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#### Abstract

The structure of a 0 -Hecke algebra $H$ of type ( $W, R$ ) over a field is examined. $H$ has $2^{n}$ distinct irreducible representations, where $n=|R|$, all of which are one-dimensional, and correspond in a natural way with subsets of $R . H$ can be written as a direct sum of $2^{\boldsymbol{n}}$ indecomposable left ideals, in a similar way to Solomon's (1968) decomposition of the underlying Coxeter group W.


Subject classification (Amer. Math. Soc. (MOS) 1970): 16 A 48.

## 1. Introduction

Notation. $\left\{i_{1}, \ldots, \hat{i}_{s}, \ldots, i_{n}\right\}$ denotes the set $\left\{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{n}\right\}-\left\{i_{s}\right\}, \cup$ denotes set union and $\cap$ denotes set intersection. $(x y x \ldots)_{n}$ denotes the product of the first $n$ terms of the sequence $x, y, x, y, x, \ldots$ ACC denotes the ascending chain condition and DCC denotes the descending chain condition. Let $S$ be a set and $A$ a subset of $S$. Then $|A|$ denotes the number of elements in $A$, and $A$ denotes the complement of $A$ in $S$.

Let $K$ be any field, and let $(W, R)$ be a finite Coxeter system, with root system $\Phi$, positive system $\Phi^{+}$and simple system $\Pi$. For each $J \subseteq R$, let $\Phi_{J}, \Phi_{J}^{+}$and $\Pi_{J}$ be the corresponding root system, positive system and simple system. $w_{i} \in R$ is the reflection in the hyperplane perpendicular to $r_{i} \in \Pi$. For each $J \subseteq R$, let

$$
X_{J}=\left\{w \in W: w\left(\Pi_{J}\right) \subseteq \Phi^{+}\right\} \quad \text { and } \quad Y_{J}=\left\{w \in W: w\left(\Pi_{J}\right) \subseteq \Phi^{+}, w\left(\Pi_{\hat{J}}\right) \subseteq \Phi^{-}\right\}
$$

where $\hat{J}=R-J$. We shall assume all the standard results on finite Coxeter systems, as found in Bourbaki (1968), Carter (1972) and Steinberg (1967).
1.1 Definition. The 0 -Hecke algebra $H$ over $K$ of type ( $W, R$ ) is the associative algebra over $K$ with identity 1 generated by $\left\{a_{i}: w_{i} \in R\right\}$ subject to the relations:
(i) $a_{i}^{2}=-a_{i}$ for all $w_{i} \in R$,
(ii) $\left(a_{i} a_{j} a_{i} \ldots\right)_{n_{j}}=\left(a_{j} a_{i} a_{j} \ldots\right)_{n_{k j}}$ for all $w_{i}, w_{j} \in R, w_{i} \neq w_{j}$, where $n_{i j}=$ the order of $w_{i} w_{j}$ in $W$.
For all $w \in W$, define $a_{w}=a_{i_{1}} \ldots a_{i}$, where $w=w_{i_{1}} \ldots w_{i_{i}}$ is a reduced expression for $w \in W$ in terms of the elements of $R$. Note that $a_{1 w}=1$, where $1_{W}$ denotes the identity element of $W$. It is easy to show that $a_{w}$ is independent of the reduced expression for $w$, and that every element of $H$ is a $K$-linear combination of elements $a_{w}$, for $w \in W$.

By Bourbaki (1968) (Exercise 23, p. 55), $\left\{a_{w}: w \in W\right\}$ are linearly independent over $K$ and so form a $K$-basis of $H$.
1.2 Some Examples. (i) Let $G=G(q)$ be a Chevalley group over the finite field $F=G F(q)$ of $q$ elements, where $q=p^{m}$ for some prime $p$ and positive integer $m$. Then $G$ has a $(B, N)$ pair ( $G, B, N, R$ ) and Weyl group $W$ such that for each $w_{i} \in R$ there is a positive integer $c_{i}$ such that $\left|B: B \cap B^{v_{i}}\right|=q^{c_{i}}$. If $K$ is a field of characteristic $p$, then the Hecke algebra $H_{K}(G, B)$ is a 0 -Hecke algebra.
(ii) Let $G$ be a finite group with a split $(B, N)$ pair ( $G, B, N, R, U$ ) of rank $n$ and characteristic $p$ with Weyl group $W$, and let $K$ be a field of characteristic $p$. Then the Hecke algebra $H_{K}(G, B)$ is a 0 -Hecke algebra of type $(W, R)$ over $K$.
1.3 Lemma. For all $w_{i} \in R$ and all $w \in W$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a_{i} a_{w}= \begin{cases}a_{w, w} & \text { if } l\left(w_{i} w\right)=l(w)+1, \\
-a_{w} & \text { if } l\left(w_{i} w\right)=l(w)-1 ;\end{cases} \\
& a_{w} a_{i}= \begin{cases}a_{w w_{i}} & \text { if } l\left(w w_{i}\right)=l(w)+1, \\
-a_{w} & \text { if } l\left(w w_{i}\right)=l(w)-1 .\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. If $l\left(w_{i} w\right)=l(w)+1$, then $a_{w_{p} w}=a_{i} a_{w}$ by the definition of $a_{w_{r} o}$. Suppose $l\left(w_{i} w\right)=l(w)-1$; then there is a reduced expression for $w$ beginning with $w_{i}$ : say $w=w_{i} w^{\prime}$ where $l(w)=l\left(w^{\prime}\right)+1$. Then $a_{w}=a_{i} a_{w^{\prime}}$, and so

$$
a_{i} a_{w}=a_{i} a_{i} a_{w v^{\prime}}=-a_{i} a_{w^{\prime}}=-a_{w} .
$$

Similarly for $a_{w} a_{i}$.
1.4 Corollary. (1) For all $w, w^{\prime} \in W$,
(a) $a_{w} a_{w v^{\prime}}= \pm a_{w}$ for some $w^{\prime \prime} \in W$, with $l\left(w^{\prime \prime}\right) \geqslant \max \left(l(w), l\left(w^{\prime}\right)\right)$;
(b) $a_{w} a_{w^{\prime}}=a_{w w^{\prime}}$ if and only if $l\left(w w^{\prime}\right)=l(w)+l\left(w^{\prime}\right)$;
(c) $a_{w} a_{w^{\prime}}=(-1)^{1\left(w^{\prime}\right)} a_{w}$ if and only if $w\left(r_{i}\right) \in \Phi^{-}$for each $r_{i} \in \Pi_{J}$, where $J=\left\{w_{i} \in R: w_{i}\right.$ occurs in some reduced expression for $\left.w^{\prime}\right\}$.
(d) $a_{w} a_{w^{\prime}}=(-1)^{(w)} a_{w^{\prime}}$ if and only if $\left(w^{\prime}\right)^{-1}\left(r_{i}\right) \in \Phi^{-}$for each $r_{i} \in \Pi_{J}$, where $J=\left\{w_{i} \in R: w_{i}\right.$ occurs in some reduced expression for $\left.w\right\} ;$
(e) $a_{w} a_{w^{\prime}}= \pm a_{w^{+}}$with $l\left(w^{\prime \prime}\right)>l(w)$, where $l(w) \geqslant l\left(w^{\prime}\right)$, if and only if there exists $r_{i} \in \Pi_{J}$ such that $w\left(r_{i}\right) \in \Phi^{+}$, where $J=\left\{w_{j} \in R: w_{j}\right.$ occurs in some reduced expression for $\left.w^{\prime}\right\}$.
(2) Let $w_{0}$ be the unique element of maximal length in $W$. Then for all $w \in W$,

$$
a_{w} a_{w_{0}}=(-1)^{l(w)} a_{w_{0}} \quad \text { and } \quad a_{w_{0}} a_{w}=(-1)^{l(w)} a_{w_{0}}
$$

## 2. The nilpotent radical of $H$

Let $N$ be the nilpotent radical of $H$. Since $H$ is a finite-dimensional algebra over $K, H$ has the DCC and ACC and so $N$ is also the Jacobson radical of $H$, and is the unique maximal nilpotent ideal of $H$.

There is a natural composition series for $H$, consisting of (two-sided) ideals of $H$ such that every factor is a one-dimensional $H$-module. This series arises as follows: list the basis elements $\left\{a_{w}: w \in W\right\}$ in order of increasing length of $w$, and if $w$, $w^{\prime} \in W$ have the same length it does not matter in which order $a_{w}$ and $a_{w^{\prime}}$ occur on the list. Rename these elements $h_{1}, h_{2}, \ldots, h_{|W|}$ respectively. Note that $h_{1}=1$ and $h_{|W|}=a_{w_{0}}$. Let $H_{j}$ be the ideal of $H$ generated by $\left\{h_{m}: m \geqslant j\right\} . H_{j}$ has $K$-basis $\left\{h_{m}: m \geqslant j\right\}$ and dimension $|W|-j+1$. Then

$$
H=H_{1}>H_{2}>\ldots>H_{|W|}=a_{w_{0}} H>0
$$

is the natural composition series of $H$ described above. $H_{i} / H_{i+1}$ is a one-dimensional $H$-module, $1 \leqslant i \leqslant|W|$, where $H_{|W|+1}=0$, with basis $h_{i}+H_{i+1}$, where $h_{i}=a_{w}$ for some $w \in W$. Either $a_{w}^{2}=(-1)^{l(w)} a_{w}$ or $a_{w}^{2} \in H_{i+1}$; in the first case, the factor ring $H_{i} / H_{i+1}$ is generated by an idempotent, and in the second case it is nilpotent.
2.2 Lemma. The number of factors which are generated by an idempotent is equal to $2^{n}$, where $n=|R|$.

Proof. The factors generated by idempotents correspond to elements $w \in W$ such that $a_{w}^{2}=(-1)^{(w)} a_{w}$. Let $w \in W$ be such an element. Write $w=w_{i_{1}} \ldots w_{i_{s}}$, where $l(w)=s$, and let $J=\left\{w_{i_{j}}: 1 \leqslant j \leqslant s\right\}$. Then $w \in W_{J}$, and by 1.4(lc), $w\left(\Pi_{J}\right) \subseteq \Phi^{-}$. Hence $w=w_{0 J}$, the unique element of maximal length in $W_{J}$. Conversely, for each subset $J$ of $R, a_{w_{0, J}}^{2}=(-1)^{l\left(w_{a j}\right)} a_{w_{a} \text {. Hence the number of factors which are }}$ generated by an idempotent is equal to the number of subsets of $R$, that is, $2^{n}$, where $n=|R|$.

