

# The supersymmetric Camassa-Holm equation and geodesic flow on the superconformal group

Chandrashekar Devchand<sup>1,2</sup> and Jeremy Schiff<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup> *Max-Planck-Institut für Mathematik  
Postfach 7280, 53072 Bonn, Germany*

<sup>2</sup> *Max-Planck-Institut für Mathematik in den Naturwissenschaften  
Inselstraße 22-26, 04103 Leipzig, Germany  
devchand@mpim-bonn.mpg.de*

<sup>3</sup> *Department of Mathematics and Computer Science  
Bar-Ilan University, Ramat Gan 52900, Israel  
schiff@math.biu.ac.il*

## Abstract

We study a family of fermionic extensions of the Camassa-Holm equation. Within this family we identify three interesting classes: (a) equations, which are inherently hamiltonian, describing geodesic flow with respect to an  $H^1$  metric on the group of superconformal transformations in two dimensions, (b) equations which are hamiltonian with respect to a different hamiltonian structure and (c) supersymmetric equations. Classes (a) and (b) have no intersection, but the intersection of classes (a) and (c) gives a system with interesting integrability properties. We demonstrate the Painlevé property for some simple but nontrivial reductions of this system, and also discuss peakon-type solutions.

# I Introduction

Recently there has been substantial interest in the Camassa-Holm (CH) equation [1, 2]:

$$u_t - \nu u_{xxt} = \kappa u_x - 3uu_x + \nu(uu_{xxx} + 2u_x u_{xx}) . \quad (1)$$

This equation has been proposed as a model for shallow water waves. It is believed to be integrable, having bihamiltonian structure, as was first observed by Fokas and Fuchssteiner [3] 12 years prior to Camassa and Holm's work. Due to the nonlinear dispersion term,  $uu_{xxx}$ , it exhibits more general wave phenomena than other integrable water wave equations such as KdV. In particular, when  $\kappa = 0$  it admits a class of nonanalytic weak solutions known as *peakons*, as well as finite time blow-up of classical solutions [1].

Geometrically, the relationship of CH to KdV is rather deeper: Both are regularisations of the Euler equation for a one dimensional compressible fluid (Monge or inviscid Burgers equation),

$$u_t = -3uu_x . \quad (2)$$

A solution to this equation describes a geodesic on the group of diffeomorphisms of the circle  $\text{Diff}(S^1)$  [4] with respect to a right-invariant metric induced by an  $L^2$  norm,  $\int u^2 dx$ , on the associated Lie algebra. If the group is centrally extended to the Bott-Virasoro group, the KdV equation arises [5, 6, 7, 8]. On the other hand, if the metric is changed to one induced by an  $H^1$  norm,  $\int (u^2 + \nu u_x^2) dx$ , the CH equation arises [9, 10, 11]. Both these ‘deformations’ have a regularising effect on solutions of (2), which exhibit discontinuous shocks.

Thus KdV and CH arise in a unified geometric setting; both are geodesic flows which are integrable systems. (Here, and henceforth in this paper, when we refer to a “geodesic flow” we mean the evolutionary PDE which can be formally associated — in the manner we will see in section II — with any inner product on the Lie algebra of a diffeomorphism group, and which, at least in the cases mentioned above, is known to describe geodesic flow, in the usual sense of the phrase, with respect to the corresponding right-invariant metric on the group. In the case of a general inner product, the existence of the corresponding geodesic flow, in the usual sense of the phrase, is highly non-trivial.) The following important question arises: What features of the underlying geometry give rise to integrability? In general, geodesic flows are *not* integrable: the Euler equation for fluid flow in more than one spatial dimension is an example [4]. Indeed, for the latter, Arnold has suggested a relationship between negative sectional curvatures and non-predictability of the flow. We feel that it ought to be possible to identify some other geometric property that “causes” integrability. In a remarkable recent paper [12], Fringer and Holm have shown that certain features usually considered to be

hallmarks of integrable systems, such as elastic scattering and asymptotic sorting according to height, in fact appear in geodesic flows on  $\text{Diff}(S^1)$  with respect to a large class of metrics. Thus, there may well be a hierarchy of geometric structures corresponding to various degrees of integrability.

One further example of an integrable bihamiltonian system arising as a geodesic flow has been discussed by Ovsienko and Khesin [5]. Using the superconformal group with an  $L^2$  type metric, they obtained the so-called kuperKdV system of Kupershmidt [13]. This is a fermionic extension of KdV: it describes evolution of functions valued in (the odd or even parts of) a grassmann algebra. In fact, as we will see below, taking a general  $L^2$  type metric on the superconformal group gives rise to a one parameter family of fermionic extensions of KdV, which includes not only kuperKdV, but also the superKdV system of Mathieu and Manin-Radul [14, 15]. The latter is integrable: it has only a single hamiltonian structure, but unlike kuperKdV it is supersymmetric, a property which is widely believed to contribute to integrability. It remains a mystery as to why, of the one parameter family of geodesic flows associated with  $L^2$  type metrics on the superconformal group, only two specific choices of the parameter give rise to integrable systems.

The main purpose of this paper is to investigate geodesic flows obtained from  $H^1$  type norms on the superconformal group; more generally we consider the following family of fermionic extensions of CH:

$$\begin{aligned} u_t - \nu u_{xxt} &= \kappa_1 u_x + \kappa_2 u_{xxx} + \beta_1 u u_x + \beta_2 u_x u_{xx} + \beta_3 u u_{xxx} + \gamma_1 \xi \xi_{xx} + \gamma_2 \xi_x \xi_{xxx} + \gamma_3 \xi \xi_{xxx} \\ \xi_t - \mu \xi_{xxt} &= \sigma_1 \xi_x + \sigma_2 \xi_{xxx} + \epsilon_1 u_x \xi + \epsilon_2 u \xi_x + \rho_1 u \xi_{xxx} + \rho_2 u_x \xi_{xx} + \rho_3 u_{xx} \xi_x + \rho_4 u_{xxx} \xi . \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

Here  $u(x, t)$  and  $\xi(x, t)$  are fields valued, respectively, in the even and odd parts of a grassmann algebra, and  $\{\nu, \mu, \kappa_1, \kappa_2, \beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3, \gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3, \sigma_1, \sigma_2, \epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \rho_1, \rho_2, \rho_3, \rho_4\}$  are parameters. By rescaling  $u$  and  $\xi$  it is possible to set  $\beta_1 = -3$  and  $\gamma_1 = 2$  (assuming that they are nonzero), and we shall do this throughout. In addition it is possible to eliminate up to two further parameters by rescaling the coordinates  $x, t$ .

We derive three interesting classes of systems of the form (3). In section 2, we consider geodesic flows on the superconformal group with an  $H^1$  type metric; the resulting systems have a natural hamiltonian structure, or more precisely, since the fields are grassmann algebra valued, a graded hamiltonian structure. In section 3 we identify a class of systems having a different hamiltonian structure. Unfortunately the latter has no intersection with the class of section 2, so there does not seem to be a bihamiltonian fermionic extension of CH. In section 4 we consider systems of the form (3) that are invariant under supersymmetry

transformations between  $u$  and  $\xi$ . This class has nontrivial intersections with both the classes of sections 2 and 3. In particular there is a unique supersymmetric geodesic flow which is a candidate for being a new integrable system. We call this equation *superCH*. In section 5 we show that two reductions of superCH have the Painlevé property, which is positive evidence for integrability. In section 6 we look for peakon-type solutions of superCH; as for CH, multipeakon solutions arise from the solutions of a system of ODEs, but the integrability of this unfortunately remains unclear.

