $S_{\text{cof}}(\Gamma, \Omega)$, $S_{\text{cof}}(\Omega, \mathcal{O})$, $S_{\text{cof}}(\Gamma, \mathcal{O})$, and $S_{\text{cof}}(\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{O})$ always hold.

Lemma 2. Assume that all members of \mathcal{A} are infinite, and \mathcal{B} is upwards closed. Then $S_{\text{cof}}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}) = S_{\infty}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$.

It follows that $S_{\infty}(\Omega, \Omega)$, $S_{\infty}(\Gamma, \Omega)$, $S_{\infty}(\Omega, \mathcal{O})$, and $S_{\infty}(\Gamma, \mathcal{O})$ always hold.

The following is immediate.

Lemma 3. $S_{\infty}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ and $S_{\text{cof}}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$, both imply $(\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{B})$.

As (\mathcal{O}/Ω) never holds [9], $S_{\infty}(\mathcal{O}, \Omega)$ and $S_{\infty}(\mathcal{O}, \Gamma)$ always fail.

Lemma 4.

- (1) If \mathcal{B} is closed under adding finitely many sets to its elements, then $S_{\text{cof}}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ implies $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{B}$.
- (2) $S_{\text{cof}}(\Omega, \Gamma)$ never holds.

Proof. (1) Let $\mathcal{U} \in \mathcal{A}$ and apply $S_{\text{cof}}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ to the constant sequence $\mathcal{U}_n = \mathcal{U}, n \in \mathbb{N}$, to obtain cofinite $\mathcal{V}_n \subseteq \mathcal{U}, n \in \mathbb{N}$, such that $\mathcal{V} = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{V}_n \in \mathcal{B}$. \mathcal{V} is a cofinite subset of \mathcal{U} , and by the assumption on $\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{U} = \mathcal{V} \cup (\mathcal{U} \setminus \mathcal{V}) \in \mathcal{B}$.

(2) Fix an infinite subset D of X . Then $\{X \setminus F: \emptyset \neq F \in [D]^{<\aleph_0}\} \in \Omega \setminus \Gamma$. Use (1). \square

Only three properties survive: $S_\infty(\Omega, \Gamma), S_\infty(\Gamma, \Gamma)$, and $S_{\text{cof}}(\Gamma, \Gamma)$. These properties are *not* trivial, as they turn out to characterize known nontrivial properties.

Theorem 5.

- (1) $S_\infty(\Gamma, \Gamma) = S_1(\Gamma, \Gamma)$ [4].
- (2) $S_\infty(\Omega, \Gamma) = S_1(\Omega, \Gamma)$ [4].
- (3) Under mild hypotheses on the space $X, S_{\text{cof}}(\Gamma, \Gamma) = QN$ [2,6].

It follows that no implications are provable among the surviving three properties.

Kočinac’s proof of Theorem 5(1) is essentially the same as our proof in [11] that $\bigcap_\infty(\Gamma, \Gamma) = S_1(\Gamma, \Gamma)$.² These two results imply the following, to which we give a direct proof.

Theorem 6. $S_\infty(\Gamma, \Gamma) = \bigcap_\infty(\Gamma, \Gamma)$.

Proof. (\Rightarrow) Assume that $\mathcal{U}_n, n \in \mathbb{N}$, are open γ -covers of X . As each infinite subset of a γ -cover is again a γ -cover, we may assume that the covers \mathcal{U}_n are pairwise disjoint. By $S_\infty(\Gamma, \Gamma)$, there are infinite $\mathcal{V}_n \subseteq \mathcal{U}_n, n \in \mathbb{N}$, such that $\mathcal{V} = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{V}_n$ is a γ -cover of X . Fix $x \in X$. Assume that there are infinitely many n such that there is $U \in \mathcal{V}_n$ not containing x . As the sets \mathcal{V}_n are disjoint, \mathcal{V} is not a γ -cover of X , a contradiction. It follows that $\mathcal{W} = \{\bigcap \mathcal{V}_n: n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is a γ -cover of X (\mathcal{W} is infinite because it is an ω -cover of X).

(\Leftarrow) Assume that $\mathcal{U}_n, n \in \mathbb{N}$, are open γ -covers of X . By $\bigcap_\infty(\Gamma, \Gamma)$, there are infinite $\mathcal{V}_n \subseteq \mathcal{U}_n, n \in \mathbb{N}$, such that $\{\bigcap \mathcal{V}_n: n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is a γ -cover of X . Fix $x \in X$. Let N be such that for all $n \geq N, x \in \bigcap \mathcal{V}_n$. Then $x \in U$ for all $U \in \mathcal{V}_n$. Now, for each of the finitely many $n < N, \mathcal{V}_n$ is an infinite subset of the γ -cover \mathcal{U}_n and is therefore a γ -cover of X . It follows that there are only finitely many $U \in \mathcal{V}_n$ such that $x \notin U$. It follows that $\mathcal{V} = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{V}_n$ is a γ -cover of X . \square

Corollary 7. If $\Gamma \subseteq \mathcal{A}$, then $S_\infty(\mathcal{A}, \Gamma) = \bigcap_\infty(\mathcal{A}, \Gamma) = S_1(\mathcal{A}, \Gamma)$.