By Schreier's theorem, any series of ideals of $H$ can be refined to a composition series, and all so obtained have the same number of terms in them as the natural series, and with the factors in one-one correspondence with those of the natural series. In particular, consider $H>N \geqslant 0$. This can be refined to a composition series $H=H_{1}^{\prime}>\ldots>H_{|W|}^{\prime}>H_{|W|+1}^{\prime}=0$, where $N=H_{r}^{\prime}, \quad 2<r \leqslant|W|+1$. Now each factor $H_{i}^{\prime} / H_{i+1}^{\prime}, i \geqslant r$, is nilpotent as $H_{i}^{\prime} \leqslant N$, and each factor $H_{i}^{\prime} / H_{i+1}^{\prime}, i+1 \leqslant r$, must be generated by an idempotent as $H_{i}^{\prime} / N \leqslant H / N$, a semi-simple ring. Hence the number of factors which are nilpotent is equal to the dimension of $N$. Thus, $\operatorname{dim} N=|W|-2^{n}$, where $n=|R|$.

We can, however, give a precise basis of $N$.
2.3 Theorem. Let $w \in W$, and suppose $w \neq w_{0 J}$ for any $J \subseteq R$. Write $w=w_{i_{1}} \ldots w_{i_{s}}$, $l(w)=s$, and let $J(w)=\left\{w_{i_{j}}: 1 \leqslant j \leqslant s\right\}$. Then $E(w)=a_{w}+(-1)^{\left(w_{0}(w)\right)+l(w)+1} a_{w_{0 J(w)}}$ is nilpotent, and $\left\{E(w): w \in W, w \neq w_{0 J}\right.$ for any $\left.J \subseteq R\right\}$ is a basis of $N$.

Proof. Show $E(w)$ is nilpotent by induction on $l\left(w_{0 J(w)}\right)-l(w)$. Note that if $w=w_{0 J}$ for some $J \subseteq R$ then $E(w)=0$. Suppose $l\left(w_{0 J(w)}\right)-l(w)=1$. Then since a reduced expression for $w$ involves all $w_{i} \in J(w), w \neq w_{0 J(w)}$, there exists $r_{j} \in \Pi_{J(w)}$ such that $w\left(r_{j}\right) \in \Phi^{+}$. So $a_{w}^{2}=(-1)^{1(w)-1} a_{w_{0 J(w)}}$. Thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
E(w)^{2} & =a_{w}^{2}+a_{w} a_{w_{0 J}(w)}+a_{w_{0 J}(w)} a_{w}+a_{w_{0 J}(w)}^{2} \\
& =a_{w_{0} J(w)}^{b} \quad \text { where } b=(-1)^{l(w)-1}+2(-1)^{l(w)}+(-1)^{l\left(w_{0 J}(w)\right)} \\
& =0 \text { as } l\left(w_{0 J(w)}\right)=l(w)+1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now suppose $l\left(w_{0 J(w)}\right)-l(w)>1$. Consider the product $a_{w} a_{w}$. Since $w \neq w_{0 J(w)}$, there exists $r_{j} \in \Pi_{J(w)}$ such that $w\left(r_{j}\right) \in \Phi^{+}$. As any reduced expression for $w$ involves all $w_{i} \in J(w)$, we have $a_{w} a_{w}=(-1)^{2(w)-l\left(w^{\prime}\right)} a_{w^{\prime}}$, with $w^{\prime} \in W_{J(w)}$ and $l\left(w^{\prime}\right)>l(w)$. Further, $J\left(w^{\prime}\right)=J(w)$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
E(w)^{2} & =a_{w}^{2}+2(-1)^{l\left(w_{0 J}(w)\right)+1} a_{w_{0 J}(w)}+(-1)^{l\left(w_{0 J(w) l}\right)} a_{w_{0 J}(w)} \\
& =(-1)^{l\left(w^{\prime}\right)} a_{w^{\prime}}+(-1)^{l\left(w_{0 J}(w)\right)+1} a_{w_{0 J(w)}} \\
& =(-1)^{l\left(w^{\prime}\right)}\left(a_{w^{\prime}}+(-1)^{l\left(w_{0 J} J\left(w^{\prime}\right)+l\left(w^{\prime}\right)+1\right.} a_{w_{0 J}\left(w^{\prime}\right)}\right) \\
& =(-1)^{l\left(w^{\prime}\right)} E\left(w^{\prime}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

As $l\left(w^{\prime}\right)>l(w)$, either $w^{\prime}=w_{0 J(w)}$ and thus $E(w)^{2}=0$ or $w^{\prime} \neq w_{0 J(w)}$ and then by induction $E\left(w^{\prime}\right)$ is nilpotent. Thus $E(w)$ is nilpotent.

Finally, note that we get a nilpotent element for each $w \in W, w \neq w_{0 J}$ for any $J \subseteq R$. The set of all $E(w), w \neq w_{0 J}$ for any $J \subseteq R$, is obviously linearly independent, and there are $|W|-2^{n}$ elements in all, where $n=|R|$. Hence they are a $K$-basis for $N$.

### 2.4 Corollary. $H / N$ is commutative.

Proof. We show that $a_{i} a_{j}-a_{j} a_{i} \in N$ for all $w_{i}, w_{j} \in R$. If $a_{i} a_{j}=a_{j} a_{i}$, the result is obvious. So suppose $a_{i} a_{j} \neq a_{j} a_{i}$. Then we can form $E\left(w_{i} w_{j}\right)$ and $E\left(w_{j} w_{i}\right)$ and $E\left(w_{i} w_{j}\right)-E\left(w_{j} w_{i}\right)=a_{i} a_{j}-a_{j} a_{i} \in N$ as each of $E\left(w_{i} w_{j}\right)$ and $E\left(w_{j} w_{i}\right)$ is in $N$.

## 3. The irreducible representations of $H$

Consider the one-dimensional $H$-modules which arise from the natural composition series of $H$. Let the factor $H_{i} / H_{i+1}$ be generated as left $H$-module by $a_{w}+H_{i+1}$. The action of $H$ on this element is determined as follows: for each $w_{i} \in R$,

$$
a_{i}\left(a_{w}+H_{i+1}\right)= \begin{cases}-\left(a_{w}+H_{i+1}\right) & \text { if } w^{-1}\left(r_{i}\right) \in \Phi^{-} \\ 0 & \text { if } w^{-1}\left(r_{i}\right) \in \Phi^{+}\end{cases}
$$

For any $w \in W$, let $J(w)=\left\{w_{i_{j}}: 1 \leqslant j \leqslant s\right\}$ where $w=w_{i_{1}} \ldots w_{i_{s}}$ is a reduced expression for $w$. Then for $w^{\prime} \in W$,

$$
a_{w^{\prime}}\left(a_{w}+H_{i+1}\right)= \begin{cases}(-1)^{l\left(w^{\prime}\right)}\left(a_{w}+H_{i+1}\right) & \text { if } w^{-1}\left(\Pi_{J\left(w^{\prime}\right)}\right) \subseteq \Phi^{-} \\ 0 & \text { if there exists } r_{i} \in \Pi_{J\left(w^{\prime}\right)} \text { such } \\ & \text { that } w^{-1}\left(r_{i}\right) \in \Phi^{+}\end{cases}
$$

Hence the action of $H$ on $a_{w}+H_{i+1}$ depends on $w^{-1}$.
3.1 Definition. For each $J \subseteq R$, let $\lambda_{J}$ be the one-dimensional representation of $H$ defined by

$$
\lambda_{J}\left(a_{i}\right)= \begin{cases}0 & \text { if } w_{i} \in J \\ -1 & \text { if } w_{i} \in \hat{J}\end{cases}
$$

For all $w \in W$, let $w=w_{i_{1}} \ldots w_{i_{s}}$ with $l(w)=s$. Then $\lambda_{J}\left(a_{w}\right)=\lambda_{J}\left(a_{i_{1}}\right) \ldots \lambda_{J}\left(a_{i_{s}}\right)$. Extend $\lambda_{J}$ to $H$ by linearity.

For each $J \subseteq R$, let $H_{i(J)} / H_{i(J)+1}$ be the factor of the natural series which is generated by $a_{w_{0 j}}+H_{i(J)+1}$. Then the left $H$-module $H_{i(J)} / H_{i(J)+1}$ affords the representation $\lambda_{J}$ of $H$.

Since each composition factor of $H$ is one-dimensional, it follows that all irreducible representations of $H$ are one-dimensional. Let $\mu$ be an irreducible representation of $H$. Then $\mu$ is completely determined by the values $\mu\left(a_{i}\right)$ for all $w_{i} \in R$. Since $\mu$ is an algebra homomorphism, $\mu\left(a_{i}\right)^{2}=-\mu\left(a_{i}\right)$ for all $w_{i} \in R$. Let $\mu\left(a_{i}\right)=u_{i} \in K$ for all $w_{i} \in R$. Then $u_{i}^{2}=-u_{i}$ in $K$ implies that $u_{i}=0$ or $u_{i}=-1$.

Thus each irreducible representation of $H$ can be described by an $n$-tuple ( $u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n}$ ), where $n=|R|$, with $u_{i}=0$ or -1 for all $i$. In particular, $\lambda_{J}$ corresponds to the $n$-tuple $\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n}\right)$ where $u_{i}=0$ if $w_{i} \in J$ and $u_{i}=-1$ if $w_{i} \in \hat{J}$. There are $2^{n}$ such irreducible representations, and they all occur in the natural series of $H$.
$2^{n}$ maximal ideals of $H$ are determined as follows: for each $J \subseteq R$, form the $n$-tuple $\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n}\right)$, where $u_{i}=0$ if $w_{i} \in J$ and $u_{i}=-1$ otherwise. Let $M_{J}$ be the left ideal of $H$ generated by $\left\{a_{i}-u_{i} 1: w_{i} \in R\right\}$. Then $M_{J}=\operatorname{ker} \lambda_{J}$, and as each $\lambda_{J}$ is irreducible, $M_{J}$ is a maximal left ideal of $H$.

Now $H / N$ is semi-simple Artinian. So by extending $K$ to its algebraic closure $R$ and considering $H$ as an algebra over $R$, we deduce that

$$
H / N \cong R \oplus R \oplus \ldots \oplus R, \quad \text { a direct sum of } 2^{n} \text { fields. }
$$

(Actually, we will show that

$$
H / N \cong K \oplus K \oplus \ldots \oplus K, \quad 2^{n} \text { copies of } K
$$

regardless of which field $K$ is.)