SuperCH is a supersymmetric geodesic flow whose bosonic part is integrable. While in this paper we do not fully establish integrability of superCH, we regard it as an interesting test case to determine whether in general supersymmetric geodesic flows with integrable bosonic parts must be integrable.

A trivial integrable CH system of the form (3), which is not incorporated in the classes of sections 2,3, and 4, and which we shall not discuss further, is the odd linearisation of the bosonic CH system (1)

$$\begin{aligned} u_t - \nu u_{xxt} &= \kappa u_x - 3uu_x + \nu(uu_{xxx} + 2u_x u_{xx}) , \\ \xi_t - \nu \xi_{xxt} &= \kappa \xi_x - 3(\xi u)_x + \nu(\xi u_{xxx} + u \xi_{xxx} + 2(\xi_x u_x)_x) . \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

Replacing  $u$  by  $u + \frac{\kappa}{3}$  and considering the limit  $\nu \rightarrow 0$ ,  $\kappa \rightarrow \infty$ , with  $\nu\kappa = 3$ , yields the system

$$\begin{aligned} u_t &= -3uu_x + u_{xxx} , \\ \xi_t &= -3(\xi u)_x + \xi_{xxx} . \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

This trivial fermionic extension of KdV has appeared often in the literature (see e.g. [14]).

## II Geodesic flows on the superconformal group

An inner product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  on a Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  determines a right (or a left) invariant metric on the corresponding Lie group  $G$ . The equation of geodesic motion on  $G$  with respect to this metric is determined as follows [4]. Define a bilinear operator  $B : \mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$  by

$$\langle [V, W], U \rangle = \langle W, B(U, V) \rangle , \quad \forall W \in \mathfrak{g} . \tag{6}$$

Then geodesics are determined by solutions of the “geodesic flow”

$$U_t = B(U, U) , \tag{7}$$

In our case,  $\mathfrak{g}$  is the NSR superconformal algebra, consisting of triples  $(u(x), \varphi(x), a)$ , where  $u$  is a bosonic field,  $\varphi$  is a fermionic field and  $a$  is a constant. The Lie bracket is given by

$$\begin{aligned} & [(u, \varphi, a), (v, \psi, b)] \\ &= \left( uv_x - u_x v + \frac{1}{2} \varphi \psi, u \psi_x - \frac{1}{2} u_x \psi - \varphi_x v + \frac{1}{2} \varphi v_x, \int dx (c_1 u_x v_{xx} + c_2 u v_x + c_1 \varphi_x \psi_x + \frac{c_2}{4} \varphi \psi) \right), \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

where  $c_1, c_2$  are constants. On this algebra, an  $H^1$  type inner product is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \langle (u, \varphi, a), (v, \psi, b) \rangle &= \int dx (uv + \nu u_x v_x + \alpha \varphi \partial_x^{-1} \psi + \alpha \mu \varphi_x \psi) + ab \\ &= \int dx (u \Delta_0 v + \varphi \Delta_1 \psi) + ab, \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

where

$$\Delta_0 = 1 - \nu \partial_x^2, \quad \Delta_1 = \alpha (\partial_x^{-1} - \mu \partial_x), \quad (10)$$

and  $\mu, \nu, \alpha$  are further constants, all assumed nonzero. (See [5] for the definition of the natural fermionic extension of the standard  $L^2$  inner product, to which the above reduces if  $\mu = \nu = 0$ . The natural fermionic extension of the standard  $H^1$  inner product is constructed, as for pure bosonic systems, by taking the sum of the  $L^2$  inner product for the functions involved with the  $L^2$  inner product for the derivatives of the functions involved.) Writing  $U=(u, \varphi, a)$ ,  $V=(v, \psi, b)$ , we find  $B(U, V) = (B_0, B_1, 0)$ , where

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_0 B_0(U, V) &= - \left( 2v_x \Delta_0 u + v \Delta_0 u_x + \frac{3}{2} \psi_x \Delta_1 \varphi + \frac{1}{2} \psi \Delta_1 \varphi_x \right) + a(c_1 v_{xxx} - c_2 v_x), \\ \Delta_1 B_1(U, V) &= - \left( \frac{3}{2} v_x \Delta_1 \varphi + v \Delta_1 \varphi_x + \frac{1}{2} \psi \Delta_0 u \right) + a(c_1 \psi_{xx} - \frac{c_2}{4} \psi). \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

The geodesic flows are therefore conveniently written in the form

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_0 u_t &= \Delta_0 B_0(U, U) \\ \Delta_0 \varphi_t &= \Delta_1 B_1(U, U) \\ a_t &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

Writing  $\varphi = \lambda \xi_x$ , where  $\lambda$  is a constant satisfying  $\lambda^2 = \frac{4}{3\alpha}$ , this yields the system

$$\begin{aligned} u_t - \nu u_{xxt} &= \kappa_1 u_x + \kappa_2 u_{xxx} - 3uu_x + \nu(uu_{xxx} + 2u_x u_{xx}) + 2\xi \xi_{xx} + \frac{2\mu}{3} \xi_x \xi_{xxx}, \\ \xi_t - \mu \xi_{xxt} &= \frac{\kappa_1}{4\alpha} \xi_x + \frac{\kappa_2}{\alpha} \xi_{xxx} - \frac{3}{2} u_x \xi - (1 + \frac{1}{2\alpha}) u \xi_x + \mu u \xi_{xxx} + \frac{3\mu}{2} u_x \xi_{xx} + \frac{\nu}{2\alpha} u_{xx} \xi_x. \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

Here  $\kappa_1, \kappa_2$  are independent parameters determined by  $a, c_1, c_2$ . This is evidently a 5 parameter class of systems of type (3).

Setting  $\xi$  to zero in (13) yields the CH result of [9, 10, 11]. If instead we choose  $\mu, \nu$  to vanish, the  $H^1$  norm becomes an  $L^2$  norm; then choosing  $\kappa_1$  to be zero and rescaling  $\kappa_2$  to 1 we obtain the following 1 parameter fermionic extension of KdV:

$$\begin{aligned} u_t &= u_{xxx} - 3uu_x + 2\xi\xi_{xx} , \\ \xi_t &= \frac{1}{\alpha}\xi_{xxx} - \frac{3}{2}u_x\xi - \left(1 + \frac{1}{2\alpha}\right)u\xi_x . \end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

Modulo rescalings, the superKdV of Mathieu and Manin-Radul is obtained by taking  $\alpha = 1$ . The kuperKdV system arises by taking  $\alpha = \frac{1}{4}$ , the choice made in [5]. Other values of the parameters give systems which are not believed to be integrable (see however [16]).