Proof. Take the conjunction of the properties in Theorem 6 and the comment before it with $\binom{\mathcal{A}}{\Gamma}$. \square

3. Topological groups

Kočinac also considered in [4] the case of topological groups. Let G be a topological group. For an open neighborhood $U \neq G$ of the unit e , let $o(U) = \{gU: g \in G\}$. Let $\mathcal{O}(e)$ be the collection of all these covers $o(U)$. Kočinac asked whether $S_1(\mathcal{O}(e), \Gamma)$ could hold in any group. We give a negative answer in a strong sense.

Theorem 8. For each topological group whose topology is nontrivial, $\binom{\mathcal{O}(e)}{\Omega}$ fails.

Proof. Take a neighborhood $U \neq G$ of e , and fix $g \in G \setminus U$. Let V be a neighborhood of e such that $V \cdot V \subseteq U$ and $V = V^{-1}$.

We claim that $o(V)$ is not an ω -cover of G . Indeed, no element of $o(V)$ contains $\{1, g\}$: If $1 \in a \cdot V$, then $a^{-1} \in V$, hence $a \in V^{-1} = V$, and therefore $a \cdot V \subseteq V \cdot V \subseteq U$. Thus, $g \notin a \cdot V$. \square

For $U \subseteq G$, let $\omega(U) = \{F \cdot U: F \in [G]^{<\aleph_0}\}$. A set $U \subseteq G$ is *finitely-bounding* if there is a finite $F \subseteq G$ such that $F \cdot U = G$. $\omega(U)$ is an ω -cover of G if, and only if, U is not finitely-bounding.

² Formally, the symbol Γ on the right coordinate of \bigcap_∞ should allow *all* (not necessarily open) γ -covers of X . We assume that henceforth.

Let $\Omega(e)$ be the collection of all families $\omega(U)$ such that U is an open neighborhood of e which is not finitely-bounding. Thus, $\Omega(e) = \emptyset$ if, and only if, G is totally bounded.

We can now classify the group theoretic properties $\Pi(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ where $\Pi \in \{\mathbf{S}_\infty, \mathbf{S}_{\text{cof}}\}$, $\mathcal{A} \in \{\mathcal{O}(e), \Omega(e)\}$, and $\mathcal{B} \in \{\mathcal{O}, \Omega, \Gamma\}$.

Theorem 8 and Lemma 3 rule out the 4 properties where $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ is $(\mathcal{O}(e), \Omega)$ or $(\mathcal{O}(e), \Gamma)$. By Lemma 1, $\mathbf{S}_{\text{cof}}(\mathcal{O}(e), \mathcal{O})$ always holds. $\mathbf{S}_\infty(\mathcal{O}(e), \mathcal{O})$ is also trivial: If there is a finitely bounding open $U \subseteq G$, then $\mathbf{S}_\infty(\mathcal{O}(e), \mathcal{O})$ fails. And if not, then $\mathbf{S}_\infty(\mathcal{O}(e), \mathcal{O})$ holds by Lemmas 1 and 2. By the same lemmas, $\mathbf{S}_\infty(\Omega(e), \Omega)$ and $\mathbf{S}_{\text{cof}}(\Omega(e), \Omega)$ (and therefore also $\mathbf{S}_{\text{cof}}(\Omega(e), \mathcal{O})$ and $\mathbf{S}_{\text{cof}}(\Omega(e), \Gamma)$) always hold.

$\mathbf{S}_{\text{cof}}(\Omega(e), \Gamma)$ characterizes totally bounded groups.

Theorem 9. *The following are equivalent:*

- (1) G is totally bounded;
- (2) G satisfies $\mathbf{S}_{\text{cof}}(\Omega(e), \Gamma)$.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2) If G is totally bounded, then $\Omega(e) = \emptyset$, and therefore $\mathbf{S}_{\text{cof}}(\Omega(e), \Gamma)$ holds trivially.

(2) \Rightarrow (1) Let U be an open neighborhood of e such that for each finite $F \subseteq G$, $F \cdot U \neq G$. Let V be a neighborhood of e such that $V \cdot V \subseteq U$ and $V = V^{-1}$. By the proof of Theorem 8, $o(V)$ is not an ω -cover of G . As $\omega(V)$ refines $\omega(U)$ and $G \not\subseteq \omega(U)$, $G \not\subseteq \omega(V)$ and therefore $\omega(V)$ is an ω -cover of X , and is therefore infinite. As $\omega(V)$ is obtained by taking all finite unions of elements of $o(V)$, $o(V)$ is infinite.