## 4. Some decompositions of $H$

For each $J \subseteq R$, let $H_{J}$ be the subalgebra of $H$ generated by $\left\{a_{i}: w_{i} \in J\right\}$.
4.1 Definition. For each $J \subseteq R$, let

$$
e_{J}=\sum_{w \in W,} a_{w,}, \quad o_{J}=(-1)^{l\left(w_{0 J}\right)} a_{w_{0} J}
$$

4.2 Lemma. For all $w_{i} \in J$,

$$
a_{i} e_{J}=0=e_{J} a_{i} \quad \text { and } \quad a_{i} o_{J}=-o_{J}=o_{J} a_{i}
$$

Proof. Use 1.3.
4.3 LEMMA. Let $w_{0 J}=w_{i_{1}} \ldots w_{i,}, l\left(w_{0 J}\right)=s$. Then

$$
e_{J}=\left(1+a_{i_{1}}\right) \ldots\left(1+a_{i_{s}}\right)
$$

and is independent of the reduced expression for $w_{0 J}$.
Notation. For all $w \in W$, if $w=w_{i_{1}} \ldots w_{i_{i}}$ with $l(w)=t$, write

$$
\left[1+a_{w}\right]=\left(1+a_{i_{1}}\right) \ldots\left(1+a_{i_{l}}\right)
$$

By the following proof it follows that $\left[1+a_{w}\right]$ is independent of the reduced expression for $w$.

Proof. Firstly, we show that [ $1+a_{w_{0 J}}$ ] is independent of the reduced expression for $w_{0 J}$. Since we can pass from one reduced expression for $w_{0 J}$ to another by substitutions of the form $\left(w_{i} w_{j} w_{i} \ldots\right)_{n_{i j}}=\left(w_{j} w_{i} w_{j} \ldots\right)_{n_{b}}, i \neq j$, where $n_{i j}$ is the order of $w_{i} w_{j}$ in $W$, we need to show that

$$
\left[1+a_{\left(w_{i} w_{j} w_{j}, \ldots\right)_{n_{k}}}\right]=\left[1+a_{\left(w_{j}, w_{i}, w_{j} \ldots\right)_{n_{i}}}\right]
$$

To do this, we use induction on $n, n \leqslant n_{i j}$, to show that

$$
\left[1+a_{\left(w_{c} w_{j} w_{k} \ldots\right)_{n}}\right]=1+\sum_{m=1}^{n} a_{\left(w_{i} w_{j} w_{i} \ldots\right)_{m}}+\sum_{m=1}^{n-1} a_{\left(w_{j} w_{i} w_{g} \ldots\right)_{m}}
$$

This is clearly true for $n=1$. Suppose it is true for all integers $\leqslant k$, and suppose that $k$ is odd. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[1+a_{\left(w_{t} w w_{i} \ldots\right)_{k+1}}\right]=\left[1+a_{\left(w_{t} w_{j} w_{k} \ldots\right)_{k}}\right]\left(1+a_{j}\right)} \\
& =\left(1+\sum_{m=1}^{k} a_{\left(w_{i} v_{j} v_{i} \ldots\right)_{m}}+\sum_{m=1}^{k-1} a_{\left.\left(v_{j} w_{i} v_{j} \ldots\right)_{m}\right)}\right)\left(1+a_{j}\right) \\
& =\left(1+\sum_{m=1}^{k} a_{\left(w_{i} w_{j} w_{i} \ldots\right)_{m}}+\sum_{m=1}^{k-1} a_{\left.\left(w_{j} w_{i} w_{j} \ldots\right)_{m}\right)}\right)+a_{j} \\
& +\sum_{m=0}^{\frac{1}{(k-1)}} a_{\left(w_{s} w_{j} w_{i} \ldots\right)_{2 m+1}} a_{j}+\sum_{m=1}^{\frac{1}{(k-1)}} a_{\left(w_{j} w_{j} w_{i} \ldots\right)_{2 m}} a_{j}
\end{aligned}
$$

Now,

$$
a_{\left(w_{i} w_{j} w_{j} \ldots\right)_{m-1}} a_{j}=-a_{\left(w_{i} w_{j} w_{i} \ldots\right)_{2 m}} a_{j}, \quad 1 \leqslant m \leqslant \frac{1}{2}(k-1),
$$

and

$$
a_{\left(w_{j} w_{1} v_{j} \ldots\right)_{2 m-1}} a_{j}=-a_{\left(w_{j} w_{1} w_{j} \ldots\right)_{2 m-2}} a_{j}, \quad 1 \leqslant m \leqslant \frac{1}{2}(k-1)
$$

where $a_{\left(w v_{s} v_{j} . .\right)_{0}}=1$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[1+a_{\left(w_{i} v_{j} w_{1} \ldots\right)_{k+1}}\right]=1+\sum_{m=1}^{k} a_{\left(w_{i} v_{j} w_{k} \ldots\right)_{m}}+\sum_{m=1}^{k-1} a_{\left(w_{j} w_{s} v_{j} \ldots\right)_{m}} } \\
&+a_{\left(w_{1} v_{j} w_{i} \ldots\right)_{k}} a_{j}+a_{\left(w_{j} w_{j} w_{j} \ldots\right)_{k-1}} a_{j} \\
&=1+\sum_{m=1}^{k+1} a_{\left(w_{s} w_{j} w_{i} \ldots\right)_{m}}+\sum_{m=1}^{k} a_{\left(w_{j} w_{i} w_{j} \ldots\right)_{m} .}
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly, we get the above result if we assume $k$ is even.

Similarly, for all $n \leqslant n_{i j}$,

$$
\left[1+a_{\left(w_{j} v_{1} v_{j} v_{j}\right)_{n}}\right]=1+\sum_{m=1}^{n} a_{\left(w_{j} v_{r} v_{1} \ldots\right)_{m}}+\sum_{m=1}^{n-1} a_{\left(w_{1} v_{j}, w_{1} \ldots\right)_{m}} .
$$

Then, for all $n \leqslant n_{i j}$,

$$
\left[1+a_{\left(w_{i} w_{j} v_{i} \ldots\right)_{n}}\right]-\left[1+a_{\left(w_{j} w_{i} v_{j} \ldots\right)_{n}}\right]=a_{\left(w_{i} v_{f} v_{i} \ldots\right)_{n}}-a_{\left(w_{p}, w_{t} w_{j} \ldots\right)_{n}}
$$

When $n=n_{i j}$, this difference is zero, and so

$$
\left[1+a_{\left(w_{1} w_{f} w_{t} \ldots\right)_{n_{i j}}}\right]=\left[1+a_{\left(w_{j} w_{i} w_{j} \ldots\right)_{n_{t}}}\right]
$$

and thus $\left[1+a_{w_{0 J}}\right]$ is independent of the reduced expression for $w_{0 J}$ chosen.
Finally, $\left[1+a_{w_{0}}\right]$ is a linear combination of certain $a_{w}$ with $w \in W_{J}$. We show by induction on $l(w)$ for all $w \in W_{J}$ that $a_{w}$ occurs in the expansion of [ $1+a_{w_{0} J}$ ] with coefficient 1 . If $l(w)=0$, then $w=1$ and obviously 1 occurs with coefficient 1 . Suppose $l(w)>0$. Let $w=w^{\prime} w_{j}, w^{\prime} \in W_{J}, w_{j} \in J$, where $l(w)=l\left(w^{\prime}\right)+1$. By induction $a_{w^{\prime}}$ occurs in $\left[1+a_{w_{0} J}\right]$ with coefficient 1 . Choose an expression for $w_{0 J}$ ending in $w_{j}$, and then $\left[1+a_{w_{0} J}\right]=\left[1+a_{w_{a} w_{j}}\right]\left(1+a_{j}\right)$. Since $l\left(w^{\prime} w_{j}\right)>l\left(w^{\prime}\right)$, the only contribution to $a_{w^{\prime}}$ from the last bracket is from the 1 . If instead we take $a_{j}$ from the last bracket, we get $a_{v}$, with coefficient 1 . Now suppose $a_{v}$ occurs in [ $\left.1+a_{\left.v_{0}, v_{j}\right]}\right]$ with coefficient $m$. Then

$$
m a_{w}\left(1+a_{j}\right)=m a_{w}+m a_{w} a_{j}=m a_{w}-m a_{w}=0 \quad \text { as } w\left(r_{j}\right) \in \Phi^{-}
$$

Thus $a_{w}$ occurs in the expansion of $\left[1+a_{w_{0}}\right]$ with coefficient 1 , and hence $e_{J}=\left[1+a_{w_{0, J}}\right]$.
4.4 Corollary. (1) If $J, L \subseteq R, J \cap L \neq \emptyset$, then $o_{J} e_{L}=0$ and $e_{J} o_{L}=0$.
(2) If $L \subseteq J \subseteq R$, then $e_{L} e_{J}=e_{J}=e_{J} e_{L}$ and $o_{L} o_{J}=o_{J}=o_{J} o_{L}$.

Proof. Use 4.2 and 4.3.
4.5 Lemma. Let $y \in Y_{J}$ for some $J \subseteq R$. Then $a_{y} o_{\hat{J}}=a_{y}$ and $a_{y} o_{\hat{J}} e_{J}=\sum_{w_{\epsilon} W_{J}} a_{\nu w}$, with $l(y w)=l(y)+l(w)$ for all $w \in W_{J}$, that is, $a_{v} o_{\hat{J}} e_{J}$ is equal to $a_{v}$ plus a sum of certain $a_{w}$ with $l(w)>l(y)$.

Proof. If $y \in Y_{J}$, then $y=w w_{0 . \hat{J}}$ for some $w \in W$ with $l(y)=l(w)+l\left(w_{0, \hat{J}}\right)$. Hence $a_{y} o_{\hat{\jmath}}=(-1)^{\mathfrak{(}\left(w_{0} \hat{}\right)} a_{w} a_{w_{0} \hat{\hat{j}}} a_{w_{0} \hat{\jmath}}$, and so $a_{y} o_{\hat{J}}=a_{y}$. Now for all $w \in W_{J}$, as $y \in Y_{J} \subseteq X_{J}$, we have $l(y w)=l(y)+l(w)$. So for all $w \in W_{J}, a_{y} a_{w}=a_{y w}$. Thus

$$
a_{y} o_{\hat{J}} e_{J}=a_{\nu} e_{J}=\sum_{w \in W_{J}} a_{\nu} a_{w}=\sum_{w \in W_{J}} a_{\nu w}=a_{\nu}+\sum_{w \in W_{J}, w \neq 1} a_{\nu w v}
$$

and $l(y w)>l(y)$ if $w \neq 1, w \in W_{J}$.
4.6 Lemma. For $y \in Y_{J}, a_{y}$ occurs in the expansion of $a_{y} e_{j} o_{j}$ with coefficient 1 , and if, for any $w \in W, a_{w}$ occurs in the expansion of $a_{y} e_{J} \circ_{j}$ with non-zero coefficient, then $w=y$ or $l(w)>l(y)$.