### III Hamiltonian equations

Like KdV, CH has bihamiltonian structure, and this accounts for its integrability. We might hope that for some choices of parameters the system (13) should also have a bihamiltonian structure. One hamiltonian structure follows automatically from the geometric origins of the system [4]. Explicitly, introducing new variables,  $m = u - \nu u_{xx}$  and  $\eta = \xi - \mu\xi_{xx}$ , (13) takes the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} m_t \\ \eta_t \end{pmatrix} = \mathcal{P}_2 \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\delta\mathcal{H}_2}{\delta m} \\ \frac{\delta\mathcal{H}_2}{\delta\eta} \end{pmatrix} \tag{15}$$

where

$$\mathcal{P}_2 = \begin{pmatrix} \kappa_2\partial_x^3 + \kappa_1\partial_x - \partial_x m - m\partial_x & \frac{1}{2}\partial_x\eta + \eta\partial_x \\ -\partial_x\eta - \frac{1}{2}\eta\partial_x & \frac{3}{4\alpha}\left(\frac{\kappa_1}{4} + \kappa_2\partial_x^2\right) - \frac{3}{8\alpha}m \end{pmatrix} \tag{16}$$

and the hamiltonian functional is given succinctly by the  $H^1$  inner product on the algebra,

$$\mathcal{H}_2 = \frac{1}{2} \langle U, U \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \int dx \left( u^2 + \nu u_x^2 + \frac{4}{3}(\xi_x\xi + \mu\xi_{xx}\xi_x) \right) . \tag{17}$$

This generalises the so-called *second Hamiltonian structure* of KdV and its fermionic extensions [13, 14]. Checking (15) is straightforward: the Euler-Lagrange derivatives  $\frac{\delta\mathcal{H}_2}{\delta m}, \frac{\delta\mathcal{H}_2}{\delta\eta}$  are defined by

$$\delta\mathcal{H}_2 = \int dx \left( \frac{\delta\mathcal{H}_2}{\delta m} \delta m + \frac{\delta\mathcal{H}_2}{\delta\eta} \delta\eta \right) , \tag{18}$$

from which it follows immediately that  $\frac{\delta\mathcal{H}_2}{\delta m} = u$  and  $\frac{\delta\mathcal{H}_2}{\delta\eta} = \frac{4}{3}\xi_x$ .

To investigate the possibility of systems amongst (13) having another hamiltonian form, we look at systems of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} m_t \\ \eta_t \end{pmatrix} = \mathcal{P}_1 \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\delta\mathcal{H}_1}{\delta m} \\ \frac{\delta\mathcal{H}_1}{\delta\eta} \end{pmatrix} , \tag{19}$$

where

$$\mathcal{P}_1 = \begin{pmatrix} \partial_x(1-\nu\partial_x^2) & 0 \\ 0 & -\frac{\epsilon_1}{2}(1-\mu\partial_x^2) \end{pmatrix}. \quad (20)$$

Here  $\epsilon_1$  is a constant and  $\mathcal{H}_1$  is a functional generalising the KdV *first Hamiltonian*,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}_1 = \int dx & \left( -\frac{1}{2}u^3 - \frac{\beta_3}{2}uu_x^2 - \frac{\kappa_2}{2}u_x^2 + \frac{\kappa_1}{2}u^2 + \frac{\sigma_1}{\epsilon_1}\xi\xi_x + \frac{\sigma_2}{\epsilon_1}\xi\xi_{xxx} \right. \\ & \left. + 2u\xi\xi_x + (\gamma_2 - \gamma_3)u\xi_x\xi_{xx} + \gamma_3u\xi\xi_{xxx} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

This is the most general functional of this type, up to rescalings of  $u$  and  $\xi$ . Since  $\delta m = (1 - \nu\partial_x^2)\delta u$ , we have  $(1 - \nu\partial_x^2)\frac{\delta\mathcal{H}_1}{\delta m} = \frac{\delta\mathcal{H}_1}{\delta u}$ , and similarly  $(1 - \mu\partial_x^2)\frac{\delta\mathcal{H}_1}{\delta\eta} = \frac{\delta\mathcal{H}_1}{\delta\xi}$ . Thus equations (19) take the simple form

$$\begin{aligned} u_t - \nu u_{xxt} &= \partial_x \left( \frac{\delta\mathcal{H}_1}{\delta u} \right) \\ &= \kappa_1 u_x + \kappa_2 u_{xxx} - 3uu_x + \beta_3(2u_x u_{xx} + uu_{xxx}) + 2\xi\xi_{xx} + \gamma_2\xi_x\xi_{xxx} + \gamma_3\xi\xi_{xxx} \\ \xi_t - \mu\xi_{xxt} &= \epsilon_1 \left( \frac{\delta\mathcal{H}_1}{\delta\xi} \right) \\ &= \sigma_1\xi_x + \sigma_2\xi_{xxx} + \epsilon_1(u_x\xi + 2u\xi_x) + \epsilon_1(2\gamma_3 - \gamma_2)u\xi_{xxx} + \frac{3}{2}\epsilon_1(2\gamma_3 - \gamma_2)u_x\xi_{xx} \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2}\epsilon_1(4\gamma_3 - \gamma_2)u_{xx}\xi_x + \frac{1}{2}\epsilon_1\gamma_3u_{xxx}\xi. \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

This is a 10 parameter class of systems of the form (3). Comparing with (13), we see that the only bihamiltonian systems occur when  $\{\mu=\nu=\beta_3=\gamma_2=\gamma_3=0, \epsilon_1=-\frac{3}{2}, \sigma_1=\kappa_1, \sigma_2=4\kappa_2\}$ , which is equivalent to (13) with  $\{\mu=\nu=0, \alpha=\frac{1}{4}\}$ , i.e. the kuperKdV system. Thus, no new bihamiltonian systems arise.

We note that the systems (22) can be obtained from a Lagrangian. Introducing a potential  $f$  defined by  $u=f_x$ , they are Euler-Lagrange equations for the functional

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L} = \int dx & \left( \frac{1}{2}(f_x - \nu f_{xxx})f_t + \frac{1}{\epsilon_1}(\xi - \mu\xi_{xxx})\xi_t + \frac{1}{2}f_x^3 + \frac{\beta_3}{2}f_x f_{xx}^2 + \frac{\kappa_2}{2}f_{xx}^2 - \frac{\kappa_1}{2}f_x^2 \right. \\ & \left. - \frac{\sigma_1}{\epsilon_1}\xi\xi_x - \frac{\sigma_2}{\epsilon_1}\xi\xi_{xxx} - 2f_x\xi\xi_x + (\gamma_3 - \gamma_2)f_x\xi_x\xi_{xx} - \gamma_3f_x\xi\xi_{xxx} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

