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$$
\operatorname{stretch}(T)=\max _{K \subseteq V} \frac{T(K)}{\operatorname{opt}(K)}
$$

Theorem ([Jia, Lin, Noubir, Rajaraman, Sundaram 05])
Suppose $G$ admits $(\sigma, \tau)$-sparse partition scheme, $\Rightarrow$ solution to the UST problem with stretch $O\left(\tau \sigma^{2} \log _{\tau} n\right)$.
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## Steiner Point removal problem

- $M$ has small distortion: $\forall t, t^{\prime} \in K, \quad d_{G}\left(t, t^{\prime}\right) \leq d_{M}\left(t, t^{\prime}\right) \leq \boldsymbol{\alpha} \cdot d_{G}\left(t, t^{\prime}\right)$.
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## Theorem ([Fil 19] (improving [KKN 15] [Che 18]))

Given $G$ with $k$ terminals, there is a solution to the SPR problem

$$
\text { with distortion } O(\log k) \text {. }
$$

The only known lower bound is $\mathbf{8}$ [CXKR 06].

## What about special graph families?

## Theorem ([Fil 20])
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## Strong Vs. Weak Diameter

$(\sigma, \tau)$-strong/weak sparse partition scheme: $\exists(\sigma, \tau, \Delta)$-strong/weak sparse partition for all $\Delta>0$.

## Theorem ([JLNRS 05])

Suppose $G$ admits $(\sigma, \tau)$-weak sparse partition scheme, $\Rightarrow$ solution to the UST problem with stretch $O\left(\tau \sigma^{2} \log _{\tau} n\right)$.
[JLNRS 05] produces a non-subgraph solution to the UST problem.
[BDRRS 12]: subgraph solution using hierarchy of strong sparse partitions.
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- Each cluster is connected.
- The weak-diameter of each cluster $\leq \Delta$.
- Every shortest path of length $\leq \frac{\Delta}{\sigma}$ intersects at most $\tau$ clusters.
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## Theorem ([Fil 20])

Suppose that every induced subgraph $G[A]$ of $G$ admits $(\sigma, \tau)$-scattering partition scheme, $\Rightarrow$ solution to the SPR problem with distortion $O\left(\tau^{3} \sigma^{3}\right)$.

## Observations

$$
(\sigma, \tau, \Delta) \text {-strong sparse } \quad \Rightarrow \quad(\sigma, \tau, \Delta) \text {-weak sparse . }
$$

- Each cluster strong diameter $\leq \Delta$. - Every ball of radius $\leq \frac{\Delta}{\sigma}$ intersects at most $\tau$ clusters.
- Each cluster weak diameter $\leq \Delta$.
- Every ball of radius $\leq \frac{\Delta}{\sigma}$ intersects at most $\tau$ clusters.


## Observations

$$
(\sigma, \tau, \Delta) \text {-strong sparse } \quad \Rightarrow \quad(\sigma, \tau, \Delta) \text {-scattering. }
$$



## Observations

$$
(\sigma, \tau, \Delta) \text {-strong sparse } \quad \Rightarrow \quad(\sigma, \tau, \Delta) \text {-scattering. }
$$






Theorem ([Fil 20])
Suppose all n-vertex trees admit a $(\sigma, \tau)$-strong sparse partition scheme.

$$
\text { Then } \boldsymbol{\tau} \geq \frac{1}{3} \cdot n^{\frac{2}{\sigma+1}}
$$



## Corollary

$\forall n>1$, there are trees $T_{1}, T_{2}$ such that,

- $T_{1}$ do not admit $\left(\frac{\log n}{\log \log n}, \log n\right)$-strong sparse partition scheme.
- $T_{2}$ do not admit $\left(\sqrt{\log n}, 2^{\sqrt{\log n}}\right)$-strong sparse partition scheme.


Theorem ([Fil 20])
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Theorem ([Fil 20])
Every tree admits a (4,3)-weak sparse partition scheme.
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## Doubling Metrics

Metric space has doubling dimension $\boldsymbol{d}$ if every radius $r$ ball can be covered by $2^{d}$ balls of radius $\frac{r}{2}$.

## Packing Property

$N \subseteq X$ set s.t. $x, y \in N$ it holds that $d(x, y) \geq \delta$. Then $\forall x, R$,

$$
|B(x, R) \cap N| \leq(R / \delta)^{O(d)}
$$

The graph $G=(V, E, w)$ has doubling dimension $O(\boldsymbol{d})$, if $\left(V, d_{G}\right)$ (the shortest path metric) has doubling dimension $O(\boldsymbol{d})$.
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Inherently connected!
Formally, for $v \in V$ set $\boldsymbol{f}_{\mathbf{v}}(t)=\delta_{t}-d_{G}(v, t)$.
$v$ joins the cluster $C_{t}$ of the center $t$ maximizing $f_{v}$.

Partition Algorithm
Algorithm: 1. Let $N$ be a $\Delta$-net.
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## Definition ( $\Delta$-net)

Set $N$ s.t.:

- $\forall u, v \in N, d_{G}(u, v)>\Delta$.
- $\forall v \in V$ there is a net point $u \in N$ s.t. $d_{G}(u, v) \leq \Delta$.
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Consider $u \in B$, for all $t \in N, \quad\left|f_{v}(t)-f_{u}(t)\right| \leq d_{G}(u, v) \leq \frac{\Delta}{d}$ $B$ can intersects center $t^{\prime}$ only if $f_{v}\left(t^{\prime}\right) \geq f_{v}\left(t_{1}\right)-\frac{2 \Delta}{d}$.