Proof. By 4.5, $a_{y} e_{J}=\Sigma_{w \in W_{J}} a_{y w o}$, with $l(y w)=l(y)+l(w)$ for all $w \in W_{J}$. So

$$
a_{\nu} e_{J} o_{\hat{J}}=\sum_{w \in W,} a_{\nu v w} o_{\hat{J}}=a_{\nu} o_{\hat{J}}^{+} \sum_{w \in W /, v \neq 1} a_{\nu v w} o_{\hat{J}}
$$

From the proof of 4.5, $a_{y} o_{\hat{j}}=a_{y}$, and for all $w \in W_{J}, w \neq 1$,

$$
a_{\nu v o} o_{j}=a_{y v o}(-1)^{\left(t w_{0} j\right)} a_{v \sigma_{0} \hat{j}}= \pm a_{v \sigma^{\prime}}
$$

for some $w^{\prime} \in W$ with $l\left(w^{\prime}\right) \geqslant l(y w)>l(y)$.
4.7 Theorem. (i) The elements $\left\{a_{y} o_{\hat{J}} e_{J}=a_{\nu} e_{J}: y \in Y_{J}, J \subseteq R\right\}$ are linearly independent and form a basis of $H$.
(ii) The elements $\left\{a_{y} e_{J} o_{\hat{j}}: y \in Y_{J}, J \subseteq R\right\}$ are linearly independent and form a basis of $H$.

Proof. (i) Suppose that for each $y \in Y_{J}$ and each $J \subseteq R$ there is an element $k_{\nu} \in K$ such that $\Sigma_{J \subseteq R} \Sigma_{y \in Y_{J}} k_{y} a_{y} e_{J}=0$. Let

$$
S_{n}=\sum_{J \subseteq R} \sum_{y \in Y, J}{ }_{j y) \geqslant n} k_{y} a_{y} e_{J}
$$

We show that if $S_{n}=0$, then $k_{\nu}=0$ whenever $l(y)=n$ and hence $S_{n+1}=0$.
Let $y_{1}, \ldots, y_{l}$ be those elements of $W$ for which $l\left(y_{i}\right)=n$. Then by 4.5, if $y_{i} \in Y_{J(i)}$ for some $J(i) \subseteq R$,

$$
a_{y_{i}} e_{J(i)}=a_{y_{i}}+\left(\text { a linear combination of certain } a_{w} \text { where } l(w)>l\left(y_{i}\right)\right)
$$

Hence,

$$
S_{n}=\sum_{i=1}^{i} k_{v_{i}} a_{y_{i}}+\left(\text { a linear combination of certain } a_{w} \text { with } l(w)>n\right)
$$

If $S_{n}=0$, then as $\left\{a_{w}: w \in W\right\}$ are a basis of $H$, we must have $k_{\nu_{i}}=0$ for all $i$, $1 \leqslant i \leqslant t$. Then $S_{n+1}=0$.

Since $S_{0}=0, k_{y}=0$ for all $y$ whenever $l(y)=0$, and then $S_{1}=0$. By induction, all $k_{y}$ are zero, and so $\left\{a_{y} e_{J}: y \in Y_{J}, J \subseteq R\right\}$ is a set of linearly independent elements. As there are $|W|$ of them, they must form a basis of $H$.
(ii) This is proved using similar arguments.
4.8 Corollary. (i) For any $L \subseteq R$, the elements of the set

$$
\left\{a_{y} o_{\hat{J}} e_{J} o_{\hat{L}}=a_{y} e_{J} o_{\hat{L}}: y \in Y_{J}, J \subseteq L\right\}
$$

are linearly independent.
(ii) For any $L \subseteq R$, the elements of the set $\left\{a_{\nu} e_{J} 0_{J} e_{L}: y \in Y_{J}, J \supseteq L\right\}$ are linearly independent.

Proof. (i) $a_{\nu} e_{J} o_{\hat{L}}=\Sigma_{w \in W_{J}} a_{y w} o_{\hat{L}}$. As $J \subseteq L, \hat{L} \subseteq \mathcal{J}$ and so $a_{v \sigma_{0}} o_{\mathcal{L}}=a_{w \sigma_{0}}$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
a_{\nu} e_{J} o_{\hat{L}} & =a_{y} o_{\hat{L}}+\sum_{w \in W J, w \neq 1} a_{y w} o_{\hat{L}} \\
& =a_{\nu}+\sum_{w \in W J, w \neq 1} a_{v w} o_{\hat{L}} \text { as } y \in Y_{J} \\
& =a_{y}+\left(\text { a linear combination of certain } a_{w} \text { with } l(w)>l(y)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

The result now follows by using an argument similar to that used in the proof of 4.7.
(ii) For any $y \in Y_{J}, a_{y} e_{J} o_{j}=a_{y}+\left(\sum_{w \in W} k_{w} a_{v o}\right)$, where $k_{w} \in K$ and $k_{w o}=0$ if $l(w) \leqslant l(y)$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
a_{y} e_{J} o_{\mathcal{J}} e_{L} & =a_{v} e_{L}+\left(\sum_{w \in W} k_{w} a_{w}\right) e_{L}, \quad k_{w} \in K \text { given as above, } \\
& =a_{v}+\left(\sum_{w \in W} k_{w}^{\prime} a_{w}\right) \text { for certain } k_{w}^{\prime} \in K, \text { with } k_{w}^{\prime}=0 \text { if } l(w) \leqslant l(y) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Once again the result is given using an argument similar to that given in the proof of 4.7.
4.9 Theorem. (i) For each $a \in H$ and for any $J \subseteq R$, there exist elements $k_{\nu} \in K$ such that

$$
a a_{\hat{J}} e_{J}=\sum_{y \in Y, J} k_{v} a_{v} e_{J}=\left(\sum_{v \in Y_{J}} k_{v} a_{v} o_{\hat{\jmath}} e_{J}\right)
$$

(ii) For each $a \in H$ and for any $J \subseteq R$, there exist elements $k_{\nu} \in K$ such that

$$
a e_{J} o_{\hat{J}}=\sum_{\nu \in Y_{J}} k_{y} a_{\nu} e_{J} o_{\hat{J}}
$$

Proof. (i) As $\left\{a_{w}: w \in W\right\}$ is a basis of $H$, we may write $a=\Sigma_{w \in W} u_{w} a_{w}$ with $u_{w} \in K$ for all $w \in W$. It is thus sufficient to express $a_{w} o_{\hat{J}} e_{J}$ as a linear combination of the elements $\left\{a_{v} e_{J}: y \in Y_{J}\right\}$ for all $w \in W$. Use induction on $l(w)$ to prove this.

If $l(w)=0$, then $w=1$ and $\left.\log _{\hat{j}} e_{J}=(-1)^{\left(2 v_{0}\right)}\right) a_{w_{0} j} e_{J}$. The result is true for $w=1$ as $w_{0 \hat{J}} \in Y_{J}$.

Suppose $l(w)>0$. Let $w=w_{i} w^{\prime}$ for some $w_{i} \in R, w^{\prime} \in W, l(w)=l\left(w^{\prime}\right)+1$. By induction,

$$
a_{w^{\prime}} o_{\hat{J}} e_{J}=\sum_{y \in Y,} u_{y} a_{y} e_{J} \text { for some } u_{y} \in K
$$

Then

$$
a_{w} o_{\hat{J}} e_{J}=a_{i} a_{w^{\prime}} o_{\hat{J}} e_{J}=\sum_{y \in Y_{J}} u_{y} a_{i} a_{y} e_{J}
$$

Hence for each $y \in Y_{J}$ we have to express $a_{i} a_{y} e_{J}$ as a combination of $\left\{a_{v} e_{J}: v \in Y_{J}\right\}$. Now for any $y \in Y_{J}$,

$$
a_{i} a_{y} e_{J}= \begin{cases}-a_{y} e_{J}, & \text { if } y^{-1}\left(r_{i}\right) \in \Phi^{-}  \tag{4.10}\\ 0, & \text { if } y^{-1}\left(r_{i}\right)=r_{j} \text { for some } r_{j} \in \Pi_{J} \\ \quad \text { as then } a_{i} a_{y}=a_{y} a_{j} \\ a_{w_{i}} e_{J}, & \text { where } w_{i} y \in Y_{J} \text { if } y^{-1}\left(r_{i}\right) \in \Phi^{+} \\ y^{-1}\left(r_{i}\right) \neq r_{j} \text { for any } r_{j} \in \Pi_{J}\end{cases}
$$

The result follows.
(ii) Since $\left\{a_{y} e_{L} o_{\hat{L}}: y \in Y_{L}, L \subseteq R\right\}$ is a basis of $H$, there exist elements $u_{y} \in K$ such that

$$
a e_{J} o_{\hat{J}}=\sum_{L \subseteq R} \sum_{y \in Y_{L}} u_{y} a_{y} e_{L} o_{\hat{L}}
$$

Choose any $M \subseteq R$ with $M \cap \hat{J} \neq \varnothing$. Then $a e_{J} o_{\hat{J}} e_{M}=0$; so

$$
\sum_{L \subseteq} \sum_{y \in Y_{L}} u_{y} a_{y} e_{L} o_{\hat{L}} e_{M}=0
$$

But $o_{\hat{L}} e_{M}=0$ if $\mathcal{L} \cap M \neq \varnothing$. So the only non-zero terms in the above equation involve those $L \subseteq R$ for which $\mathcal{L} \cap M=\varnothing$. Thus

$$
\sum_{L, M \leq L \leq R} \sum_{y \in Y_{L}} u_{\nu} a_{y} e_{L} o_{\hat{L}} e_{M}=0
$$

By 4.8(ii), $u_{v}=0$ for all $y \in Y_{L}, M \subseteq L \subseteq R$. Hence we have that $u_{y}=0$ for all $y \in Y_{L}$, with $L \cap \hat{J} \neq \varnothing$. Thus

$$
a e_{J} o_{\hat{J}}=\sum_{L \leq J} \sum_{y \in Y_{L}} u_{y} a_{y} e_{L} o_{\hat{L}}
$$

Let $S_{J}=\left\{w \in W: u_{w} \neq 0, w \in Y_{L}\right.$ for some $\left.L \subset J\right\}$. Suppose $S_{J} \neq \varnothing$. Choose an element $y_{0} \in S_{J}$ of minimal length, and suppose $y_{0} \in Y_{J_{0}}$ for some $J_{0} \subset J$. Consider

$$
a e_{J} o_{\hat{J}} o_{\hat{J}_{0}}=\sum_{L \leq J} \sum_{\nu \leq F_{L}} u_{\nu} a_{y} e_{L} o_{\hat{L}} o_{\hat{J}_{0}}
$$

As $J_{0} \subset J, e_{J} o_{\hat{J}} o_{\hat{J}_{0}}=e_{J} o_{\hat{J}_{0}}=0$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{L \subset J} \sum_{\nu \in Y_{L}} u_{\nu} a_{\nu} e_{L} o_{\hat{L}} o_{\hat{J}_{0}}=0 \tag{*}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now if $L \subset J$ and $y \in Y_{L}$,

$$
a_{y} e_{L} o_{\hat{L}} o_{\hat{J}_{0}}=a_{y} o_{\hat{J}_{0}}+\sum_{w \in W \lambda(w)>(y)} k_{w} a_{w}
$$

where $k_{w} \in K$, and $a_{y} o_{\hat{J}_{o}}= \pm a_{w}$, for some $w \in W$ with $l(w) \geqslant l(y)$.
Since $y_{0}$ is of minimal length in $S_{J}$, the coefficient of $a_{y_{0}}$ on the left side of (*) is $u_{y_{0}}$ As $\left\{a_{w}: w \in W\right\}$ is a basis of $H$, so $u_{\nu_{0}}=0$, which is a contradiction. Hence $S_{J}=\varnothing$ and $a e_{J} o_{\hat{J}}=\Sigma_{y_{\in} Y_{J}} u_{y} a_{y} e_{J} o_{\hat{J}}$.