## IV Supersymmetric equations

Define a fermionic superfield  $\Phi(x, \vartheta) = s\xi + \vartheta u$  and superderivative  $D = \frac{\partial}{\partial\vartheta} + \vartheta\partial_x$ , where  $s$  is a nonzero parameter and  $\vartheta$  is an odd coordinate. The most general superfield equation having component content of the form (3) is the 8 parameter system,

$$\begin{aligned} (1 - \nu D^4)\Phi_t &= \kappa_1 D^2\Phi + \kappa_2 D^6\Phi - \frac{2}{s^2}\Phi D^3\Phi + \left(\frac{2}{s^2} - 3\right)D\Phi D^2\Phi + \left(\frac{\gamma_3}{s^2} + \beta_3\right)D\Phi D^6\Phi \\ &\quad - \frac{\gamma_3}{s^2}\Phi D^7\Phi + \left(\beta_3 + \frac{\gamma_3 - \gamma_2}{s^2}\right)D^2\Phi D^5\Phi + \left(\beta_2 - \beta_3 + \frac{\gamma_2 - \gamma_3}{s^2}\right)D^3\Phi D^4\Phi, \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

where  $\{\nu, s, \kappa_1, \kappa_2, \beta_2, \beta_3, \gamma_2, \gamma_3\}$  are parameters. The component equations are,

$$\begin{aligned} u_t - \nu u_{xxt} &= \kappa_1 u_x + \kappa_2 u_{xxx} - 3uu_x + \beta_2 u_x u_{xx} + \beta_3 uu_{xxx} + 2\xi\xi_{xx} + \gamma_2 \xi_x \xi_{xxx} + \gamma_3 \xi \xi_{xxx} , \\ \xi_t - \nu \xi_{xxt} &= \kappa_1 \xi_x + \kappa_2 \xi_{xxx} - \frac{2}{s^2} u_x \xi + \left(\frac{2}{s^2} - 3\right) u \xi_x + \left(\frac{\gamma_3}{s^2} + \beta_3\right) u \xi_{xx} \\ &\quad + \left(\beta_2 - \beta_3 + \frac{\gamma_2 - \gamma_3}{s^2}\right) u_x \xi_{xx} + \left(\frac{\gamma_3 - \gamma_2}{s^2} + \beta_3\right) u_{xx} \xi_x - \frac{\gamma_3}{s^2} u_{xxx} \xi . \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

These systems are by construction invariant under the supersymmetry transformations

$$\delta u = \tau \xi_x , \quad \delta \xi = \frac{\tau u}{s^2} , \quad (26)$$

where  $\tau$  is an odd parameter. The superKdV limit, namely  $\{\nu, \beta_2, \beta_3, \gamma_2, \gamma_3, \kappa_1\}$  all zero, yields, modulo rescalings, the one-parameter family of systems studied by Mathieu [14].

By comparing (25) and (22) it is straightforward to extract systems which are both supersymmetric and have hamiltonian form (19),(20). Taking  $s^2=2$  in (25),  $\{\nu=\mu, \sigma_1=\kappa_1, \sigma_2=\kappa_2, \epsilon=-1\}$  in (22), and  $\{\beta_2=2\beta_3, \beta_3 = \gamma_2 - \frac{5}{2}\gamma_3\}$  in both, we obtain the systems,

$$\begin{aligned} u_t - \nu u_{xxt} &= \kappa_1 u_x + \kappa_2 u_{xxx} - 3uu_x + (\gamma_2 - \frac{5}{2}\gamma_3)(2u_x u_{xx} + uu_{xxx}) \\ &\quad + 2\xi\xi_{xx} + \gamma_2 \xi_x \xi_{xxx} + \gamma_3 \xi \xi_{xxx} , \\ \xi_t - \nu \xi_{xxt} &= \kappa_1 \xi_x + \kappa_2 \xi_{xxx} - u_x \xi - 2u \xi_x + (\gamma_2 - 2\gamma_3) u \xi_{xx} \\ &\quad + \frac{3}{2}(\gamma_2 - 2\gamma_3) u_x \xi_{xx} + \frac{1}{2}(\gamma_2 - 4\gamma_3) u_{xx} \xi_x - \frac{1}{2}\gamma_3 u_{xxx} \xi . \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

These may be expressed in superfield form (24) with the above choice of parameters. The manifestly supersymmetric hamiltonian form is given by

$$M_t = \widehat{\mathcal{P}}_1 \frac{\delta \widehat{\mathcal{H}}_1}{\delta M} , \quad M = \Phi - \nu D^4 \Phi , \quad (28)$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{\mathcal{P}}_1 &= D(1 - \nu D^4) , \\ \widehat{\mathcal{H}}_1 &= \int dx d\vartheta \left( \frac{\kappa_1}{2} \Phi D\Phi - \frac{\kappa_2}{2} D^2 \Phi D^3 \Phi - \frac{1}{2} \Phi (D\Phi)^2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{1}{4} \gamma_3 \Phi (D^3 \Phi)^2 + \frac{1}{4} (\gamma_2 - 2\gamma_3) (D\Phi)^2 D^4 \Phi \right) . \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

Since the KdV reduction of (27) (with  $\kappa_1 = \gamma_2 = \gamma_3 = 0$ ) is not believed to be integrable, we have not explored this class of systems further.

In a similar fashion, we may look for choices of parameter sets for which the geodesic flows of section 2 are also supersymmetric. Comparing (13) with (25), we see that the choice

$\{\mu=\nu, \alpha=1, \kappa_1=0\}$  in the former and  $\{s^2=\frac{4}{3}, \beta_2=2\nu, \beta_3=\nu, \gamma_2=\frac{2\nu}{3}, \gamma_3=\kappa_1=0\}$  in the latter, yields the two-parameter system of supersymmetric geodesic flows:

$$\begin{aligned} u_t - \nu u_{xxt} &= \kappa_2 u_{xxx} - 3uu_x + 2\xi\xi_{xx} + \nu(uu_{xxx} + 2u_x u_{xx}) + \frac{2\nu}{3}\xi_x \xi_{xxx}, \\ \xi_t - \nu \xi_{xxt} &= \kappa_2 \xi_{xxx} - \frac{3}{2}(u\xi)_x + \nu(u\xi_{xxx} + \frac{3}{2}u_x \xi_{xx} + \frac{1}{2}u_{xx} \xi_x). \end{aligned} \quad (31)$$

We shall call this system, with  $\kappa_2=0$  and  $\nu\neq 0$ , the *supersymmetric Camassa-Holm equation* (superCH). The system (31) reduces to superKdV, upon setting  $\nu$  to zero, and to CH, upon setting  $\xi$  to zero and translating  $u$ .

Not surprisingly, the systems (31) arise as geodesic flows precisely when the metric (9) on the NSR superconformal algebra is supersymmetric. Then, the calculations of section 2 can be performed using superfields. Specifically, writing  $\mathcal{U} = u + \vartheta\phi$  and  $\mathcal{V} = v + \vartheta\psi$ , the bracket (8) takes the form

$$[(\mathcal{U}, a), (\mathcal{V}, b)] = \left( \mathcal{U}D^2\mathcal{V} - \mathcal{V}D^2\mathcal{U} + \frac{1}{2}D\mathcal{U}D\mathcal{V}, c_1 \int dx d\vartheta D^2\mathcal{U}D^3\mathcal{V} \right) \quad (32)$$

and the inner product (9) may be written

$$\langle (\mathcal{U}, a), (\mathcal{V}, b) \rangle = \int dx d\vartheta \left( \mathcal{U}D^{-1}\mathcal{V} + \nu D^2\mathcal{U}D\mathcal{V} \right) + ab. \quad (33)$$

The superspace bilinear operator  $\widehat{B}$  is given by  $\widehat{B}((\mathcal{U}, a), (\mathcal{V}, b)) = (\widehat{B}_0, 0)$ , where  $\widehat{B}_0$  satisfies

$$(1-\nu D^4)D^{-1}\widehat{B}_0 = c_1 a D^5 \mathcal{V} - \frac{3}{2}D^2\mathcal{V}(1-\nu D^4)D^{-1}\mathcal{U} - \frac{1}{2}D\mathcal{V}(1-\nu D^4)\mathcal{U} - \mathcal{V}(1-\nu D^4)D\mathcal{U}. \quad (34)$$