Assume that \mathcal{V} is a cofinite subset of $\omega(V)$, and that \mathcal{V} is a γ -cover of G . Set $\mathcal{W} = \mathcal{V} \cap o(V)$. As $o(V) \subseteq \omega(V)$ and \mathcal{V} is cofinite in $\omega(V)$, \mathcal{W} is cofinite in $o(V)$ and is in particular infinite. It follows that \mathcal{W} is an infinite subset of the γ -cover \mathcal{V} , and is therefore a γ -cover of G . As $\mathcal{W} \subseteq o(V)$, $o(V)$ is an ω -cover of G . A contradiction. \square

The only remaining property is $\mathbf{S}_\infty(\Omega(e), \Gamma)$. In [4] it is shown that $\mathbf{S}_\infty(\Omega(e), \Gamma) = \mathbf{S}_1(\Omega(e), \Gamma)$. This also follows from Corollary 7. By a result of Babinkostova [1], for metrizable groups $\mathbf{S}_1(\Omega(e), \Gamma)$ (and therefore $\mathbf{S}_\infty(\Omega(e), \Gamma)$) characterizes σ -totally bounded groups (see also [5]). Compare this to Theorem 9.

4. Kočinac's α_3 and α_4

Kočinac has also introduced the following properties:

$\alpha_3(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$: For each sequence $\{\mathcal{U}_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of members of \mathcal{A} , there are an infinite $I \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ and infinite subsets $\mathcal{V}_n \subseteq \mathcal{U}_n$, $n \in I$, such that $\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{V}_n \in \mathcal{B}$.

$\alpha_4(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$: For each sequence $\{\mathcal{U}_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of members of \mathcal{A} , there are an infinite $I \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ and nonempty subsets $\mathcal{V}_n \subseteq \mathcal{U}_n$, $n \in I$, such that $\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{V}_n \in \mathcal{B}$.

In the two contexts studied here, these selection principles do not give new properties, but rather are trivial or characterize known properties.

To see this, observe that the following statements hold:

- (1) If all members of \mathcal{A} are infinite, then

$$\mathbf{S}_\infty(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}) \Rightarrow \alpha_3(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}) \Rightarrow \alpha_4(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}).$$

- (2) If there are finite elements in \mathcal{A} , then $\alpha_3(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ fails.
- (3) If $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{B}$ and \mathcal{B} is upward closed, then $\alpha_4(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ holds.
- (4) $\alpha_3(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}), \alpha_4(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}) \Rightarrow \left(\begin{smallmatrix} \mathcal{A} \\ \mathcal{B} \end{smallmatrix}\right)$.

(1) trivializes α_3 and α_4 of the pairs (Ω, Ω) , (Ω, Γ) , (Ω, \mathcal{O}) , and (Γ, \mathcal{O}) . (2) trivializes $\alpha_3(\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{O})$. (3) trivializes $\alpha_4(\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{O})$. (4) trivializes α_3 and α_4 of the pairs (\mathcal{O}, Ω) and (\mathcal{O}, Γ) .

$\alpha_3(\Gamma, \Gamma) = \alpha_4(\Gamma, \Gamma) = \mathbf{S}_1(\Gamma, \Gamma)$, and $\alpha_3(\Omega, \Gamma) = \alpha_4(\Omega, \Gamma) = \mathbf{S}_1(\Omega, \Gamma)$ [4].

This completes the classification of all mentioned properties for general topological spaces. The classification of these properties for topological groups is left to the reader.

References

- [1] L. Babinkostova, Metrizable groups and strict o -boundedness, *Matematichki Vesnik* 58 (2006) 131–138.
- [2] L. Bukovský, J. Haleš, QN-space, wQN-space and covering properties, *Topology and its Applications* 154 (2007) 848–858.
- [3] Lj.D.R. Kočinac, Selected results on selection principles, in: Sh. Rezapour (Ed.), *Proceedings of the 3rd Seminar on Geometry and Topology*, July 15–17, Tabriz, Iran, 2004, pp. 71–104.
- [4] Lj.D.R. Kočinac, Selection principles related to α_i -properties, *Taiwanese Journal of Mathematics*, in press.
- [5] M. Machura, B. Tsaban, The combinatorics of the Baer–Specker group, *Israel Journal of Mathematics*, in press.
- [6] M. Sakai, The sequence selection properties of $C_p(X)$, *Topology and its Applications* 154 (2007) 552–560.
- [7] M. Scheepers, Combinatorics of open covers I: Ramsey theory, *Topology and its Applications* 69 (1996) 31–62.
- [8] M. Scheepers, Selection principles and covering properties in topology, *Note di Matematica* 22 (2003) 3–41.
- [9] B. Tsaban, Strong γ -sets and other singular spaces, *Topology and its Applications* 153 (2005) 620–639.
- [10] B. Tsaban, Some new directions in infinite-combinatorial topology, in: J. Bagaria, S. Todorčević (Eds.), *Set Theory*, in: *Trends in Mathematics*, Birkhäuser, 2006, pp. 225–255.
- [11] B. Tsaban, A new selection principle, *Topology Proceedings*, in press.