Remark. Let $z \in Z$. Then $z$ can be regarded as an element of $K$ in a natural way -it is the element $z 1_{K}=1_{K}+\ldots+1_{K}$ ( $z$ times), where $1_{K}$ is the identity of $K$.
4.11 Corollary. (1) For each $w \in W$, there exist rational integers $u_{y}=u_{\nu}(w)$ such that $a_{w} o_{\hat{J}} e_{J}=\Sigma_{y \in Y_{J}} u_{y} a_{y} o_{\hat{J}} e_{J}$.
(2) For each $w \in W$, there exist rational integers $u_{v}=u_{y}(w)$ such that

$$
a_{u q} e_{J} o_{\hat{J}}=\sum_{\nu \in Y J} u_{y} a_{y} e_{J} o_{\hat{J}}
$$

Proof. (1) Follows from the proof of 4.9(i).
(2) List the elements $y_{1}, \ldots, y_{m}$ of $Y_{J}$ in order of increasing length; if $i<j$ then $l\left(y_{i}\right) \leqslant l\left(y_{j}\right)$. Let $c_{i j}$ be the coefficient of $a_{y_{i}}$ in $a_{y_{j}} e_{J} o_{\hat{\jmath}}$. Clearly $c_{i j}$ is an integer as $a_{\nu_{j}} e_{J} \sigma_{\hat{J}}$ is an integral combination of certain elements $a_{w^{\prime}}, w^{\prime} \in W$. Also, $c_{i i}=1$ for all $i, 1 \leqslant i \leqslant m$, and $c_{i j}=0$ if $i<j$ by 4.6. Let $h_{i}$ be the coefficient of $a_{\nu_{i}}$ in $a_{w} e_{J} o_{\hat{J}}$. Clearly $h_{i}$ is an integer, and

$$
h_{i}=\sum_{j=1}^{m} k_{j} c_{i j} \quad \text { where } \quad a_{w} e_{J} o_{\hat{J}}=\sum_{i=1}^{m} k_{i} a_{y_{i}} e_{J} o_{\hat{J}}
$$

for some $k_{i} \in K$. Hence, $h_{i}=\sum_{j=1}^{i-1} k_{j} c_{i j}+k_{i}$. Let $i=1$. Then $h_{1}=k_{1}$, an integer. Now use increasing induction on $i$ to show $k_{i}$ is an integer for all $i, 1 \leqslant i \leqslant m$.
4.12 Theorem. (1) $H o_{\hat{J}} e_{J}$ is a left ideal of $H$ with $K$-basis $\left\{a_{y} o_{\hat{J}} e_{J}=a_{y} e_{J}: y \in Y_{J}\right\}$. Hence $\operatorname{dim} H o_{\hat{J}} e_{J}=\left|Y_{J}\right|$. Let $Y_{J}=\left\{y_{1}, \ldots, y_{s}\right\}$, with $l\left(y_{i}\right) \leqslant l\left(y_{j}\right)$ if $i<j$, and let $H_{J, i}=\left\{\sum_{j=i}^{s} k_{j} a_{v_{j}} o_{\hat{J}} e_{J}: k_{J} \in K\right\} ;$ then

$$
H o_{\hat{J}} e_{J}=H_{J, 1}>H_{J, 2}>\ldots>H_{J, 8}>0
$$

is a composition series of $H o_{\hat{J}} e_{J}$ of left $H$-modules, and $H_{J, i} / H_{J, i+1}$ affords the representation $\lambda_{M}$ of $H$, where $y_{i}^{-1} \in Y_{M}$, and $H_{J, s+1}=0$. Finally, $H=\Sigma_{J \leq R}^{\oplus} H o_{\hat{J}} e_{J}$, a direct sum of $2^{n}$ left ideals, where $n=|R|$.
(2) $H e_{J} o_{\hat{J}}$ is a left ideal of $H$ with $K$-basis $\left\{a_{j} e_{J} o_{\hat{J}}: y \in Y_{J}\right\}$. Hence $\operatorname{dim} H e_{J} o_{\hat{J}}=\left|Y_{J}\right| . \operatorname{Let} Y_{J}=\left\{y_{1}, \ldots, y_{s}\right\}$, with $l\left(y_{i}\right) \leqslant l\left(y_{j}\right)$ if $i<j$, and let

$$
H_{J, i}=\left\{\sum_{j=i}^{s} k_{j} a_{y_{j}} e_{J} o_{\hat{j}}: k_{j} \in K\right\}
$$

then

$$
H e_{J} o_{\vec{J}}=H_{J, 1}>H_{J, 2}>\ldots>H_{J, 8}>0
$$

is a composition series of $H e_{J} o_{\hat{J}}$ of left $H$-modules, and $H_{J, i} / H_{J, i+1}$ affords the representation $\lambda_{M}$ of $H$, where $y_{i}^{-1} \in Y_{M}$, and $H_{J, s+1}=0$. Finally, $H=\Sigma_{J}^{\oplus} \subseteq_{R} H e_{J} o_{\hat{J}}$, a direct sum of $2^{n}$ left ideals, where $n=|R|$.

Proof. The results follow by $4.7,4.8,4.10$ and the fact that

$$
\operatorname{dim} H=|W|=\sum_{J \subseteq R}\left|Y_{J}\right|
$$

4.13 Corollary. $H o_{\hat{J}} e_{J}$ and $H e_{J} o_{\hat{J}}$ are indecomposable left ideals of $H$, for all $J \subseteq R$, and they are isomorphic as left ideals of $H$.

Proof. From the theory of Artinian rings and the fact that $H / N$ is a direct sum of $2^{n}$ irreducible components (see remarks at the end of Section 3), it follows that $H$ can be expressed as the direct sum of $2^{n}$ indecomposable left ideals. Hence $H o_{\hat{J}} e_{J}$ and $H e_{J} o_{\hat{J}}$ must be indecomposable left ideals of $H$ for all $J \subseteq R$.

To show they are isomorphic, first note that $H e_{J} o_{\hat{J}}=H o_{\hat{J}} e_{J} o_{\hat{J}}$. Then define the homomorphism $f_{J}: H o_{\hat{J}} e_{J} \rightarrow H e_{J} o_{\hat{J}}$ by $f_{J}\left(a o_{\hat{J}} e_{J}\right)=a o_{\hat{J}} e_{J} o_{\hat{J}}$, for all $a o_{\hat{J}} e_{J} \in H o_{\hat{J}} e_{J}$. As $f_{J}$ is given by right multiplication by $o_{\hat{J}}$, it is well defined and is a homomorphism of left ideals of $H . f_{J}$ is onto, since $H e_{J} o_{\hat{J}}=H o_{\hat{J}} e_{J} o_{\hat{J}}$ and an element $a o_{\hat{J}} e_{J} o_{\hat{J}} \in H e_{J} o_{\hat{J}}$ is the image under $f_{J}$ of $a o_{\hat{J}} e_{J} . f_{J}$ is one-one as $\operatorname{dim} H o_{\hat{J}} e_{J}=\operatorname{dim} H e_{J} o_{\hat{J}}$. Hence $f_{J}$ is an isomorphism of left ideals of $H$.
4.14 Corollary. (1) For any $L \subseteq R$,

$$
H o_{\hat{L}}=\sum_{J \subseteq L}^{\oplus} H o_{\hat{J}} e_{J} o_{\hat{L}}, \quad \text { and } \operatorname{dim} H o_{\hat{L}}=\sum_{J \subseteq L}\left|Y_{J}\right|=\left|X_{\hat{L}}\right|
$$

(2) For any $L \subseteq R$,

$$
H e_{L}=\sum_{J \supseteq L}^{\oplus} H e_{J} o_{\hat{J}} e_{L}, \quad \text { and } \operatorname{dim} H e_{L}=\sum_{J \supseteq L}\left|Y_{J}\right|=\left|X_{L}\right|
$$

Proof. Use 4.12 and 4.8.
4.15 Theorem. For any $J \subseteq \boldsymbol{R}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
H e_{J} & =\left\{a \in H: a a_{i}=0 \text { for all } w_{i} \in J\right\} \\
& =\left\{a \in H: a\left(1+a_{i}\right)=a \text { for all } w_{i} \in J\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Further, $H e_{J}=\Sigma \sum_{J \subseteq L}^{\oplus} H o_{\hat{L}} e_{L}$, and $H e_{J}$ has basis $\left\{a_{w} e_{J}: w \in X_{J}\right\}$ and dimension $\left|X_{J}\right|$. Finally,

$$
\begin{aligned}
H o_{\hat{J}} e_{J} & =\left\{a \in H: a a_{i}=0 \text { for all } w_{i} \in J, a e_{L}=0 \text { for all } L \supset J\right\} \\
& =H e_{J} \cap\left(\bigcap_{J \supset L} \operatorname{ker} e_{L}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where ker $e_{L}=\left\{a \in H: a e_{L}=0\right\}$.

Proof. Clearly, $H e_{J} \leqslant\left\{a \in H: a a_{i}=0\right.$ for all $\left.w_{i} \in J\right\}$. Conversely, take $a \in H$ and suppose $a a_{i}=0$ for all $w_{i} \in J$. Then $a\left(1+a_{i}\right)=a$ for all $w_{i} \in J$, and so $a e_{J}=a$, and so $a \in H e_{J}$. Thus the first part is proved.

Now $H o_{\hat{L}} e_{L} \leqslant H e_{J}$ for all $L \supseteq J$, and so $\Sigma_{L \supseteq J}^{\oplus} H o_{\hat{L}} e_{L} \leqslant H e_{J}$. By 4.14, $\operatorname{dim} H e_{J}=\left|X_{J}\right|$, and as $\operatorname{dim} H o_{\hat{L}} e_{L}=\left|Y_{L}\right|$, we have $H e_{J}=\Sigma_{L \ni J}^{\oplus} H o_{\hat{L}} e_{L}$.