Writing  $c_1 a = \kappa_2$  and  $\mathcal{U} = D\Phi$ , the geodesic flows  $(\mathcal{U}_t, a_t) = \widehat{B}((\mathcal{U}, a), (\mathcal{U}, a))$  yield

$$(1-\nu D^4)\Phi_t = \kappa_2 D^6\Phi - \frac{3}{2}(\Phi D^3\Phi + D\Phi D^2\Phi) + \nu \left( D\Phi D^6\Phi + \frac{1}{2}D^2\Phi D^5\Phi + \frac{3}{2}D^3\Phi D^4\Phi \right). \quad (35)$$

We thus recover the subsystem of (24) having component content (31). Equation (35) has superfield hamiltonian formulation,

$$M_t = \widehat{\mathcal{P}}_2 \frac{\delta \widehat{\mathcal{H}}_2}{\delta M}, \quad M = \Phi - \nu D^4\Phi, \quad (36)$$

with

$$\widehat{\mathcal{P}}_2 = \kappa_2 D^5 - \frac{1}{2}DMD - D^2M - MD^2, \quad (37)$$

$$\widehat{\mathcal{H}}_2 = \frac{1}{2} \langle (D\Phi, 0), (D\Phi, 0) \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \int dx d\vartheta \Phi DM. \quad (38)$$

## V Painlevé integrability of superCH systems

In this section we investigate, in more detail, the supersymmetric geodesic flow (31) with  $\nu=1$  and  $\kappa_2 = 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} m_t &= -2mu_x - um_x + 2\eta\xi + \frac{2}{3}\eta_x\xi_x, & m &= u - u_{xx}, \\ \eta_t &= -\frac{3}{2}\eta u_x - \frac{1}{2}m\xi_x - u\eta_x, & \eta &= \xi - \xi_{xx}. \end{aligned} \quad (39)$$

We shall consider the two simplest possible choices for the grassmann algebra in which the fields are valued, viz. algebras with one or two odd generators. Taking the algebra to be finite dimensional is a very convenient tool for preliminary investigations of systems with grassmann algebra-valued fields. Manton [17] recently studied some simple supersymmetric classical mechanical systems in this way and he introduced the term ‘deconstruction’ to denote a component expansion in a grassmann algebra basis. In [18] we investigate fermionic extensions of KdV in a similar fashion.

### V-i First deconstruction of superCH

We first consider the superCH system (39) with fields taking values in the simplest grassmann algebra with basis  $\{1, \tau\}$ , where  $\tau$  is a single fermionic generator. In this case the fermionic fields may be expressed as  $\xi = \tau\xi_1$ ,  $\eta = \tau\eta_1$ , where  $\xi_1$  and  $\eta_1$  are standard (i.e. commuting, c-number) functions, as are  $u$  and  $m$  in this simple case. Since  $\tau^2 = 0$ , the fermionic bilinear terms do not contribute and we are left with the system

$$\begin{aligned} m_t &= -2mu_x - um_x, & m &= u - u_{xx} \\ \eta_{1t} &= -\frac{3}{2}\eta_1 u_x - \frac{1}{2}m\xi_{1x} - u\eta_{1x}, & \eta_1 &= \xi_1 - \xi_{1xx}. \end{aligned} \quad (40)$$

Further analysis is simplified by changing coordinates as described in [19]. Writing  $m=p^2$ , the first equation of (40) takes the form  $p_t = (-pu)_x$ , which suggests new coordinates  $y_0, y_1$  defined via

$$dy_0 = p dx - pu dt, \quad dy_1 = dt, \quad (41)$$

or dually, via

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x} = p \frac{\partial}{\partial y_0}, \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial}{\partial y_1} - pu \frac{\partial}{\partial y_0}. \quad (42)$$

Implementing this coordinate change and eliminating the functions  $u$  and  $\xi_1$ , the remaining equations for  $p$  and  $q \equiv \eta_1$  are:

$$p^2 \dot{p}'' - p(\dot{p}p'' + \dot{p}'p') + \dot{p}p'^2 - 2p^3p' - \dot{p} = 0, \quad (43)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{q}'' - \frac{3p'}{p}\dot{q}' - \frac{3\dot{p}}{2p}q'' + \left(\frac{4p'^2}{p^2} - \frac{2p''}{p} - \frac{1}{p^2}\right)\dot{q} + \left(\frac{15p'\dot{p}}{2p^2} - \frac{3\dot{p}'}{p} - \frac{p}{2}\right)q' \\ + 3\left(\frac{\dot{p}p'' + 2p'\dot{p}'}{p^2} - \frac{4\dot{p}p'^2}{p^3} - p'\right)q = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (44)$$

Here the dot and prime denote differentiations with respect to  $y_1$  and  $y_0$  respectively. We note: (a) thanks to supersymmetry (26), if  $p$  is a solution of (43), then  $q=p^2$  is a solution of (44); and (b) under the substitution  $q = p^{3/2}r$ , (44) takes the substantially simpler form

$$\dot{r}'' + \left(\frac{p'^2}{4p^2} - \frac{p''}{2p} - \frac{1}{p^2}\right)\dot{r} - \frac{p}{2}r' - \frac{3p'}{4}r = 0. \quad (45)$$

*The system (43),(44) passes the WTC Painlevé test.*

Proof: Equation (43) is a rescaled version of the Associated Camassa-Holm equation of [19]. Consideration of solutions with  $p(y_0, y_1) \sim p_0(y_0, y_1)\phi(y_0, y_1)^n$  near  $\phi(y_0, y_1) = 0$ , for some  $n \neq 0$ , yields  $n = -2$  or  $n = 1$  as the possible leading orders of Laurent series solutions. We need to perform the WTC Painlevé test [20] for both these types of series. The first type, namely, Laurent series solutions exhibiting double poles on the singular manifold  $\phi(y_0, y_1) = 0$ , have already been considered in [21]. These take the form

$$p = \frac{2\phi'\dot{\phi}}{\phi^2} - \frac{\dot{\phi}'}{\phi} + p_2 + p_3\phi + p_4\phi^2 + \dots, \quad (46)$$

where  $\phi, p_2, p_4$  are arbitrary functions of  $y_0, y_1$ , and

$$\begin{aligned} p_3 = \frac{-1}{2\phi'^2\dot{\phi}^2} \left( \phi'^2\dot{\phi}\dot{p}_2 + \phi'\dot{\phi}^2 p_2' - \left( \phi'^2\ddot{\phi} - 2\phi'\dot{\phi}\dot{\phi}' + \phi''\dot{\phi}^2 \right) p_2 \right. \\ \left. - \left( \phi'\dot{\phi}\ddot{\phi}'' - \phi'\ddot{\phi}\dot{\phi}'' - \dot{\phi}\phi''\dot{\phi}' + \ddot{\phi}\phi''\dot{\phi}' \right) \right). \end{aligned} \quad (47)$$

We have, at present, no explanation of the remarkable symmetry of these expressions under interchange of the independent variables. The second type of solutions have a simple zero on the singular manifold  $\phi(y_0, y_1) = 0$ . They take the form

$$p = \pm \frac{\phi}{\phi'} + p_2\phi^2 + p_3\phi^3 + \dots, \quad (48)$$

where  $\phi, p_2, p_3$  are arbitrary functions. The verification of the consistency of both these types of expansions is straightforward. This completes the WTC test for equation (43).