## Partition Algorithm

Algorithm: 1. Let $N$ be a $\Delta$-net.
2. For each center $t \in N$ sample $\delta_{t} \sim \operatorname{BExp}(\Delta / \mathbf{d}, 4 \Delta)$.
3. Run [MPX 13] ( $v$ goes to $\left.\arg \max f_{v}(t)=\delta_{t}-d_{G}(v, t)\right)$.


For how many $t \in N_{v}$,

$$
f_{v}(t) \in\left[f_{v}\left(t_{1}\right)-\frac{2 \Delta}{\boldsymbol{d}}, f_{v}\left(t_{1}\right)\right] ?
$$
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\operatorname{Pr}\left[\left.f_{v}^{\prime}(t)>f_{v}^{\prime}\left(t_{s}^{\prime}\right)+\frac{2 \Delta}{\boldsymbol{d}} \right\rvert\, f_{v}^{\prime}(t) \geq f_{v}^{\prime}\left(t_{s}^{\prime}\right)\right] \geq \operatorname{Pr}\left[\delta_{t}^{\prime}>\frac{2 \Delta}{\boldsymbol{d}}\right]=e^{-\frac{2 \Delta}{d} / \lambda}=\Omega(1) .
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## Corollary
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## Theorem ([Fil 20])

Every graph with doubling dimension $\boldsymbol{d}$ admits a $(O(\boldsymbol{d}), \tilde{O}(\boldsymbol{d}))$-strong sparse partition scheme.
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## Theorem ([Fil 20])

Every graph with pathwidth $\rho$ admits a $\left(O(\rho), O\left(\rho^{2}\right)\right)$-strong sparse partition scheme, and a $(8,5 \rho)$-weak sparse partition scheme.


## Theorem ([Fil 20])

Every cactus graph admits a $(4,5)$-scattering partition scheme, and a $(O(1), O(1))$-weak sparse partition scheme.


Theorem ([Fil 20])
Every chordal graph admits a (2,3)-scattering partition scheme, and a (24,3)-weak sparse partition scheme.


## Theorem ([Fil 20])

Suppose that the space $\left(\mathbb{R}^{d},\|\cdot\|_{2}\right)$ admits a $(\sigma, \tau)$-weak sparse partition scheme.

$$
\text { Then } \left.\tau \geq\left(1+\frac{1}{2 \sigma}\right)^{d} \text { (alternatively } \sigma>\frac{d}{4 \ln \tau}\right) \text {. }
$$
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The space $\left(\mathbb{R}^{d},\|\cdot\|_{2}\right)$ admits a (1,2d)-scattering partition scheme.


## Theorem ([Fil 20])

The space $\left(\mathbb{R}^{d},\|\cdot\|_{2}\right)$ admits a $(1,2 d)$-scattering partition scheme.

$$
\text { (For weak: } \tau \geq\left(1+\frac{1}{2 \sigma}\right)^{d} \Rightarrow \text { no }\left(O(1), 2^{\Omega(d)}\right) \text {-weak partition scheme). }
$$



## Theorem ([Fil 20])

Every $K_{r, r}$-free graph admits an $\left(O\left(r^{2}\right), 2^{r}\right)$-weak sparse partition scheme.


## Theorem ([Fil 20])

Every $K_{r, r}$-free graph admits an $\left(O\left(r^{2}\right), 2^{r}\right)$-weak sparse partition scheme. What about scattering?


## Conjecture

Planar graphs are $(O(1), O(1))$-scattering.


## Conjecture

Planar graphs are ( $O(1), O(1))$-scattering.
Will imply a solution for the SPR problem with distortion $\mathbf{O ( 1 )}$ for planar graphs!
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Consider a general weighted $n$ vertex graph $G$ :

- [JLNRS 05]: $G$ admits $(O(\log n), O(\log n))$-weak sparse partition scheme.
- [KKN 14] (implicitly): $G$ admits $(O(\log n), O(\log n))$-scattering partition scheme.
- [Fil 20]: $G$ admits $(O(\log n), O(\log n))$-strong sparse partition scheme.
- [Fil 20]: $\exists G$ which do not admit $\left(O\left(\frac{\log n}{\log \log n}\right), O(\log n)\right)$-weak sparse partition scheme.



## Conjecture

Every $n$ vertex graph admits $(O(1), O(\log n))$-scattering partition scheme. Furthermore, this is tight.

## Theorem ([JLNRS 05])

Suppose $G$ admits $(\sigma, \tau)$-weak sparse partition scheme, $\Rightarrow$ solution to the UST problem with stretch $O\left(\tau \sigma^{2} \log _{\tau} n\right)$.

## Theorem ([Fil 20])

Suppose that every induced subgraph $G[A]$ of $G$ admits $(\sigma, \tau)$-scattering partition scheme, $\Rightarrow$ solution to the SPR problem with distortion $O\left(\tau^{3} \sigma^{3}\right)$.
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## Thank you for listening!