Let $a=\sum_{w \in W} u_{w} a_{w} \in H e_{J}$, where $u_{w} \in K$. Let $w_{i} \in J$. Then $a a_{i}=0$, and so $\Sigma_{w \in W} u_{w} a_{w} a_{i}=0$. Now

$$
\sum_{w \in W} u_{w} a_{w} a_{i}=\sum_{w \in W, w(r) \in \Phi^{+}} u_{w} a_{w w_{i}-} \sum_{w \in W, w(r i) \in \Phi^{-}} u_{w} a_{w}=0
$$

That is,

$$
\sum_{w \in W, w\left(r_{i}\right) \in \Phi^{-}} u_{w w_{1}} a_{w}-\sum_{w \in W, w\left(r_{i}\right) \in \Phi^{-}} u_{w} a_{w}=0 .
$$

Since $\left\{a_{w}: w \in W\right\}$ form a basis of $H$, we have $u_{w w_{i}}=u_{w}$ for all $w \in W$ with $w\left(r_{i}\right) \in \Phi^{-}$. Hence $u_{w}=u_{w v_{i}}$ for all $w \in W$, with $w\left(r_{i}\right) \in \Phi^{+}$. Now if $w \in W$, $w$ can be expressed uniquely in the form $w=y w_{J}$, where $y \in X_{J}, w_{J} \in W_{J}$ and $l(w)=l(y)+l\left(w_{J}\right)$. Write $w_{J}=w_{i_{1}} \ldots w_{i_{i}}, w_{i_{j}} \in J, l\left(w_{J}\right)=t$. By the above, we have

$$
u_{y}=u_{y w_{i_{1}}}=\ldots=u_{y w_{J}}=u_{w}
$$

Hence $a=\Sigma_{y_{\in} X_{J}} u_{y} a_{y} e_{J}$. Conversely, for each $y \in X_{J}, a_{y} e_{J} \in H e_{J}$, and as $\left\{a_{y} e_{J}: y \in X_{J}\right\}$ is linearly independent and $\operatorname{dim} H e_{J}=\left|X_{J}\right|,\left\{a_{\nu} e_{J}: y \in X_{J}\right\}$ is a basis of $H e_{J}$.

Finally, $H o_{\hat{J}} e_{J} \leqslant\left\{a \in H: a a_{i}=0\right.$ for all $w_{i} \in J, a e_{L}=0$ for all $\left.L \supset J\right\}$. Let $a=\Sigma_{L} \Sigma_{y_{\in} Y_{L}} u_{y} a_{y} o_{\hat{L}} e_{L}, u_{y} \in K$, satisfy $a a_{i}=0$ for all $w_{i} \in J$ and $a e_{L}=0$ for all $L \supset J$. Since $a \in H e_{J}, u_{y}=0$ for all $y \in Y_{L}$ if $J \neq L$. So $a=\sum_{L \supseteq J} \Sigma_{y_{\in} Y_{L}} u_{y} a_{y} o_{\hat{L}} e_{L}$. Set $S_{J}=\left\{w \in W: u_{w} \neq 0, w \in Y_{L}, L \supset J\right\}$. Suppose $S_{J} \neq \varnothing$. Then there exists an element $y_{0}$ of minimal length in $S_{J}$; suppose $y_{0} \in Y_{M}, M \supset J$. Then $a e_{M}=0$. Also $o_{\hat{J}} e_{J} e_{M}=0$ as $M \supset J$. For other $L \supset J$, if $y \in Y_{L}$,

$$
a_{y} o_{\hat{L}} e_{L} e_{M}=a_{y} e_{L} e_{M}=a_{y}+\left(\text { a combination of certain } a_{w}\right.
$$

Then $a e_{M}=0$ gives $\Sigma_{L_{亏} J} \Sigma_{y_{E} Y_{L}} u_{\nu} a_{y} o_{\hat{L}} e_{L} e_{M}=0$. As $y_{0}$ is of minimal length in $S_{J}$, the coefficient of $a_{y_{0}}$ in the left-hand side of the last equation is $u_{v_{0}}$. By the linear independence of $\left\{a_{w}: w \in W\right\}$, we have $u_{\nu_{0}}=0$, which is a contradiction. Hence $S_{J}=\varnothing$ and $a=\Sigma_{y \in Y,} u_{y} a_{y} o_{\hat{J}} e_{J} \in H o_{\hat{J}} e_{J}$. Thus

$$
H o_{\hat{J}} e_{J}=\left\{a \in H e_{J}: a e_{L}=0 \text { for all } L \supset J\right\}
$$

4.16 Theorem. For any $J \subseteq R$,

$$
H o_{J}=\left\{a \in H: a\left(1+a_{i}\right)=0 \text { for all } w_{i} \in J\right\} .
$$

$H o_{J}$ has basis $\left\{a_{w}: w \in Y_{\hat{L}}, \mathcal{L} \subseteq \hat{J}\right\}$, dimension $\left|X_{J}\right|$ and $H o_{J}=\Sigma_{\hat{L} \supseteq J}^{\oplus} H e_{\hat{L}} o_{L}$. Finally, $H e_{\hat{J}} o_{J}=\left\{a \in H o_{J}: a o_{L}=0\right.$ for all $\left.L \supset J\right\}$.

Proof. Similar to the proof of 4.15.
4.17 Lemma. Let $\psi_{J}$ be the character of the representation of $H$ on $H o_{\hat{j}} e_{J}$. Then $\psi_{J}$ takes values as follows: for each $w \in W$, let $w=w_{i_{1}} \ldots w_{i_{t}}$ be a reduced expression for $w$, and set $J(w)=\left\{w_{i_{j}}: 1 \leqslant j \leqslant t\right\}$. Then $\psi_{J}\left(a_{w}\right)=(-1)^{1(w)} N_{J}(w)$, where $N_{J}(w)$ $=$ the number of elements $y \in Y_{J}$ such that $y^{-1}\left(\Pi_{J(w)}\right) \subseteq \Phi^{-}$.

Proof. Use 4.10.
4.18 Lemma. Let $\phi_{J}$ be the character of the representation of $H$ on $\mathrm{He}_{J}$. Then $\phi_{J}$ takes values as follows: for $w \in W$ let $w=w_{i_{1}} \ldots w_{i_{t}}$ be a reduced expression for $w$. Set $J(w)=\left\{w_{i,}: 1 \leqslant j \leqslant t\right\}$. Then $\phi_{J}\left(a_{w}\right)=(-1)^{(w)} M_{J}(w)$, where $M_{J}(w)=$ the number of elements $x \in X_{J}$ such that $x^{-1}\left(\Pi_{J(w)}\right) \subseteq \Phi^{-}$. Also, $M_{J}(w)=\sum_{L \supseteq J} N_{L}(w)$.

Proof. $H e_{J}$ has basis $\left\{a_{w} e_{J}: w \in X_{J}\right\}$. For any $w_{i} \in R$,

$$
a_{i} a_{w} e_{J}= \begin{cases}-a_{w} e_{J} & \text { if } w^{-1}\left(r_{i}\right)<0, \\ a_{w_{i} w} e_{J}, & \text { where } w_{i} w \in X_{J} \text { if } w^{-1}\left(r_{i}\right)>0, \text { and } \\ & w^{-1}\left(r_{i}\right) \neq r_{j} \text { for any } r_{j} \in \Pi, \\ 0 & \text { if } w^{-1}\left(r_{i}\right)=r_{j} \text { for some } r_{j} \in \Pi_{J}, \text { for then } \\ a_{i} a_{w}=a_{w} a_{j} \text { and } a_{j} e_{J}=0 .\end{cases}
$$

The result now follows.
4.19 Lemma. Let $\mu_{J}$ be the character of the representation of $H$ on $H o_{J}$. Then $\mu_{J}$ takes values as follows: for each $w \in W$, let $w=w_{i_{1}} \ldots w_{i_{t}}$ be a reduced expression for $w$, and set $J(w)=\left\{w_{i,}: 1 \leqslant j \leqslant t\right\}$. Then $\mu_{J}\left(a_{w}\right)=(-1)^{2(w)} L_{J}(w)$, where $L_{J}(w)=$ the number of elements $z \in Z_{J}$ such that $z^{-1}\left(\Pi_{J(w)}\right) \subseteq \Phi^{-}$, and $Z_{J}=\left\{w \in W: w\left(\Pi_{J}\right) \subseteq \Phi^{-}\right\}$. Note that $Z_{J}=\Sigma_{L \subseteq \mathcal{J}} Y_{L}$.

Proof. $H o_{J}$ has basis $\left\{a_{w}: w \in Z_{J}\right\}$. For all $w_{i} \in R$,

$$
a_{i} a_{w}= \begin{cases}-a_{w} & \text { if } w^{-1}\left(r_{i}\right)<0 \\ a_{w_{i} w} & \text { if } w^{-1}\left(r_{i}\right)>0\end{cases}
$$

If $w \in Z_{J}, w_{i} \in R$ and $w^{-1}\left(r_{i}\right)>0$, then $w_{i} w \in Z_{J}$, for if $r_{j} \in \Pi_{J}, w\left(r_{j}\right)=-s$ for some $s \in \Phi^{+}$, and $w_{i}(s)<0$ if and only if $s=r_{i}$. But if $s=r_{i}, w^{-1}\left(r_{i}\right)=-r_{j}$-impossible. The result now follows.
4.20 Corollary. (1) $\phi_{J}=\Sigma_{J \supseteq L} \psi_{L}$ for all $J \subseteq R$.
(2) $\mu_{J}=\Sigma_{J \supseteq L} \psi_{\hat{L}}$ for all $J \subseteq R$.