It remains to look at the equation (44). Although linear in  $q$ , it is *not* automatically Painlevé. The movable poles and zeros in  $p$  give rise to movable poles in the coefficient functions of the linear equation for  $q$ , and we need to examine the resulting singularities of

$q$ . If  $p$  has a pole on  $\phi=0$ , then near  $\phi=0$  we have  $p \sim 2\dot{\phi}\phi'/\phi^2$ , and equation (44) takes the form

$$\dot{q}'' + \left(\frac{6\phi'}{\phi} + \dots\right) \dot{q}' + \left(\frac{3\dot{\phi}}{\phi} + \dots\right) \dot{q}'' + \left(\frac{4\phi'^2}{\phi^2} + \dots\right) \dot{q} + \left(\frac{11\phi'\dot{\phi}}{\phi^2} + \dots\right) \dot{q}' + \left(O\left(\frac{1}{\phi^2}\right)\right) \dot{q} = 0.$$

Thus the equation has a solution with  $q \sim \phi^n$  if  $n(n-1)(n-2)+9n(n-1)+15n = 0$ , giving  $n = -4, -2, 0$ . It follows that in the case when  $p$  is given by the series (46), no inconsistencies will arise near the double poles of  $p$  if (44) has a series solution of the form

$$q = \frac{q_0}{\phi^4} + \frac{q_1}{\phi^3} + \frac{q_2}{\phi^2} + \frac{q_3}{\phi} + q_4 + \dots \quad (49)$$

with  $q_0, q_2, q_4$  arbitrary. The consistency of such a solution can easily be verified using a symbolic manipulator. Using MAPLE we find that

$$q_1 = \frac{2\phi''q_0 - \phi'q_0'}{\phi'^2}. \quad (50)$$

The explicit expression for  $q_3$  is too lengthy to be given here.

Suppose now that  $p$  has a zero on  $\phi=0$ . Near this,  $p \sim \pm\phi/\phi'$  and equation (44) has the structure

$$\dot{q}'' - \left(\frac{3\phi'}{\phi} + \dots\right) \dot{q}' - \left(\frac{3\dot{\phi}}{2\phi} + \dots\right) \dot{q}'' + \left(\frac{3\phi'^2}{\phi^2} + \dots\right) \dot{q} + \left(\frac{15\phi'\dot{\phi}}{2\phi^2} + \dots\right) \dot{q}' - \left(\frac{12\phi'^2\dot{\phi}}{\phi^3} + \dots\right) \dot{q} = 0.$$

Thus (44) has a solution with  $q \sim \phi^n$  if  $n(n-1)(n-2) - \frac{9}{2}n(n-1) + \frac{21}{2}n - 12 = 0$ , giving  $n = \frac{3}{2}, 2, 4$ . The appearance of a half-integer here is not considered a violation of the Painlevé test (see e.g. [22]). The half integer value of  $n$  gives rise to a series solution of (44), near a zero of  $p$ , of the form

$$q = q_0\phi^{\frac{3}{2}} + q_1\phi^{\frac{5}{2}} + q_2\phi^{\frac{7}{2}} + \dots \quad (51)$$

with  $q_0$  arbitrary, and  $q_1, q_2, \dots$  determined by  $q_0$  (and the arbitrary functions arising in the series (48) for  $p$ ). The two integer values of  $n$  tell us that we need to check the consistency of solutions of (44) taking the form

$$q = Q_0\phi^2 + Q_1\phi^3 + Q_2\phi^4 + \dots \quad (52)$$

with two arbitrary functions  $Q_0$  and  $Q_2$ . This is indeed consistent; using MAPLE we obtain

$$Q_1 = \pm 2\phi'Q_0p_2 - \frac{1}{3\phi'^2\dot{\phi}} \left( 2\phi'^2\dot{Q}_0 + 2\phi''\dot{\phi}Q_0 + \phi'\dot{\phi}Q_0' + 4\phi'\dot{\phi}'Q_0 \right), \quad (53)$$

with the choice of  $\pm$  depending on the choice in (48). The general solution of (44) near a zero of  $p$ , with three arbitrary functions, is a linear combination of the series (51) and (52). Thus the system (43),(44) passes the WTC test.  $\square$

The WTC test is evidence for the complete integrability of the system (43),(44). This in turn suggests that superCH indeed has some integrable content.

## V-ii Second deconstruction of superCH

We now consider the system (39) with fields taking values in a grassmann algebra with two anticommuting fermionic generators,  $\tau_1, \tau_2$ . Expanding in the basis  $\{1, \tau_1, \tau_2, \tau_1\tau_2\}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} u &= u_0 + \tau_1\tau_2 u_1, & \xi &= \tau_1\xi_1 + \tau_2\xi_2, \\ m &= m_0 + \tau_1\tau_2 m_1, & \eta &= \tau_1\eta_1 + \tau_2\eta_2, \end{aligned} \quad (54)$$

where the functions  $u_0, u_1, m_0, m_1, \xi_1, \xi_2, \eta_1, \eta_2$  are all standard, we obtain the system:

$$m_{0t} = -2m_0u_{0x} - u_0m_{0x}, \quad m_0 = u_0 - u_{0xx}, \quad (55)$$

$$\eta_{it} = -\frac{3}{2}u_{0x}\eta_i - \frac{1}{2}m_0\xi_{ix} - u_0\eta_{ix}, \quad \eta_i = \xi_i - \xi_{ixx}, \quad i = 1, 2, \quad (56)$$

$$\begin{aligned} m_{1t} &= -2m_1u_{0x} - 2m_0u_{1x} - u_0m_{1x} - u_1m_{0x} \\ &+ 2(\eta_1\xi_2 - \eta_2\xi_1) + \frac{2}{3}(\eta_{1x}\xi_{2x} - \eta_{2x}\xi_{1x}), \quad m_1 = u_1 - u_{1xx}. \end{aligned} \quad (57)$$

Supersymmetry (26) tells us that given a solution  $u_0, m_0$  of (55), we can solve the remaining equations by taking  $\xi_i = \alpha_i u_0$ ,  $\eta_i = \alpha_i m_0$  ( $i=1, 2$ ),  $u_1 = \beta u_{0x}$  and  $m_1 = \beta m_{0x}$ , where  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \beta$  are arbitrary constants.

We handle the system (55)-(57) following the procedure of the previous section. Writing  $m_0=p^2$  and changing coordinates to  $y_0, y_1$ , the system can be written:

$$u'_0 = \left(\frac{1}{p}\right)^\cdot, \quad u_0 = p^2 - p \left(\frac{\dot{p}}{p}\right)', \quad (58)$$

$$\xi'_i = \frac{3\eta_i\dot{p}}{p^4} - \frac{2\dot{\eta}_i}{p^3}, \quad \xi_i = \eta_i + p \left(\frac{3\eta_i\dot{p}}{p^3} - \frac{2\dot{\eta}_i}{p^2}\right)', \quad i = 1, 2, \quad (59)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{m_1}{p^2}\right)^\cdot &= -(2u_1p)' + \left(\frac{8(\dot{\eta}_1\eta_2 - \dot{\eta}_2\eta_1)}{3p^3}\right)' + \left(\frac{4(\eta'_1\eta_2 - \eta'_2\eta_1)}{3p^3}\right)^\cdot, \\ m_1 &= u_1 - p(pu'_1)'. \end{aligned} \quad (60)$$

Applying the WTC Painlevé test to this is a mammoth task, so instead we consider the Galilean-invariant reduction and apply the Painlevé test at this level. The Galilean-invariant

reduction is obtained, as usual, by restricting all functions to depend on the single variable  $z=y_0-vy_1$  alone. Evidently the first equations of both (58) and (60) can be integrated once immediately. Then eliminating  $u_0$  from (58),  $\xi_i$  from (59) and  $m_1$  from (60), we obtain,

$$\left(\frac{p'}{p}\right)' = -\frac{p}{v} + \frac{c_1}{p} - \frac{1}{p^2}, \quad (61)$$

$$\eta_i''' - \frac{9p'}{2p}\eta_i'' + \left(\frac{11p}{2v} - \frac{5c_1}{p} + \frac{4}{p^2} + \frac{13p'^2}{2p^2}\right)\eta_i' - \frac{3p'}{p}\left(\frac{2p}{v} - \frac{3c_1}{p} + \frac{3}{p^2} + \frac{p'^2}{p^2}\right)\eta_i = 0, \quad i=1, 2, \quad (62)$$

$$u_1'' + \frac{p'}{p}u_1' + \left(\frac{2p}{v} - \frac{1}{p^2}\right)u_1 = d_1 + \frac{4}{p^3}(\eta_1\eta_2' - \eta_2\eta_1'), \quad (63)$$

where  $c_1, d_1$  are integration constants. The equation for  $p(z)$  may be integrated again after multiplying both sides by  $p'/p$ ; this gives

$$p'^2 = 1 - 2c_1p + c_2p^2 - \frac{2}{v}p^3, \quad (64)$$

where  $c_2$  is another integration constant. This equation is well known in KdV theory. Its general solution can be written in terms of the Weierstrass  $\wp$ -function,

$$p(z) = -2v\wp(z) + \frac{1}{6}c_2v, \quad (65)$$

where the periods of  $\wp$  are determined by the coefficients  $c_1, c_2, v$ . Using (64), the coefficients in (62) can be simplified. Further, we know from supersymmetry that this equation has a solution  $\eta_i = p^2$ . Substituting  $\eta_i = p^2q_i$  the equation becomes a second order equation for  $q_i'$ :

$$q_i''' + \frac{3p'}{2p}q_i'' + \left(-\frac{3p}{2v} - \frac{3}{2p^2} + \frac{c_2}{2}\right)q_i' = 0, \quad i = 1, 2. \quad (66)$$

Supersymmetry (26) allows a reduction of the order of (63) as well. It implies that  $u_1 = p'/p$ ,  $\eta_i = p^2$  is a solution. So, writing  $u_1 = rp'/p$ ,  $\eta_i = p^2q_i$  in (63) yields a first order equation for  $r'$ :

$$r'' + \left(c_2p - \frac{4p^2}{v} - \frac{1}{p}\right)\frac{r'}{p'} = \frac{p}{p'}\left(d_1 + 4p(q_1q_2' - q_2q_1')\right). \quad (67)$$

Multiplying by the integrating factor  $p'^2/p$  and integrating, we obtain

$$r' = \frac{p}{p'^2}\left(d_1p + d_2 + 4\int(q_1q_2' - q_2q_1')pp' dz\right), \quad (68)$$

where  $d_2$  is a further constant of integration.

Thus the Galilean-invariant reduction of the second deconstruction of superCH takes the form of the three equations (64),(66),(68), to which we now apply the Painlevé test. All substitutions hitherto have been ones which do not interfere with the test. Equation (64)

has movable double poles and movable simple zeros. Near a double pole at  $z_0$ , the series solution contains only even powers of  $(z - z_0)$ ,

$$p(z) = -\frac{2v}{(z - z_0)^2} + \frac{c_2 v}{6} + \frac{12c_1 - c_2^2 v}{120}(z - z_0)^2 + \frac{\frac{54}{v} + c_2^3 v - 18c_1 c_2}{3024}(z - z_0)^4 + \dots \quad (69)$$

and near a simple zero at  $z_0$ ,

$$p(z) = \pm(z - z_0) - \frac{1}{2}c_1(z - z_0)^2 \pm \frac{1}{6}c_2(z - z_0)^3 - \frac{1}{24}\left(\frac{6}{v} + c_1 c_2\right)(z - z_0)^4 + \dots \quad (70)$$

At both the zeros and poles of  $p$ , equation (66), which is just a linear third order ODE, has regular singular points. Checking Painlevé property for this reduces to doing the necessary Frobenius-Fuchs analysis at these regular singular points to check that no logarithmic singularities in the solutions  $q_i$  arise. Finally, equation (68) gives an explicit formula for  $r$  involving two quadratures. Here the necessary analysis involves writing series expansions for the integrands near the zeros and poles of  $p$ , and checking for the absence of  $1/(z - z_0)$  terms, which would give rise to logarithms on integration. We do not present all these calculations in detail; with the aid of a symbolic manipulator they are quite straightforward. We conclude that the Galilean-invariant reduction of the second deconstruction of superCH has the Painlevé property.

We note, in conclusion, that two of the equations we have encountered are interesting variants of the Lamé equation: In (66), the substitution  $q'_i = p^{-3/4}h_i$  yields

$$h_i'' + \frac{3}{8}\left(\frac{p}{v} - \frac{c_2}{6} + \frac{c_1}{p} - \frac{7}{2p^2}\right)h_i = 0, \quad (71)$$

and similarly, on writing  $u_1 = p^{-1/2}k$ , the homogeneous part of (63) takes the form,

$$k'' + \left(\frac{3p}{v} - \frac{c_2}{4} - \frac{3}{4p^2}\right)k = 0. \quad (72)$$

By the arguments above, the latter is integrable by quadratures.

## VI Superpeakon solutions

As mentioned in the introduction, one of the intriguing features of the CH equation is the existence of peakon solutions. One would hope that superCH shares this property. However, peakon solutions are weak solutions, with a discontinuity in the first derivative; and the action of supersymmetry on such functions, for a general underlying grassmann algebra, yields objects which are not regular enough to be considered as weak solutions. So, CH peakon

solutions do not admit a general supersymmetrisation. The above argument does not hold in the first deconstruction, because if there is only one fermionic generator, the supersymmetry transformation (26) does not involve an  $x$ -derivative. So such supersymmetrised peakon solutions of the superCH system (39) do exist if the fields are restricted to take values in a grassmann algebra with only one fermionic generator.