A direct sum decomposition of $H$ into indecomposable left ideals is equivalent to expressing the identity of $H$ as a sum of mutually orthogonal primitive idempotents. Let $1=\Sigma_{J \subseteq R} q_{J}$ and $1=\Sigma_{J \subseteq R} p_{J}$ be the decompositions of 1 corresponding to the decompositions $H=\left[\Sigma_{J \subseteq R}^{\oplus_{s}} H o_{\hat{J}} e_{J}\right.$ and $H=\Sigma_{J \subseteq R}^{\oplus} H e_{J} o_{\hat{J}}$ respectively, where $H q_{J}=H o_{\hat{J}} e_{J}$ and $H p_{J}=H e_{J} o_{\hat{J}}$. (There does not appear to be a specific expression for the $q_{J}$ or the $p_{J}$ in terms of $\left\{a_{v} o_{\hat{J}} e_{J}: y \in Y_{J}\right\}$ or $\left\{a_{y} e_{J} o_{\hat{J}}: y \in Y_{J}\right\}$ respectively).
4.21 Theorem. Let $\left\{q_{J}: J \subseteq R\right\}$ be a set of mutually orthogonal primitive idempotents with $q_{J} \in H o_{\hat{J}} e_{J}$ for all $J \subseteq R$ such that $1=\Sigma_{J \subseteq R} q_{J}$. Then $H o_{\hat{J}} e_{J}=H q_{J}$, and if $N$ is the nilpotent radical of $H, N o_{\hat{J}} e_{J}=N q_{J}$ is the unique maximal left ideal of $H q_{J}$, and $H q_{J} / N q_{J} \cong K . H q_{J} / N q_{J}$ affords the representation $\lambda_{J}$ of $H$ defined in 3.1. Finally,

$$
H / N \cong \sum_{J \subseteq R}^{\oplus} H q_{J} / N q_{J} \cong K \oplus K \oplus \ldots \oplus K, \quad 2^{n} \text { summands, where } n=R
$$

Proof. By the theory of Artinian rings, $N q_{J}$ is the unique maximal left ideal of $H q_{J}$, and $H / N \cong \Sigma_{J \subseteq R}^{\oplus_{S}} H q_{J} / N q_{J}$. Since $q_{J} \in H o_{\hat{J}} e_{J}, H q_{J} \leqslant H o_{\hat{J}} e_{J}$. As

$$
H=\sum_{J \leq R}^{\oplus} H q_{J}=\Sigma_{J \subseteq}^{\oplus} H o_{\hat{J}} e_{J}
$$

we must have $H q_{J}=H o_{\hat{J}} e_{J}$ for all $J \subseteq R$. Then $N q_{J}=N H q_{J}=N H o_{\hat{J}} e_{J}=N o_{\hat{J}} e_{J}$ is the unique maximal left ideal of $H q_{J}$. But

$$
\left\{\sum_{y \in Y, y \neq w_{a} j} u_{y} a_{\nu} o_{\hat{J}} e_{J}: u_{y} \in K\right\}
$$

is a maximal left ideal of $H o_{\hat{J}} e_{J}$ (see 4.10), and so

$$
N q_{J}=\left\{\sum_{y \in Y J, V \neq v_{00}} u_{\nu} a_{y} o_{\vec{J}} e_{J}: u_{\nu} \in K\right\}
$$

Then $H q_{J} / N q_{J}$ is a one-dimensional $H$-module generated by $a_{w_{0} \hat{\jmath}} o_{\hat{J}} e_{J}+N q_{J}$ which affords the representation $\lambda_{J}$ of $H$, and since every element of $H q_{J} / N q_{J}$ is of the form $k a_{w_{0} \hat{j}} 0 \hat{J} e_{J}+N q_{J}$ for some $k \in K, H q_{J} / N q_{J} \cong K$ for all $J \subseteq R$. Hence the result.
4.22 ThEOREM. Let $\left\{p_{J}: J \subseteq R\right\}$ be a set of mutually orthogonal primitive idempotents with $p_{J} \in H e_{J} o_{\hat{J}}$ for all $J \subseteq R$ such that $1=\Sigma_{J \subseteq R} p_{J}$. Then $H e_{J} o_{\hat{J}}=H p_{J}$, and if $N$ is the nilpotent radical of $H, N e_{J} o_{\hat{J}}=N p_{J}$ is the unique maximal left ideal of $H p_{J}$, and $H p_{J} / N p_{J} \cong K . H p_{J} / N p_{J}$ affords the representation $\lambda_{J}$ of $H$ defined in 3.1. Finally, $H / N \cong \Sigma_{J \subseteq R}^{\oplus} H p_{J} / N p_{J} \cong K \oplus K \oplus \ldots \oplus K, 2^{n}$ summands, where $n=|R|$.
4.23 Lemma. $\left\{k a_{w_{0} w_{0}} o_{\hat{\jmath}} e_{J}: k \in K\right\}$ and $\left\{k a_{w_{0} v_{0},} e_{J} o_{\hat{J}}: k \in K\right\}$ are minimal submodules of $H o_{\hat{J}} e_{J}$ and $H e_{J} o_{\hat{J}}$ respectively, where $w_{0} w_{0 J}$ is the unique element of maximal length in $Y_{J}$. These minimal left ideals both afford the representation $\lambda_{\bar{J}}$ of $H$, where $J=\left\{w_{i} \in R\right.$ : there exists $w_{j} \in J$ with $\left.w_{0} w_{j}=w_{i} w_{0}\right\}$, or, alternatively, $\Pi_{\bar{J}}$ is defined by $w_{0}\left(\Pi_{J}\right)=-\Pi_{\bar{J}}$.
4.24 Note. By the same methods, $H=\Sigma_{\hat{J} \subseteq R}^{\oplus} e_{J} o_{\hat{J}} H$ and $H=\sum_{J=R}^{\oplus} o_{\hat{J}} e_{J} H$, both being direct sum decompositions of $H$ into $2^{n}$ right ideals, where $n=|R|$. Further, $e_{J} o_{\hat{J}} H$ has $K$-basis $\left\{e_{J} o_{\hat{J}} a_{y}: y^{-1} \in Y_{J}\right\}$, and $o_{\hat{J}} e_{J} H$ has $K$-basis $\left\{o_{\hat{J}} e_{J} a_{\nu}: y^{-1} \in Y_{J}\right\}$. All the results for the left ideals $H e_{J}, H o_{J}, H e_{J} o_{\hat{J}}$ and $H o_{\hat{J}} e_{J}$ have analogues for the right ideals $e_{J} H, o_{J} H, o_{\hat{J}} e_{J} H$ and $e_{J} o_{\hat{J}} H$ respectively.

Let $G$ be a finite group with a split ( $B, N$ ) pair of rank $n$ and characteristic $p$ with Weyl group $W$, and let $K$ be a field of characteristic $p$. Then the above decomposition of $H=H_{K}(G, B)$ gives a decomposition of $1_{B}^{G}$, where $1_{B}$ is the principal character of the subgroup $B$ of $G$, which will be discussed in a later paper.

## 5. The Cartan matrix of $H$

We have that $H=\Sigma_{\vec{J} \subseteq R}^{\oplus} U_{J}$, where $U_{J}=H o_{\hat{J}} e_{J}$ is an indecomposable left $H$-module. Thus $\left\{U_{J}: J \subseteq R\right\}$ are the principal indecomposable $H$-modules. $\left\{U_{J} / \operatorname{rad} U_{J}: J \subseteq R\right\}$, where $\operatorname{rad} U_{J}$ is the unique maximal submodule of $U_{J}$, are irreducible $H$-modules, such that $M_{J}=U_{J} / \mathrm{rad} U_{J}$ affords the representation $\lambda_{J}$ of $H$.

Definition. The Cartan matrix $C$ of $H$, where $H$ is of type $(W, R)$, with $|R|=n$, is a $2^{n} \times 2^{n}$ matrix with rows and columns indexed by the subsets of $R$, and if we write $C=\left(c_{J L}\right)$, then

$$
c_{J L}=\text { the number of times } M_{L} \text { is a composition factor of } U_{J}
$$

5.1 Theorem. For all $J, L \subseteq R$,

$$
c_{J L}=\left|Y_{J} \cap\left(Y_{L}\right)^{-1}\right|=\left|Y_{L} \cap\left(Y_{J}\right)^{-1}\right|=c_{L J}
$$

Hence $C$ is a symmetric matrix.

Proof. $U_{J}$ has $K$-basis $\left\{a_{y} o_{\hat{J}} e_{J}=a_{y} e_{J}: y \in Y_{J}\right\}$. Let $y_{1}, \ldots, y_{s}$ be all the elements of $Y_{J}$ written in order of increasing length; if $i>j$ then $l\left(y_{i}\right) \geqslant l\left(y_{j}\right)$. Then set $U_{J}(i)=\left\{\Sigma_{j \geqslant i} k_{y_{j}} a_{y_{j}} e_{J}: k_{\nu_{j}} \in K\right\} . \quad U_{J}(i)$ is a left ideal of $H$ for all $i$, and $U_{J}(i)>U_{J}(i+1)$ for all $i, 1 \leqslant i \leqslant s-1$. Then $U_{J}=U_{J}(1)>U_{J}(2)>\ldots>U_{J}(s)>0$ is a composition series of $U_{J}$, with $U_{J}(i) / U_{J}(i+1)$ being an irreducible $H$-module with basis $a_{\nu_{i}} e_{J}+U_{J}(i+1)$ and affording the irreducible representation $\lambda_{L}$, defined in 3.1, where $L$ is determined as follows: recall 4.10; let $w_{j} \in R$ and $y_{i} \in Y_{J}$. Then

$$
a_{j} a_{y_{i}} e_{J}= \begin{cases}-a_{y_{i}} e_{J} & \text { if } y_{i}^{-1}\left(r_{j}\right)<0, \\ 0 & \text { if } y_{i}^{-1}\left(r_{j}\right)=r_{k} \text { for some } r_{k} \in \Pi, \\ a_{v_{j} y_{i}} e_{J} & \text { where } w_{j} y_{i}=y_{l} \text { for some } y_{l} \in Y_{J} \text { with } i<l, \text { if } \\ & y_{i}^{-1}\left(r_{j}\right)>0 \text { but } y_{i}^{-1}\left(r_{j}\right) \neq r_{k} \text { for any } r_{k} \in \Pi\end{cases}
$$

Hence

$$
\lambda_{L}: a_{j} \rightarrow \begin{cases}-1 & \text { if } y_{i}^{-1}\left(r_{j}\right)<0 \\ 0 & \text { if } y_{i}^{-1}\left(r_{j}\right)>0\end{cases}
$$

That is, $y_{i}^{-1} \in Y_{L}$.
Hence $c_{J L}=$ the number of elements $y \in Y_{J}$ such that $y^{-1} \in Y_{L}$

$$
=\left|Y_{J} \cap\left(Y_{L}\right)^{-1}\right|=\left|Y_{L} \cap\left(Y_{J}\right)^{-1}\right|
$$

since if $y \in Y_{J} \cap\left(Y_{L}\right)^{-1}$, then $y^{-1} \in Y_{L} \cap\left(Y_{J}\right)^{-1}$.
5.2 Theorem. Let $H$ be the 0-Hecke algebra over the field $K$ of type ( $W, R$ ), where $W$ is indecomposable. Then if $|R|>1, H$ has three blocks. If $|R|=1$, then $H$ has two blocks.

Proof. If $|R|=1$, then $W=W\left(A_{1}\right)$ and $H=H\left(1+a_{1}\right) \oplus H\left(-a_{1}\right)$, where $R=\left\{w_{1}\right\}$. Both $\left(1+a_{1}\right)$ and $\left(-a_{1}\right)$ are primitive idempotents as well as being central. Hence $H$ has only two blocks.

Now suppose that $|R|>1$. $e_{R}=\left[1+a_{w_{0}}\right]$ and $(-1)^{1\left(w_{0}\right)} a_{w_{0}}$ are primitive and centrally primitive idempotents in $H$ and so correspond to two distinct blocks.

The other primitive idempotents in $H$, that is, $\left\{q_{J}: J \neq \varnothing, R\right\}$ as in 4.21, determine at least one other block. We will show that provided $W$ is indecomposable the Cartan matrix $C^{\prime}$ corresponding to the indecomposables $U_{J}$ for $J \neq \varnothing, R$ and the irreducibles $M_{L}$ for $L \neq \varnothing, R$ cannot be expressed in the form $C^{\prime}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}C_{1} & 0 \\ 0 & C_{2}\end{array}\right]$ (see Dornhoff (1972), Theorem 46.3).
Suppose that $C^{\prime}$ can be put in the form above. Let

$$
\begin{aligned}
& S_{1}=\left\{J \subset R: U_{J} \text { and } M_{J} \text { index the rows and columns of } C_{1}\right\}, \\
& S_{2}=\left\{J \subset R: U_{J} \text { and } M_{J} \text { index the rows and columns of } C_{2}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Suppose for some $J \subset R,|J|=n-1$ (where $n=|R|$ ), that $J \in S_{1}$. Then we show
(1) for all $L \subset R$ with $|L|=n-1, L \in S_{1}$,
(2) by decreasing induction on $|J|$ for all $J \neq \emptyset, R$ that $J \in S_{1}$.
(a) Suppose $J=\left\{w_{1}, \ldots, \hat{w}_{j}, \ldots, w_{n}\right\}$ and $L=\left\{w_{1}, \ldots, \hat{w}_{j+1}, \ldots, w_{n}\right\}$, where the nodes corresponding to $w_{j}$ and $w_{j+1}$ in the graph of $W$ are joined. Then the order of $w_{j} w_{j+1}$ is greater than 2. Now $w_{0, \hat{J}}=w_{j} \in Y_{J}$ and $w_{0 \hat{L}}=w_{j+1} \in Y_{L}$. Since the order of $w_{j} w_{j+1}$ is greater than $2, w_{j+1} w_{j} \in Y_{J}$ and $w_{j} w_{j+1} \in Y_{L}$; that is, $w_{j+1} w_{j} \in Y_{J} \cap\left(Y_{L}\right)^{-1}$. Hence $J \in S_{1}$ if and only if $L \in S_{1}$.
Hence if there is some $J \in S_{1}$, with $|J|=n-1$, then all $L \subset R$ with $|L|=n-1$ are in $S_{1}$ by the above.
(b) Suppose that for all $J \subset R$ with $|J|>m$ that $J \in S_{1}$. Choose $L \subset R$ with $|L|=m$. We show $L \in S_{1}$. Suppose $L=\left\{w_{i_{1}}, \ldots, w_{i_{m}}\right\}$ with $1 \leqslant i_{1}<\ldots<i_{m} \leqslant n$. Since $W$ is indecomposable and $L \neq \varnothing, R$, then $\left|Y_{L}\right|>1$. Choose some $w_{i_{j}} \in L$ and $w_{k} \in \mathcal{L}$ such that $w_{i,} w_{k}$ has order $r$, where $r \geqslant 3$. Then $w_{i,} w_{0 \hat{L}} \in Y_{L}$ (as $w_{0 \hat{L}}\left(r_{i j}\right) \neq r_{i}$ for any $r_{i} \in \Pi_{L}$, for $w_{0 \hat{L}}\left(r_{i_{j}}\right)=r_{i}$ for some $r_{i} \in \Pi_{L}$ implies that $r_{i j}=r_{i}$ and $w_{0 \hat{L}}$ is a product of reflections corresponding to roots orthogonal to $r_{i,}$, and so for all $w_{k} \in \mathcal{L}, w_{i,} w_{k}=w_{k} w_{i,}$, which is a contradiction). Now consider $\left(w_{i,} w_{0 \hat{L}}\right)^{-1}=w_{0 \hat{L}} w_{i,}$. Then suppose $w_{i_{l}} \in L, w_{i_{1}} \neq w_{i,}$. Then $w_{0 \hat{L}} w_{i_{j}}\left(r_{i}\right) \in \Phi^{+}$. Also $w_{0 \hat{L}} w_{i_{j}}\left(r_{i_{j}}\right) \in \Phi^{-}$. Suppose $\boldsymbol{w}_{k} \in \mathcal{L}$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
w_{0 \hat{L}} w_{i_{j}}\left(r_{k}\right) & =w_{0 \hat{L}}\left(r_{k}+u r_{i_{j}}\right) \quad \text { with } u \geqslant 0 \\
& =w_{0 \hat{L}}\left(r_{k}\right)+u w_{0 \hat{L}}\left(r_{i_{j}}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

If $u=0$, that is, if $w_{i,} w_{k}=w_{k} w_{i,}$, then $w_{0 \hat{L}^{2}} w_{i}\left(r_{k}\right) \in \Phi^{-}$. If $u>0$, as $w_{0 \hat{L}}\left(r_{k}\right)=-r_{i}$ for some $r_{i} \in \Pi_{\hat{L}}$, and $w_{0 \hat{L}}\left(r_{i j}\right) \in \Phi^{+}, w_{0 \hat{L}}\left(r_{i}\right) \neq r_{i_{0}}$ for any $r_{i_{t}} \in \Pi_{L}$, we have $w_{0 \hat{L}} w_{i_{j}}\left(r_{k}\right) \in \Phi^{+}$. Hence $w_{0} \hat{L}_{i_{j}} \in Y_{M}$, where

$$
\begin{aligned}
M= & \left\{L-\left\{w_{i j}\right\}\right\} \cup\left\{w_{k} \in \mathcal{L}: w_{i,} w_{k} \text { has order }>2\right\} \\
= & \left\{L-\left\{\left\{w_{i j}\right\}\right\} \cup\left\{w_{k} \in \hat{L}: \text { the node corresponding to } w_{k}\right. \text { in the graph of }\right. \\
& \left.W \text { is joined to that corresponding to } w_{i}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now $|M|>|L|$ if the node corresponding to $w_{i_{j}}$ is joined to at least two nodes corresponding to elements of $\mathcal{L}$, and then $L \in S_{1}$ by induction.

Let $P_{i}$ be the node of the graph of $W$ which corresponds to $w_{i} \in R, 1 \leqslant i \leqslant n$. Then suppose $P_{i_{j}}$ is joined to only one $P_{k}$ for all $w_{k} \in \hat{L}$. Then the above argument shows that $L=\left\{w_{i_{1}}, \ldots, w_{i_{m}}\right\}$ and $M=\left\{w_{i_{1}}, \ldots, \hat{w}_{i_{j}}, \ldots, w_{i_{m}}, w_{k}\right\}$ belong to the same $S_{i}$, where $i=1$ or $i=2$. Since $|L| \leqslant n-2,|L| \geqslant 2$. Let $w_{k_{1}}$ and $w_{k_{2}}$ be any two elements of $\mathcal{L}$, such that there exists a sequence $P_{k_{1}}=P_{j_{0}}, P_{j_{1}}, \ldots, P_{j_{r}}=P_{k_{2}}$ of nodes such that $P_{j_{i}}$ and $P_{j_{i+1}}$ are joined for all $i, 0 \leqslant i \leqslant r-1$, and $P_{j_{s}}$ corresponds to an element of $L$ for all $i, 1 \leqslant i \leqslant r-1$. If $r=1$, then $P_{k_{1}}$ and $P_{k_{2}}$ are joined. Without loss of generality, we may suppose there exists $w_{i_{s}} \in L$ such that $P_{i_{\mathbf{g}}}$ is joined to $P_{k_{\mathbf{i}}}$. Then let $M=\left\{L-\left\{w_{i_{s}}\right\}\right\} \cup\left\{w_{k_{1}}\right\} . M$ and $L$ belong to the same $S_{i}$, and by the above, as $M$ has an element $w_{k_{1}}$ such that $w_{k_{1}} w_{i_{4}}$ and $w_{k_{1}} w_{k_{2}}$ both have order $>2$, where $w_{i_{s}}, w_{k_{2}} \in \hat{M}, w_{i_{g}} \neq w_{k_{g}}$, then $M \in S_{1}$. If $r=2$, then $L$ and $M$ are in the same $S_{i}$, where $M=\left\{L-\left\{\left\{w_{j_{1}}\right\}\right\} \cup\left\{w_{k_{1}}, w_{k_{2}}\right\}\right.$, and by induction $M \in S_{1}$. If $r>2$, define

$$
\begin{aligned}
& L_{0}=L \\
& L_{1}=\left\{L-\left\{w_{j_{1}}\right\}\right\} \cup\left\{w_{j_{0}}\right\} \\
& \ldots
\end{aligned} \quad \begin{aligned}
& L_{r-2}=\left\{L_{r-3}-\left\{w_{j_{r-2}}\right\}\right\} \cup\left\{w_{j_{r-3}}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then $L_{0}, L_{1}, \ldots, L_{r-2}$ are all in the same $S_{i}$, and by the above, $L_{r-2} \in S_{1}$.
Hence $L \in S_{1}$. Then $S_{2}=\varnothing$, and so $H$ has precisely three blocks.
5.3 Theorem. Let $H$ be a 0-Hecke algebra of type ( $W, R$ ). Suppose $W$ is decomposable, and let $W=W_{1} \times W_{2} \times \ldots \times W_{r}$, where each $W_{i}$ is an indecomposable Coxeter group, and the corresponding Coxeter system is $\left(W_{i}, R_{i}\right)$. Let $H_{i}$ be the 0 -Hecke algebra of type $\left(W_{i}, R_{i}\right)$, and let $m_{i}$ be the number of blocks of $H_{i}$. Then $H$ has $m_{1} m_{2} \ldots m_{r}$ blocks.

Proof. Suppose that $1=\Sigma_{i=1}^{t} e_{i}$ where the $e_{i}$ are mutually orthogonal centrally primitive idempotents in $H$. Then the number of blocks of $H$ is equal to $t$.

Now for all $w \in W_{i}, w^{\prime} \in W_{j}$, where $1 \leqslant i, j \leqslant r$ and $i \neq j$, we have that

$$
a_{w} a_{w^{\prime}}=a_{w w^{\prime}}=a_{w^{\prime} w}=a_{w^{\prime}} a_{w}
$$

and so it follows that if $f_{i}$ is a centrally primitive idempotent of $H_{i}$, then $f_{1} \ldots f_{r}$ is a centrally primitive idempotent of $H$. Suppose $1_{H_{i}}=\sum_{j=1}^{t(i)} f_{i j}$ where for a fixed $i$, $\left\{f_{i j}: 1 \leqslant j \leqslant t(i)\right\}$ is a set of mutually orthogonal central primitive idempotents in $H_{i}$. Then $1_{H}=\sum_{j_{1}=1}^{t(1)} \ldots \sum_{j_{r}=1}^{t r)} f_{1 j_{1}} \ldots f_{r j_{r}}$, a sum of mutually orthogonal central primitive idempotents in $H$, and so $H$ has $t(1) t(2) \ldots t(r)$ blocks, where $t(i)=m_{i}$.
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