Consider the equations (40) of the first deconstruction. Supersymmetry implies that if  $(u, m)$  is a solution of the first equation in (40), then  $\xi_1 = cu$ ,  $\eta_1 = cm$  (where  $c$  is a constant) gives a solution of the second equation. Thus, for example, the speed  $v$  travelling-wave peakon solution of CH,  $u = v \exp(-|x - vt|)$ , can be supersymmetrised, as can any multipeakon solution. In fact, there also exist more general superpeakons. The superposition ansatz

$$u(x, t) = \sum_{i=1}^N p_i(t) \exp(-|x - q_i(t)|) \quad (73)$$

$$\xi_1(x, t) = \sum_{i=1}^N r_i(t) \exp(-|x - q_i(t)|) \quad (74)$$

gives a solution of the system (40) provided the functions  $q_i(t), p_i(t), r_i(t)$  ( $i = 1, \dots, N$ ) satisfy the ODE system

$$q_{it} = \sum_{j=1}^N p_j \exp(-|q_i - q_j|) \quad (75)$$

$$p_{it} = \sum_{j=1}^N{}' \operatorname{sgn}(q_i - q_j) p_i p_j \exp(-|q_i - q_j|) \quad (76)$$

$$r_{it} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^N{}' \operatorname{sgn}(q_i - q_j) (p_i r_j + p_j r_i) \exp(-|q_i - q_j|), \quad (77)$$

where the primed sums range over values of  $j \neq i$ . Equations (75) and (76) are the conditions which determine  $u$  of the form (73) to be a multipeakon solution of CH. They describe geodesic motion on an  $N$ -dimensional surface with coordinates  $q_i$  [1] and form an integrable hamiltonian system [23]. The further equations (77) are linear equations for the functions  $r_i$ . Clearly, taking the  $r_i = cp_i$  for some constant  $c$  gives a solution, these being the supersymmetrised multipeakon solutions discussed before. *More general solutions certainly exist.* Since the system (75)–(76) is integrable, integrability of the additional  $N$  linear equations (77) depends on the existence of  $N-1$  independent conserved quantities depending on the  $r_i$ . We have not settled this question in general, but we note that  $\sum_{i=1}^N r_i$  is a conserved quantity, just as the total momentum  $\sum_{i=1}^N p_i$  is also conserved. This suffices for integrability when  $N=2$ , in which case the remaining equation for  $r_1 - r_2$  can be integrated explicitly.

Note that unlike the existence of the superpeakons which arise in virtue of supersymmetry transformations of CH peakons, the existence of this extra conserved quantity depends critically on the coefficients of the  $\eta_1$  evolution equation in (40). Even if the full superpeakon system (77) proves not to be fully integrable, the geodesy and supersymmetry conditions have certainly picked out an equation with some integrability properties (c.f. [12]).

## VII Outlook

In this paper we have examined fermionic extensions of the Camassa-Holm equation. In particular we have identified the superCH system (39), which, for low dimensional grassmann algebras displays some integrability properties and has peakon type solutions. Further investigation is needed to determine whether the superCH system is fully integrable.

Our work provides a further instance of integrability properties arising in the context of geodesic flows on a group manifold, and in particular provides some evidence that supersymmetric geodesic flows whose bosonic part is integrable must also be integrable.

We note in closing that the KP (and superKP) systems have yet to be presented as geodesic flows. If such a presentation exists, it would have a bearing on the question of whether there is a KP-type higher dimensional generalisation of Camassa-Holm (arising in a way similar to that in which KP generalises KdV).

### Acknowledgements

We thank the MPI für Mathematik in den Naturwissenschaften for hospitality to J.S. during a visit to Leipzig and the Department of Mathematics and Computer Science of Bar-Ilan University for hospitality to C.D. during a visit to Israel. We acknowledge encouragement to add section 6 from one of the anonymous referees of the paper.

## References

- [1] R. Camassa and D.D. Holm, *An integrable shallow water equation with peaked solitons*, patt-sol/9305002, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **71** (1993) 1661.
- [2] R. Camassa, D.D. Holm, and J.M. Hyman, *A new integrable shallow water equation with peaked solutions*, in *Advances in Applied Mechanics* **31**, Ed. J. Hutchinson and T. Wu, Academic Press (1994), 1-33.

- [3] A. Fokas and B. Fuchssteiner, *Symplectic structures, their Bäcklund transformations and hereditary symmetries*, *Physica D* **4** (1981) 47-66.
- [4] V.I. Arnold, *Mathematical methods of classical mechanics*, Springer-Verlag (1978).
- [5] V.Yu. Ovsienko and B.A. Khesin, *Korteweg-de Vries superequation as an Euler equation*, *Funct. Anal. Appl.* **21** (1987) 329-331.
- [6] G. Misiolek, *Conjugate points in the Bott-Virasoro group and the KdV equation*, *Proc. AMS* **125** (1997) 935-940.
- [7] V.I. Arnold and B.A. Khesin, *Topological methods in hydrodynamics*, Springer-Verlag (1998).
- [8] P.W. Michor and T.S. Ratiu, *On the geometry of the Virasoro-Bott group*, math.DG/9801115, *J. Lie Theory* **8** (1998) 293-309.
- [9] G. Misiolek, *A shallow water equation as a geodesic flow on the Bott-Virasoro group*, *J. Geom. Phys.* **24** (1998) 203-208.
- [10] S. Shkoller, *Geometry and curvature of diffeomorphism groups with  $H^1$  metric and mean hydrodynamics*, math.AP/9807078, *J. Funct. Anal.* **160** (1998) 337-365.
- [11] S. Kouranbaeva, *The Camassa-Holm equation as a geodesic flow on the diffeomorphism group*, math-ph/9807021, *J. Math. Phys.* **40** (1999) 857-868.
- [12] O.B. Fringer and D.D. Holm, *Integrable vs nonintegrable geodesic soliton behavior*, solv-int/9903007.
- [13] B.A. Kupershmidt, *A super Korteweg-de Vries equation: an integrable system*, *Phys. Lett.* **A102** (1984) 213-215.
- [14] P. Mathieu, *Supersymmetric extension of the Korteweg-de Vries equation*, *J. Math. Phys.* **29** (1988) 2499-2506.
- [15] Yu.I. Manin and A.O. Radul, *A supersymmetric extension of the Kadomtsev-Petviashvili hierarchy*, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **98** (1988) 65-77.
- [16] D. Zhang, *Integrability of fermionic extensions of the Korteweg-de Vries equation*, *Phys. Lett.* **A234** (1997) 358-360.
- [17] N.S. Manton, *Deconstructing supersymmetry*, hep-th/9806077, *J. Math. Phys.* **40** (1999) 736-750.

- [18] C. Devchand and J. Schiff, *Deconstructing superKdV*, in preparation.
- [19] J. Schiff, *The Camassa-Holm equation: a loop group approach*, solv-int/9709010, *Physica D* **121** (1998) 24-43.
- [20] J. Weiss, M. Tabor and G. Carnevale, *The Painlevé property for partial differential equations*, *J. Math. Phys.* **24** (1983) 522-526.
- [21] A.N.W. Hone, *The associated Camassa-Holm equation and the KdV equation*, solv-int/9901009, *J. Phys. A* **32** (1999) L307-L314.
- [22] A. Ramani, B. Grammaticos and T. Bountis, *The Painlevé property and singularity analysis of integrable and non-integrable systems*, *Phys. Rep.* **180** (1989) 159-245.
- [23] F. Calogero, *An integrable hamiltonian system*, *Phys. Lett.* **A201** (1995) 306-310;  
 F. Calogero, *A solvable hamiltonian system*, *J. Math. Phys.* **36** (1995) 4832-4840;  
 F. Calogero and J.P. Francoise, *A completely integrable hamiltonian system*, *J. Math. Phys.* **37** (1996) 2863-2871;  
 O. Ragnisco and M. Bruschi, *Peakons, R-Matrix and Toda lattice*, solv-int/9509012, *Physica A* **228** (1996) 150-159.